

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equations for frustrated magnets under fluctuating currents^{*}

To cite this article: Benjamin Goldys *et al* 2025 *Nonlinearity* **38** 085017View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.**You may also like**

- [Takens' Last Problem and strong pluripotency](#)

Shin Kiriki, Xiaolong Li, Yushi Nakano et al.

- [Critical mass and stability of radial steady states for a flux-limited Keller–Segel system in the critical case](#)

Shohei Kohatsu and Takasi Senba

- [On hydrodynamics of incompressible magneto-viscoelastic flows](#)

Yong Wang, Jianquan Yang and Yuan Yuan

Stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equations for frustrated magnets under fluctuating currents*

Beniamin Goldys¹, Chunxi Jiao^{2,***} and Christof Melcher³

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Sydney, Sydney 2006, Australia

² School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW, Sydney 2052, Australia

³ Lehrstuhl für Angewandte Analysis, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen 52062, Germany

E-mail: chunxi.jiao@unsw.edu.au, beniamin.goldys@sydney.edu.au and melcher@math1.rwth-aachen.de

Received 14 July 2023; revised 23 March 2025

Accepted for publication 7 August 2025

Published 22 August 2025

Recommended by Dr Sandra Cerrai



Abstract

We examine a stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation for a frustrated ferromagnet with competing first and second order exchange interactions exposed to deterministic and random spin transfer torques in form of transport noise. We prove existence and pathwise uniqueness of weak martingale solutions in the energy space. The result ensures the persistence of topological patterns,

* This work was supported by the Australian Research Council Project DP200101866 and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) Project 442047500 - SFB 1481: CM. is grateful for the support and hospitality of the Sydney Mathematical Research Institute (SMRI).

** Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.



Original Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence](#). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

occurring in such magnetic systems, under the influence of a fluctuating spin current.

Keywords: Landau–Lifshitz equations,
stochastic partial differential equations, gradient noise, topological solitons

Mathematics Subject Classification numbers: 35D30, 35K45, 35K55, 35Q56,
35Q60, 60H15

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Problem formulation and results	5
2.1. Notation and the equation	5
2.2. Main results	6
3. Approximating equation	7
3.1. Mollification with cut-off	8
3.2. Uniform estimates of m_ϵ	9
4. Convergences for fixed R	20
4.1. Vector length of \tilde{m}	22
4.2. Convergence of cut-off function	23
4.3. Convergence of drift and diffusion coefficients	24
5. Proof of theorem 2.1	30
5.1. Existence of martingale solution	30
5.2. Pathwise uniqueness	31
Data availability statement	35
Acknowledgment	35
Appendix A. Preliminary estimates	35
A.1. Useful formulae	35
A.2. Estimates for mixed partial derivatives	36
Appendix B. On the torus	39
References	39

1. Introduction

Magnetic systems with higher order exchange interactions are known to host topological pattern in form of skyrmions and hopfions in dimension $d = 2, 3$, respectively, occurring as isolated solitons or condensed in a regular lattice [27]. This can be seen as an emergent phenomenon arising from competing exchange interactions beyond nearest neighbours on the atomic scale, including the case of geometric frustration with alternating (anti-)ferromagnetic coupling [1]. The controlled manipulation and transport of such structures by means of external currents is at the core of possible applications in future information technologies and a key challenge for the mathematical theory [24, 25]. A decisive aspect on the level of such nano-structure is stability with respect to fluctuations coming from external sources. The mathematical description of random effects in magnetism is generally based on stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equations (SLLG) for a governing micromagnetic energy and a noise. The existence of weak martingale solutions of the SLLG with heat-bath noise in dimension $d \leq 3$ and pathwise uniqueness in dimension $d = 1$ have been studied in [5, 6], see also [3] for a more thorough discussion of various mathematical and computational aspects of the SLLG.

The conventional mathematical theory of LLG equations is based on the Dirichlet energy arising from classical Heisenberg interaction of neighbouring spins. A crucial mathematical feature is the possibility of finite time singularities in spatial dimensions greater than one. Global regularity is only expected for small initial data, so that weak solution concepts become unavoidable. In the energy critical dimension $d = 2$, the blow-up scenario of dissipative harmonic flows including LLG is well-understood [15, 16, 26]. The bubbling analysis singles out a suitable notion of energy decreasing weak solutions, the so-called Struwe solutions, that are unique in this class. Corresponding existence and uniqueness results in the stochastic cases are just starting to emerge [17]. The occurrence of topological singularities, however, goes hand in hand with the collapse of topological patterns. Bubbling analysis extend to models for chiral skyrmions [11, 18], which are lower order perturbations of the classical theory, while the prediction of global regularity versus finite time blow-up remains a major open problem.

Higher order bi-harmonic exchange interaction exclude topological singularities in spatial dimensions $d \leq 3$ by means of an infinite energy barrier. Global existence of topological patterns coupled to a Vlasov–Maxwell equation for the electron distributions function of an interacting current has been confirmed in [10]. In this work, we develop a stochastic framework for a LLG system with higher order exchange interactions and fluctuating currents and provide conditions under which topological patterns will persist almost surely. The models under consideration are based on interaction energies of the form

$$E(M) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\Delta M|^2 + \lambda |\nabla M|^2 + h|M - e_3|^2) \, dx$$

for magnetisation fields $M : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ where $d = 2, 3$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \geq 0$ and $e_3 := (0, 0, 1)$. By scaling we can assume $\lambda = \pm 1$. The case we are focusing on is $\lambda = -1$ corresponding to a frustrated magnet. In this case the usual stability condition for the ferromagnetic state $m = e_3$ is $h > 1/4$ which implies coercivity $E(M) \gtrsim \int |\nabla^2 M|^2 + |M - e_3|^2$. The unperturbed LLG equation reads

$$\partial_t M = -M \times H(M) - \alpha M \times M \times H(M)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is the damping constant and

$$H(M) = -[\Delta^2 M + \Delta M - h e_3]$$

the effective field, i.e. minus the L^2 energy gradient. The dissipative nature implies a uniform bound of $M - e_3$ in H^2 in terms of initial conditions. Stochastic LLG equations driven by random fields were used to study specific phenomena related to the stability and decay of topological magnetisation patterns, see e.g. [2] for a stochastic 2D model of skyrmion collapse and [8] for a bi-harmonic 3D model (with $\lambda = 1$ and $h = 0$) stabilising magnetic hopfions. Here we are interested in the LLG dynamics driven by a fluctuating current. The mathematical description of such non-variational effects requires careful considerations about the specific formulation of dissipation in the style of Landau–Lifshitz or Gilbert, respectively. The so-called adiabatic spin transfer torque induced by a spin velocity field v is introduced by adding the convection term $(v \cdot \nabla)M$ to the micromagnetic torque $M \times H(M)$ in LLG (note that this gives rise to two distinct terms). The phenomenological non-adiabatic spin transfer torque is induced by subtracting a perpendicular counterpart $\beta M \times (v \cdot \nabla)M$. The full torque becomes

$$\tau(M) = M \times [H(M) - \beta(v \cdot \nabla)M] + (v \cdot \nabla)M$$

so that

$$\partial_t M = -\tau(M) - \alpha M \times \tau(M).$$

In the special case $\alpha = \beta$, referred to as the Galilean invariant case, the interaction reduces, in the above formulation of LLG, to a single term $(1 + \alpha^2)(v \cdot \nabla)M$. It is known that in general the non-Galilean model is required for an adequate description of current driven magnetic microstructures, e.g. domain walls and vortices [20, 21]. We decompose the fluctuating spin current $v_{\text{fluc}} = v + \xi$ into a deterministic temporally homogeneous part v and a space-time noise $\xi = \dot{W}$ that is formally the generalised time derivative (in the framework of Stratonovich calculus) of a Wiener process W with values in a vectorial Sobolev space to be specified below. We shall treat the deterministic part of the spin transfer torque in its general form including adiabatic and non-adiabatic terms without any balancing conditions. For the random part we focus on the Galilean invariant case, i.e. we drop stochastic terms of the form $M \times (\xi \cdot \nabla)M$ and leave only a linear transport term $(\xi \cdot \nabla)M$, which has been studied in models of stochastic Euler and Navier–Stokes equations [7, 22]. Therefore, the stochastic equation that we study in this paper is in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t M &= -M \times H(M) - \alpha M \times (M \times H(M)) \\ &\quad - (1 + \alpha\beta)(v \cdot \nabla)M - (\alpha - \beta)M \times (v \cdot \nabla)M - (\xi \cdot \nabla)M, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where the noise is understood in the Stratonovich sense to ensure M takes values in the unit sphere (norm constraint). This equation is written formally later in (2.3) along with a precise definition of W .

For (1.1), the corresponding Stratonovich correction is in the form $\frac{1}{2}(\xi \cdot \nabla)^2 M$, which has a lower order than the leading bi-Laplacian term that arises from the dissipation

$$\alpha M \times (M \times \Delta^2 M) = -\alpha \Delta^2 M + \alpha \langle M, \Delta^2 M \rangle M$$

under the norm constraint. Intuitively, in view of semigroup theory for mild solutions, this suggests that the noise does not need to be small or of a scale comparable to α to guarantee existence of a solution. This is indeed the case, since in energy estimates, Itô's formula leads to cancellations between Stratonovich and Itô corrections which reduce the order of norm required to estimate these noise-related terms. They can be bounded by the energy norm of M with boundedness but not smallness conditions of the noise.

Small noises are of independent interest in literature. Recent studies [12, 14] showed that certain nonlinear PDEs perturbed by spatially divergence free linear transport noises (satisfying suitable L^∞ and L^2 conditions), converge weakly to parabolic deterministic equations. In these works, the noise (martingale) part vanishes but Stratonovich correction (in the form ΔM) stays and acts as an additional dissipation in the limit under suitable scaling, which can delay blow-ups. It is not known whether a similar result holds for the conventional LLG model (without bi-harmonic interaction) or for our LLG model in higher dimensions.

In addition, to the best of our knowledge, nonlinear transport noise of the form $M \times (\xi \cdot \nabla)M$ (which we dropped) has not been widely explored. For our model, it is inconclusive whether cancellations of highest-order terms can be achieved for Stratonovich and Itô corrections related to this kind of nonlinear noise. Those highest-order terms consist of cross products of mixed derivatives, which seem to require H^3 -estimates in dimension $d \geq 2$, i.e. the energy norm is not sufficient. It is therefore another open question regarding the existence of solution when the random part is also in the general non-Galilean form.

In this paper, we show that under certain (spatial) regularity of the noise, there exists a pathwise unique (and thus strong in the probabilistic sense) solution of a stochastic LLG equation in the form (1.1) for frustrated magnets, with H^2 -moment estimates. Our model is a complicated example of a system of fourth order quasi-linear stochastic PDEs. Literature on such equations is rather limited. The closest to our work is the paper [8], where the stochastic Landau–Lifshitz equation featuring one-dimensional heat bath noise is regularised by adding a bi-Laplacian

with a small coefficient. There are however important differences between the current work and [8]. First, we consider a much more realistic noise perturbation, a transport noise acting in an arbitrary, including infinite, number of directions in the state space of the process. This model requires introducing gradient of solution as a noise coefficient and this makes analysis more difficult, since the corresponding Stratonovich correction involves second-order derivatives of the solution instead of the solution alone. Second, we consider effective field $-\Delta^2 M - \Delta M$ (i.e. $\lambda = -1$) instead of $-\Delta^2 M + \Delta M$ (i.e. $\lambda = 1$), a physically important change that leads to loss of strong dissipativity in the equation and much more complicated technical arguments. We are also forced to use a different approximation (by mollification and cut-off instead of Faedo–Galerkin). It seems that this approach, well known for other equations, has not been used to study stochastic Landau–Lifshitz equations.

This paper is structured as follows. We formulate the formal stochastic LLG equation (2.3) in section 2.1 and provide the main results (theorem 2.1 and corollary 2.3) in section 2.2. The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of theorem 2.1. We first construct an approximating equation in section 3.1 using standard mollifiers and a suitable cut-off function. The latter allows us to estimate certain nonlinear terms in the absence of norm constraint, i.e. when the approximation does not necessarily take values in the unit sphere. This becomes clear as we derive H^2 -uniform estimates of the approximation in section 3.2, where the norm constraint is narrowly violated due to mollifications. Applying Skorohod theorem and compactness embedding results, we deduce strong (resp., weak) convergence in a weighted H^1 (resp., H^2) space in section 4. Subsequently, we verify that the limit takes values in the unit sphere (section 4.1) and prove convergences of the drift and the diffusion terms of the equation separately (section 4.3). In section 5, we show that the limit is indeed a pathwise unique solution of our stochastic LLG, concluding the proof. For the ease of reading, we collect in appendix A some preliminary estimates used for section 3.2. Similar arguments hold for the equation on the torus, for which we give a brief description in appendix B.

2. Problem formulation and results

2.1. Notation and the equation

We denote by $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ the unit sphere. Let $d = 2, 3$. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the Schwartz space of functions and the space of tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^d , respectively. As usual, we denote by \mathbb{L}^p and $\mathbb{W}^{\sigma,p}$ the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $W^{\sigma,p}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^3)$, with $\mathbb{H}^\sigma := \mathbb{W}^{\sigma,2}$. For the weight function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto (1 + |x|^2)^{-2} \in (0, 1]$,

$$|\rho^{-1} \partial_i \rho|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + |\rho^{-1} \partial_{ij} \rho|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \leq c, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, d, \quad (2.1)$$

for some constant c . Then we define weighted spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_\rho^p &:= \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 : \left| f \rho^{\frac{1}{p}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^p} < \infty \right\}, \quad p \in [1, \infty), \\ \mathbb{H}_\rho^1 &:= \left\{ f \in \mathbb{L}_\rho^2 : \nabla f \in \mathbb{L}_\rho^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For normed spaces E_1 and E_2 , we write $E_1 \hookrightarrow E_2$ if E_1 is continuously embedded in E_2 , and $E_1 \Subset E_2$ if E_1 is compactly embedded in E_2 . We will use the notation ∇_g for the operator $\nabla_g \phi = g \cdot \nabla \phi$.

Let $h \geq 0$ and let $v \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a divergence-free spin velocity field, i.e. $\operatorname{div}(v) = 0$. Let W be an $H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued Wiener process with finite trace-class covariance Q , in the

form

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k W_k(t) f_k,$$

where $\{W_k\}$ is a family of real-valued independent Brownian motions, $\{f_k\}$ is a complete orthonormal system in $H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of eigenvectors of Q such that $Qf_k = q_k^2 f_k$ for some bounded real q_k and $\text{Tr}(Q) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k^2 < \infty$ (see e.g. [9]). For every $k \geq 1$, let $g_k := q_k f_k$. We assume that

$$q^2 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g_k|_{H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k^2 < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Recall (1.1), for simplicity we write v instead of $(1 + \alpha\beta)v$ and let $\gamma := \frac{\alpha - \beta}{1 + \alpha\beta}$. Now we write down the formal stochastic LLG equation. Let $T \in (0, \infty)$. We will prove the existence of a pathwise unique solution $M : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ (see theorem 2.1) to the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} dM(t) = & M \times (\Delta M + \Delta^2 M - h e_3) dt + \alpha M \times (M \times (\Delta M + \Delta^2 M - h e_3)) dt \\ & - (\nabla_v M + \gamma M \times \nabla_v m) dt \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\nabla_{g_k})^2 M dt - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nabla_{g_k} M dW_k(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $M(0) = M_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\nabla M_0 \in \mathbb{H}^1$. For simplicity, we define drift and diffusion

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}(u) &:= u \times (\Delta u + \Delta^2 u - h e_3) + \alpha u \times (u \times (\Delta u + \Delta^2 u - h e_3)) - \gamma u \times \nabla_v u, \\ F(u) &:= \bar{F}(u) - \nabla_v u, \\ S_k(u) &:= (\nabla_{g_k})^2 u, \\ G_k(u) &:= -\nabla_{g_k} u, \end{aligned}$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{H}^2$, where the bi-Laplacian terms are identified via their weak forms. More explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w \times \Delta^2 u, \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \Delta u, \Delta \varphi \times w + \varphi \times \Delta w + 2\nabla \varphi \times \nabla w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \\ \langle w \times (w \times \Delta^2 u), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle w \times \Delta u, \varphi \times \Delta w + \Delta \varphi \times w + 2\nabla \varphi \times \nabla w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \langle \Delta u, (\varphi \times w) \times \Delta w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + 2 \langle \nabla w \times \Delta u, \nabla \varphi \times w + \varphi \times \nabla w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for $u, w, \varphi \in \mathbb{H}^2$.

2.2. Main results

In this section, we state the main theorem for the existence and uniqueness of a martingale solution and subsequently of a solution strong in the probabilistic sense, then we prove the preservation of homotopy type in a corollary.

Definition 2.1. Given $T \in (0, \infty)$, we say that (2.3) has a martingale solution if there exists a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ defined on which an $H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued Wiener process W and a (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable process M , such that

(i) $|M(t, x)| = 1$, a.e.- (t, x) , \mathbb{P} -a.s. and $M \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{L}_\rho^2)$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\nabla M(t)|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^1)}^2 + |M \times \Delta^2 M|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right] < \infty,$$

(ii) for every $t \in [0, T]$, the following equality holds \mathbb{P} -a.s. in \mathbb{L}_ρ^2

$$M(t) = M_0 + \int_0^t F(M(s)) \, ds + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t G_k(M(s)) \circ dW_k(s), \quad (2.5)$$

where the first Bochner integral and the Stratonovich integral are well-defined in \mathbb{L}^2 .

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a pathwise unique martingale solution $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P}, W, M)$ to (2.3) in the sense of definition 2.1, such that for $p \in [1, \infty)$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\nabla M|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^1)}^{2p} + |M \times \Delta^2 M|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)}^{2p} \right] < \infty,$$

and $M - e_3 \in \mathcal{C}^\sigma([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

As a result of pathwise uniqueness, the martingale solution is strong in the probabilistic sense and unique in law thanks to an infinite-dimensional version of the Yamada–Watanabe theorem, for example, see [23, theorem 2], [19, theorem 5.3 and corollary 5.4] and [4, appendix D].

Corollary 2.2. *Given any filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ with an $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted Q-Wiener process W , there exists a process M such that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P}, W, M)$ is a solution of (2.3) in the sense of definition 2.1, and the pair (W, M) is (jointly) unique in law.*

The bounds in theorem 2.1 and a simple interpolation argument implies space-time Hölder continuity almost surely. Hence, $M(t)$ defines a homotopy of maps from \mathbb{R}^d . In fact, for $\frac{d}{2} < \tau < 2$,

$$|M(s) - M(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^\tau}^2 \leq c |M(s) - M(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2-\tau} |M(s) - M(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^\tau}^\tau \lesssim |s - t|^{\sigma(2-\tau)}$$

and by Sobolev embedding

$$|M(s, x) - M(t, y)| \leq c \left(|M(s)|_{\mathbb{H}^\tau} |x - y|^{\tau - \frac{d}{2}} + |M(s) - M(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^\tau} \right)$$

with constants c independent of $0 \leq s < t < T$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Corollary 2.3. *Trajectories are continuous in space and time and preserve the homotopy type of initial data almost surely.*

3. Approximating equation

Since our desired solution M is not a function in \mathbb{L}^2 , it is more convenient to define $m := M - e_3$ and to study the following equation for m in \mathbb{L}^2 , as in [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} dm(t) &= F(m(t) + e_3) \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k(m(t)) \, dt + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k(m(t)) \, dW_k(t), \\ m(0) &= m_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

3.1. Mollification with cut-off

For (3.1), we construct an approximating equation using the modified Galerkin method in [28, chapter 15, section 7] combined with a cut-off function which controls the vector length of the approximation.

Let J_ϵ denote a Friedrich mollifier on \mathbb{R}^d , such that for $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$J_\epsilon u(x) := (j_\epsilon * u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} j_\epsilon(x-y) u(y) dy = \frac{1}{\epsilon^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} j\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) u(y) dy,$$

where $j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is real-valued and compactly supported with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} j(y) dy = 1$.

Fix $R > 1$. Let $\psi_R : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth non-increasing cut-off function such that $\psi_R(y) = 1$ for $y \in [0, R]$ and $\psi_R(y) = 0$ for $y \geq R + 1$. Then for a sufficiently smooth function $u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \psi_R(|u(t, x)|^2) |u(t, x)|^2 \leq R, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let $f^{(i)}$ denote the i th derivative of a smooth function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \nabla \psi_R(|u|^2) &= \psi_R^{(1)}(|u|^2) \langle u, \nabla u \rangle, \\ \frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi_R(|u|^2) &= \psi_R^{(1)}(|u|^2) (|\nabla u|^2 + \langle u, \Delta u \rangle) + 2\psi_R^{(2)}(|u|^2) \langle u, \nabla u \rangle^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, for $p \geq 0$ and any non-negative integer i , there exists $c(R) = c(R, p)$ such that

$$\psi_R^{(i)}(|u(t, x)|^2) |u(t, x)|^p \leq c(R), \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which implies that on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \psi_R(|u|^2) |u|^p &\leq c(R) |\nabla u|, \\ \Delta \psi_R(|u|^2) |u|^p &\leq c(R) (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta u|). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, consider the approximating equation:

$$\begin{aligned} dm_\epsilon(t) &= F_\epsilon^R(m_\epsilon(t)) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon(t)) dt + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon(t)) dW_k(t), \\ m_\epsilon(0) &= J_\epsilon m_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_\epsilon^R(m_\epsilon) &= J_\epsilon (\psi_R(|J_\epsilon m_\epsilon + e_3|^2) \bar{F}(J_\epsilon m_\epsilon + e_3) - \nabla_v J_\epsilon m_\epsilon), \\ S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) &= J_\epsilon S_k(J_\epsilon m_\epsilon), \\ G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) &= J_\epsilon G_k(J_\epsilon m_\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The cut-off function ψ_R is only required to address the nonlinear term \bar{F} . For the linear terms, we integrate-by-parts to obtain desired estimates as shown in the proof of lemma 3.2.

3.2. Uniform estimates of m_ϵ

We first show that the approximating equation (3.4) admits a unique solution m_ϵ in lemma 3.1, and then deduce uniform estimates of m_ϵ in lemma 3.2. At the end of this section, we estimate the deviation of $m_\epsilon + e_3$ from the unit sphere in lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a unique solution m_ϵ of (3.4), where m_ϵ is a progressively measurable process taking values in \mathbb{H}^2 , such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2] < \infty,$$

and for every $t \in [0, T]$, the following equality holds \mathbb{P} -a.s. in \mathbb{L}^2

$$\begin{aligned} m_\epsilon(t) = J_\epsilon m_0 + \int_0^t F_\epsilon^R(m_\epsilon(s)) \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon(s)) \, ds \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon(s)) \, dW_k(s). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. We first verify that F_ϵ^R , $S_{k,\epsilon}$ and $G_{k,\epsilon}$ are locally Lipschitz on \mathbb{H}^2 . Let $u, w \in \mathbb{H}^2$. The derivatives of j are in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, bounded, and in \mathbb{L}^1 . Thus, for any non-negative integer σ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^\sigma J_\epsilon u|_{\mathbb{H}^2} &= |(\nabla^\sigma j_\epsilon) * (u + \nabla u + \Delta u)|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\leq |\nabla^\sigma j_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^1} |u + \nabla u + \Delta u|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\leq c(\epsilon) |u|_{\mathbb{H}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$|\nabla^\sigma J_\epsilon(u - w)|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \leq c(\epsilon) |u - w|_{\mathbb{H}^2}.$$

Recall that $\mathbb{H}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$. Let f be a locally Lipschitz function on \mathbb{H}^2 with $f(0) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |u \times f(u) - w \times f(w)|_{\mathbb{H}^2} &= |(u - w) \times f(u)|_{\mathbb{H}^2} + |w \times (f(u) - f(w))|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \\ &\lesssim |u - w|_{\mathbb{H}^2} |f(u)|_{\mathbb{H}^2} + |w|_{\mathbb{H}^2} |f(u) - f(w)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments follow for scalar products. Then with the Lipschitz property of J_ϵ and ψ_R , it is clear that F_ϵ^R , $G_{k,\epsilon}$ and $S_{k,\epsilon}$ are locally Lipschitz on \mathbb{H}^2 for $k \geq 1$.

Next, let \mathcal{E} denote the space of \mathbb{H}^2 -valued progressively measurable processes, with the norm

$$|u|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|u(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2].$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_{\epsilon,n}^R$, $S_{k,\epsilon,n}$ and $G_{k,\epsilon,n}$ denote the Lipschitz modifications of F_ϵ^R , $S_{k,\epsilon}$ and $G_{k,\epsilon}$ on \mathbb{H}^2 , respectively. For example, we set

$$F_{\epsilon,n}^R(u) = \begin{cases} F_\epsilon^R(u) & \text{if } |u|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \leq n, \\ F_\epsilon^R\left(\frac{nu}{|u|_{\mathbb{H}^2}}\right) & \text{if } |u|_{\mathbb{H}^2} > n. \end{cases}$$

Let $A_n : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(u)(t) &= m_0 + \int_0^t \left(F_{\epsilon,n}^R(u(s)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_{k,\epsilon,n}(u(s)) \right) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t G_{k,\epsilon,n}(u(s)) dW_k(s) \\ &= m_0 + I_n(t) + M_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $I_n, M_n \in \mathcal{E}$ by the Lipschitz continuity of $F_{\epsilon,n}^R$, $S_{k,\epsilon,n}$ and $G_{k,\epsilon,n}$. In particular, M_n is an \mathbb{H}^2 -valued continuous martingale. Once we verify the Lipschitz property of A_n on \mathcal{E} , standard arguments using Banach fixed point theorem and localisation by stopping times show that there exists a unique solution m_ϵ in \mathcal{E} (the limit over n) to the ϵ -approximating equation (3.4). \square

In the following lemma, we deduce an \mathbb{H}^2 -estimate of m_ϵ which dominates the energy norm $E(m_\epsilon + e_3)$ but allows us to directly apply Gronwall's inequality to obtain desired estimates.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $|m_0|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \leq c_0$. Then for $p \in [1, \infty)$, there exists a constant $c = c(c_0, p, T)$ independent of ϵ and R such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{2p} \right] \leq ce^{cR^p},$$

and for $\psi_\epsilon^R := \psi_R(|J_\epsilon m_\epsilon + e_3|^2)$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T |(\psi_\epsilon^R)^{\frac{1}{2}}(J_\epsilon m_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 J_\epsilon m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2(t) dt \right)^p \right] \leq c(1 + R^p) e^{cR^p}.$$

Proof. Let $u_\epsilon := J_\epsilon m_\epsilon$ and note that $\langle u, J_\epsilon w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = \langle J_\epsilon u, w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}$ for any $u, w \in \mathbb{L}^2$. Let $\psi_\epsilon^{R,(i)} := \psi_R^{(i)}(|J_\epsilon m_\epsilon + e_3|^2)$. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. Estimate $\frac{1}{2}|m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}d|m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \langle m_\epsilon, F_\epsilon^R(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\langle m_\epsilon, S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_k \langle m_\epsilon, G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dW_k(t) \\ &=: I_1 dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k I_{2,k} dt + \sum_k I_{3,k} dW_k(t). \end{aligned}$$

The assumption $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ and (A.3) yield $\langle u_\epsilon, \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = 0$. Then for $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $R > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \langle m_\epsilon, F^R_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \langle u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(u_\epsilon + e_3) - \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \langle u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R(u_\epsilon + e_3) \times (\Delta u_\epsilon + \alpha(u_\epsilon + e_3) \times (\Delta u_\epsilon - h e_3)) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \langle u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R(u_\epsilon + e_3) \times (\Delta^2 u_\epsilon + \alpha(u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad - \gamma \langle u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R(u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \nabla_v u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad - \langle u_\epsilon, \nabla_v u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\leq \delta |(\psi_\epsilon^R)^{\frac{1}{2}}(u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + c(\delta) R |u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The Stratonovich part has a similar term with opposite sign to the Itô correction. Integrating-by-parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m_\epsilon, S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \left\langle u_\epsilon, (\nabla_{g_k})^2 u_\epsilon \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= - \langle \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon + (\operatorname{div} g_k) u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\leq - |\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = |J_\epsilon \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq |\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$, leaving

$$\sum_k I_{2,k} \lesssim \sum_k |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2.$$

Similarly, for the diffusion term, by (A.3),

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,k} &= - \langle u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\operatorname{div} g_k) |u_\epsilon|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and by the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality, for $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| 2 \int_0^s \sum_k I_{3,k} \, dW_k(r) \right|^p \right] &\leq cb_p \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_k |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \int_0^t |u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^4 \, ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq cb_p \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,t]} |u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^p \left(\sum_k |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \int_0^t |u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq \delta^p \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,t]} |u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2p} \right] \\ &\quad + c\delta^{-p} b_p^2 \left(\sum_k |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right)^p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \sup_{r \in [0,s]} |u_\epsilon(r)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2p} \, ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Estimate $\frac{1}{2} |\nabla m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2$.

$$\frac{1}{2} d(|\nabla m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\Delta m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) = \langle -\Delta m_\epsilon + \Delta^2 m_\epsilon, F^R_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \left(\langle -\Delta m_\epsilon, S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\nabla G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) dt \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \left(\langle \Delta^2 m_\epsilon, S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\Delta G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) dt \\
& + \sum_k \langle -\Delta m_\epsilon + \Delta^2 m_\epsilon, G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dW_k(t) \\
& =: \Pi_1 dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \Pi_{2,k} dt + \sum_k \Pi_{3,k} dW_k(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We address each term in the following calculations (i) – (iii).

(i) Estimate Π_1 .

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_1 &= \langle -\Delta m_\epsilon + \Delta^2 m_\epsilon, F^R(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \langle -\Delta u_\epsilon + \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(u_\epsilon + e_3) - \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \langle -\Delta u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \langle \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + \alpha |(\psi_\epsilon^R)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \alpha \langle -\Delta u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times ((u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad - \alpha |(\psi_\epsilon^R)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \alpha \langle \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times ((u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + \langle \Delta u_\epsilon - \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, h \psi_\epsilon^R (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times (-u_\epsilon + (u_\epsilon \times e_3)) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + \gamma \langle \Delta u_\epsilon - \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon^R (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + \langle \Delta u_\epsilon - \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\leq -(\alpha - \delta) |(\psi_\epsilon^R)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + c(\delta) (|u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |v|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\quad + (1+R) |\Delta u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) + \langle \Delta u_\epsilon - \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq |v|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\Delta u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2},$$

and with $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, we have $\langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \nabla_v \Delta u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \Delta \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \nabla_v \Delta u_\epsilon + \nabla_{\Delta u_\epsilon} v \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \partial_i v_j \partial_{ij} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim |v|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_1 &\leq -(\alpha - \delta) |(\psi_\epsilon^R)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\quad + c(\delta) (1 + |v|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}) (|u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + (1+R) |\Delta u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Estimate $\Pi_{2,k}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{2,k} &= \left(-\langle \Delta m_\epsilon, S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\nabla G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \left(\langle \Delta^2 m_\epsilon, S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\Delta G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) =: \Pi_{2a,k} + \Pi_{2b,k}.\end{aligned}$$

For the Itô corrections, note that J_ϵ commutes with ∇ and Δ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{2a,k} &= -\left\langle \Delta u_\epsilon, (\nabla_{g_k})^2 u_\epsilon \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |J_\epsilon \nabla (\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2.\end{aligned}$$

For $\Pi_{2b,k}$, we first re-write the Stratonovich term:

$$\langle \Delta^2 m_\epsilon, S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = \left\langle \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, (\nabla_{g_k})^2 u_\epsilon \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}.$$

Then we estimate the $\Delta G_{k,\epsilon}$ part. By lemma A.1 and (A.13), taking $u = u_\epsilon$ and $f = g_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\Delta G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= |J_\epsilon \Delta \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\leqslant |\Delta \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= \langle \Delta^2 \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \langle \nabla_{g_k} \Delta^2 u_\epsilon + 4T_{1a}(u_\epsilon) + 2T_{1b}(u_\epsilon) + T_{1c}(u_\epsilon), \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= -\left\langle \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, (\nabla_{g_k})^2 u_\epsilon \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \langle \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, (\text{div} g_k) \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \langle 4T_{1a}(u_\epsilon) + 2T_{1b}(u_\epsilon) + T_{1c}(u_\epsilon), \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\leqslant -\langle \Delta^2 m_\epsilon, S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + c |g_k|_{H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_k \Pi_{2,k} \lesssim \sum_k |g_k|_{H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2$$

(iii) Estimate the diffusion part $\Pi_{3,k}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{3,k} &= -\langle \Delta m_\epsilon, G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \langle \Delta^2 m_\epsilon, G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &=: \Pi_{3a,k} + \Pi_{3b,k}.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\Pi_{3a,k} = \langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leqslant |g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |\Delta u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{3b,k} &= -\langle \Delta^2 u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= -\langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \Delta \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= -\langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} \Delta u_\epsilon + \nabla_{\Delta g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \partial_i g_j \partial_{ij} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq -\langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} \Delta u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + c |g_k|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} (|\Delta u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\Delta u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} \Delta u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \Delta u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} \Delta u_\epsilon + (\operatorname{div} g_k) \Delta u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \Delta u_\epsilon, (\operatorname{div} g_k) \Delta u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |\Delta u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality, for $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| 2 \int_0^s \sum_k \Pi_{3,k} dW_k(r) \right|^p \right] &\leq cb_p \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \sum_k |g_k|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\Delta u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq \delta^p \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,t]} |\nabla u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{2p} \right] \\ &\quad + c \delta^{-p} b_p^2 \left(\sum_k |g_k|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right)^p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \sup_{r \in [0,s]} |\Delta u_\epsilon(r)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2p} ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Combine estimates of $\frac{1}{2}|m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2$.

Recall the assumption (2.2). For $R > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 &+ 2(\alpha - 2\delta) |(\psi_\epsilon^R)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2(t) \\ &\leq |J_\epsilon m_0|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + c \int_0^t R |u_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 ds + 2 \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| \int_0^s \sum_k (\Pi_{3,k} + \Pi_{3,k}) dW_k(r) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where c depends on $|v|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}$, $\sum_k |g_k|_{H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2$, δ^{-1} , T , but not on n or R .

Let $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ be sufficiently small such that $\alpha - 2\delta > \frac{1}{2}$. Then since $|u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^\sigma} \leq |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^\sigma}$ for any $\sigma \geq 0$, we have for $p \in [1, \infty)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{2p} + \left(\int_0^T |(\psi_\epsilon^R)^{\frac{1}{2}} (J_\epsilon m_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 J_\epsilon m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2(t) dt \right)^p \right] \\ \leq c \mathbb{E} \left[|J_\epsilon m_0|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{2p} + \int_0^T R^p \sup_{s \in [0,t]} |m_\epsilon(s)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{2p} dt \right] + 2^p \delta^p \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{2p} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $1 - 2^p \delta^p > \frac{1}{2}$, and then the last expectation term on the right-hand side can be absorbed into the left-hand side. By Gronwall's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{2p} \right] \leq c \mathbb{E} [|m_0|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{2p}] e^{cR^p} \leq ce^{cR^p},$$

for some constant c independent of n and R . As a result,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T |(\psi_\epsilon^R)^{\frac{1}{2}} (J_\epsilon m_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 J_\epsilon m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2(t) dt \right)^p \right] \leq c(1+R^p) e^{cR^p},$$

concluding the proof. \square

As a result of lemma 3.2, $F^R_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \in L^p(\Omega; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$, and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^T \sum_k G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon(t)) dW_k(t) \right|_{W^{\sigma,p}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^p \right] \lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \sum_k |g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla m_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \leq c(R),$$

for fixed $R > 1$, $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $p \in [2, \infty)$. Thus, with the embedding $W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2) \hookrightarrow W^{\sigma,p}(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ for $\sigma - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|m_\epsilon|_{W^{\sigma,p}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^p \right] \leq c(R). \quad (3.5)$$

Next we examine the vector length of $M_\epsilon := m_\epsilon + e_3$. In lemma 3.3, we show that although M_ϵ does not take values in \mathbb{S}^2 , its deviation from \mathbb{S}^2 can be controlled by the strength of mollifications. The proof starts from applying Itô's formula to

$$\varphi(m_\epsilon) := \frac{1}{4} |1 - |m_\epsilon + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 = \frac{1}{4} |1 - |M_\epsilon|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2. \quad (3.6)$$

Here we work with mollified functions and weighted spaces. Thus, we first identify some remainder terms which arise from the difference between the convolution of products and the product of convolutions, before stating and proving lemma 3.3. Again, let $u_\epsilon := J_\epsilon m_\epsilon$, and $d_\epsilon(f) := J_\epsilon f - f$ for any $f: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) &:= J_\epsilon((1 - |m_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(m_\epsilon + e_3)\rho) - (1 - |J_\epsilon m_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(J_\epsilon m_\epsilon + e_3)\rho \\ &= J_\epsilon((1 - |M_\epsilon|^2)M_\epsilon\rho) - (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(u_\epsilon + e_3)\rho \\ &= d_\epsilon((1 - |M_\epsilon|^2)M_\epsilon\rho) - (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)\rho + \langle d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon), m_\epsilon + u_\epsilon + 2e_3 \rangle M_\epsilon\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since $|d_\epsilon(f(t))|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim |f(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$ for any $t \in [0, T]$, using lemma 3.2 and the continuous embeddings $\mathbb{H}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$ and $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$, we have that for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t |p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2p} ds \right] \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(|M_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} + |M_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |M_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^4}^2 + (1 + |u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |M_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} (|m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |m_\epsilon + u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \right)^{2p} ds \right] \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left((1 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}) |m_\epsilon + e_3|_{\mathbb{H}_\rho^1}^2 + (1 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}) |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + (1 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}) (|m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \right)^{2p} ds \right] \\ &\leq c(R). \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$|d_\epsilon(f)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^4}^4 \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 |d_\epsilon(f)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 |d_\epsilon(f)|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |d_\epsilon(f)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3 |d_\epsilon(f)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}. \quad (3.8)$$

For remainders of higher-order terms,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)^2 &= (1 - |M_\epsilon + d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|^2)^2 \\ &= (1 - |M_\epsilon|^2)^2 + (|d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|^2 + 2\langle d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon), M_\epsilon \rangle)^2 \\ &\quad + 2(|m_\epsilon|^2 + 2\langle m_\epsilon, e_3 \rangle) (|d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|^2 + 2\langle d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon), M_\epsilon \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 &\lesssim |1 - |M_\epsilon|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^4}^4 \\ &\quad + (|M_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \\ &\quad + (|m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) |M_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &\lesssim |1 - |M_\epsilon|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + (1 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3) |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where the last inequality holds by (3.8) and (A.5).

Lemma 3.3. *There exist constants $c_1 = c_1(R, T) > 0$ and $c_2 = c_2(T) > 0$, both independent of ϵ , such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|1 - |m_\epsilon(t) + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \right] \leq c_1 e^{c_2} \left(|1 - |J_\epsilon m_0 + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t A_\epsilon(m_\epsilon(s)) \, ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$, where

$$A_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) := |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{H}_\rho^1}^2 + \sum_k |d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} J_\epsilon m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + |p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. Recall $\varphi(m_\epsilon)$ from (3.6). We have $\varphi(m_\epsilon) \leq c(|m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(m_\epsilon) u &= -\langle (1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) M_\epsilon, u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}, \\ \varphi''(m_\epsilon)(u, w) &= -\langle (1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) u, w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle M_\epsilon, u \rangle \langle M_\epsilon, w \rangle \rho(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4}d|1 - |M_\epsilon(t)|^2|^2_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} &= - \langle (1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) M_\epsilon, F^R_\epsilon(M_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} dt \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \langle (1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) M_\epsilon, S_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} dt \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \langle (1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon), G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} dt \\
&\quad + \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle M_\epsilon, G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle^2 \rho(x) dx dt \\
&\quad - \sum_k \langle (1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) M_\epsilon, G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} dW_k \\
&=: U_1 dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (U_{2,k} + U_{3,k} - 2U_{4,k}) dt - \sum_k U_{5,k} dW_k.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

For the diffusion term $U_{5,k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{5,k} &= - \langle (1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) M_\epsilon, G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\
&\lesssim |1 - |M_\epsilon|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} |M_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\nabla m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\
&\lesssim (|m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) (1 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}) |\nabla m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $m_\epsilon \in L^{2p}(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2))$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$, $U_{5,k} \in L^{2p}(\Omega; L^2(0, T))$. Then the Itô integral is well-defined, thus $\sum_k U_{5,k} dW_k$ has zero expectation.

For U_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1 &= - \langle J_\epsilon((1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) M_\epsilon \rho), \psi_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(u_\epsilon + e_3) - \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= - \langle (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(u_\epsilon + e_3), \psi_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(u_\epsilon + e_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\
&\quad + \langle (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(u_\epsilon + e_3), \nabla_v(u_\epsilon + e_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\
&\quad - \langle p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon), \psi_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(u_\epsilon + e_3) - \nabla_v u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&=: U_{1a} + U_{1b} + U_{1c},
\end{aligned}$$

where U_{1a} is equal to 0 by the cross-product structure of \bar{F} . For U_{1b} , since $\operatorname{div}(v) = 0$ and $v\rho$ vanishes at infinity, we have $\operatorname{div}(v\rho) = \langle v, \nabla \rho \rangle$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}(v\rho) dx = 0$. Then by (A.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{1b} &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}(v\rho) (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}(v\rho) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle v, \rho^{-1} \nabla \rho \rangle (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)^2 \rho dx \\
&\lesssim |v|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\rho^{-1} \nabla \rho|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2\|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\rho^{-1}\nabla\rho|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, by (3.9) and the estimates in lemma 3.2, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \mathbf{U}_1 \, ds \right] &\lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left| 1 - |M_\epsilon|^2 \right|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \, ds + \int_0^t (1 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3) |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t |p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\psi_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(u_\epsilon + e_3) - \nabla_v u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \, ds \right] \\ &\leq c \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left| 1 - |M_\epsilon|^2 \right|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \, ds \right] + c(R) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(|d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + |p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \, ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbf{U}_{2,k}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{2,k} &= \langle J_\epsilon((1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) M_\epsilon \rho), S_k(u_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \left\langle (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(u_\epsilon + e_3), (\nabla_{g_k})^2 u_\epsilon \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} + \left\langle p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon), (\nabla_{g_k})^2 u_\epsilon \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &=: \mathbf{U}_{2a,k} + \mathbf{U}_{2b,k}, \end{aligned}$$

where by (2.2) and the estimates in lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k \int_0^t |\mathbf{U}_{2b,k}| \, ds \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \int_0^t |p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\nabla u_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \, ds \right] \\ &\leq c(R) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t |p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \, ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbf{U}_{2a,k}$, integrating-by-parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{2a,k} &= \left\langle (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(u_\epsilon + e_3), (\nabla_{g_k})^2 u_\epsilon \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &= \left\langle (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(u_\epsilon + e_3), \nabla_{\rho g_k} \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2) |\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon|^2 \rho \, dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle u_\epsilon + e_3, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle^2 \rho \, dx \\ &\quad - \left\langle \operatorname{div}(g_k \rho) (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(u_\epsilon + e_3), \nabla_{g_k} (u_\epsilon + e_3) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &=: -\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{3,k} + 2\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{4,k} + \mathbf{V}_{2a,k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $-\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{3,k} + 2\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{4,k}$ is in a similar form to $-\mathbf{U}_{3,k} + 2\mathbf{U}_{4,k}$. For the remainder $\mathbf{V}_{2a,k}$, by (A.4),

$$\mathbf{V}_{2a,k} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}(g_k \rho) g_k) \rho^{-1} |1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2|^2 \rho \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}(g_k \rho) g_k) \, dx \right),$$

where the fact ρ and $\nabla \rho$ vanish at infinity implies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}(g_k \rho) g_k) \, dx = 0$, and by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div}(g_k \rho) g_k) \rho^{-1} &= (\operatorname{div} g_k)^2 + \left\langle \nabla_{g_k} g_k + 2g_k \operatorname{div} g_k, \rho^{-1} \nabla \rho \right\rangle + \nabla_{g_k} \operatorname{div} g_k + \left\langle g_k, \rho^{-1} \nabla_{g_k} \nabla \rho \right\rangle \\ &\lesssim |g_k|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.2) and (3.9), we are left with

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \sum_k |\mathbf{V}_{2a,k}| \, ds \right] \leq c \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t |1 - |M_\epsilon(s)|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \, ds \right] + c(R) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \, ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now we estimate $\mathbf{U}_{3,k}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{4,k}$. Note that $G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) - \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon = d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{3,k} &= \langle (1 - |M_\epsilon|^2) G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon), G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &= \langle (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2) \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &\quad + \langle \langle d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon), m_\epsilon + u_\epsilon + 2e_3 \rangle G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon), G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &\quad + \langle (1 - |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2) d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon), G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) + \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &= \widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{3,k} + \mathbf{V}_{3,k}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k \int_0^t |\mathbf{V}_{3,k}| \, ds \right] &\lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k |g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \int_0^t |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} (|m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + 1) |\nabla m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k |g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} \int_0^t |d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} (|m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) |\nabla m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \, ds \right] \\ &\leq c(R) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(|d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + \sum_k |d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \right) \, ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\langle M_\epsilon, G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle - \langle u_\epsilon + e_3, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle = \langle u_\epsilon + e_3, d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon) \rangle - \langle d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon), G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle =: r_{4,k}.$$

By (3.8) and (A.5),

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{4,k}|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 &\lesssim (1 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty})^2 |d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + |g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^4}^2 \\ &\lesssim (|g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} (1 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3) + |g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3) (|d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + |d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

Then for $\mathbf{U}_{4,k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{4,k} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle M_\epsilon, G_{k,\epsilon}(m_\epsilon) \rangle^2 \rho \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle u_\epsilon + e_3, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle^2 \rho \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (r_{4,k}^2 + 2 \langle u_\epsilon + e_3, \nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon \rangle r_{4,k}) \rho \, dx \\ &= \widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{4,k} + \mathbf{V}_{4,k}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k \int_0^t |\mathbf{V}_{4,k}| ds \right] &\lesssim \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k \int_0^t |r_{4,k}|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + 2|g_k|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} (1 + |m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) |\nabla m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |r_{4,k}|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} ds \right] \\ &\leq c(R) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(|d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) \rho^{\frac{1}{4}}|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^4}^2 + \sum_k |d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \right) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) \rho^{\frac{1}{4}}|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^4}^2 = |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \lesssim |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 = |d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{H}_\rho^1}^2.$$

Hence, the main components of the Stratonovich and Itô corrections cancel with each other, leaving

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k (\mathbf{U}_{2,k} + \mathbf{U}_{3,k} - 2\mathbf{U}_{4,k}) dt \right] &\leq c \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t |1 - |M_\epsilon(s)|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 ds \right] \\ &+ c(R) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(|d_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{H}_\rho^1}^2 + \sum_k |d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} u_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + |p_\epsilon(m_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

Overall, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[|1 - |M_\epsilon(t)|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \right] &\leq |1 - |J_\epsilon m_0 + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + c \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t |1 - |M_\epsilon(s)|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 ds \right] \\ &+ c(R) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t A_\epsilon(m_\epsilon) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$. Then we apply Gronwall's lemma to yield the desired result. \square

4. Convergences for fixed R

In this section, we fix $R > 1$, and employ Skorohod theorem and compactness argument to deduce convergences of (functions of) new approximations \tilde{m}_ϵ . These convergences and subsequent regularity properties of the limit \tilde{m} allow us to show that $\tilde{m} + e_3$ is a martingale solution of (2.3) later in section 5.

For Banach spaces B_0, B_1, B_2 and B_3 such that B_0, B_2 are reflexive and $B_0 \Subset B_1 \hookrightarrow B_2 \Subset B_3$, the following embeddings follow from [13, theorems 2.1 and 2.2]:

$$\begin{aligned} L^p(0, T; B_0) \cap W^{\sigma, p}(0, T; B_2) &\Subset L^p(0, T; B_1), \\ W^{\sigma, p}(0, T; B_2) &\Subset C([0, T]; B_3), \end{aligned}$$

for $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and $\sigma p > 1$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &:= L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2) \cap W^{\sigma, p}(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2), \\ E &:= L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\rho^1) \cap C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

for $p \in [2, \infty)$, $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\sigma - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma p > 1$. Since $\mathbb{L}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}_\rho^2$, $\mathbb{H}^2 \Subset \mathbb{H}_\rho^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}_\rho^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^1 \Subset \mathbb{L}_\rho^2 = (\mathbb{L}_\rho^2)^* \Subset \mathbb{H}^{-1}$, we have

$$E_0 \hookrightarrow L^p(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2) \cap W^{\sigma, p}(0, T; \mathbb{L}_\rho^2) \Subset E.$$

By lemma 3.2, m_ϵ takes values in E_0 , \mathbb{P} -a.s. for any $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, the set of laws $\{\mathcal{L}(m_\epsilon)\}$ on E is tight, where for any $\lambda > 0$, $\{|m_\epsilon|_{E_0} \leq \lambda\}$ is compact in E and

$$\mathbb{P}(|m_\epsilon|_{E_0} > \lambda) \leq \lambda^{-2} \mathbb{E}[|m_\epsilon|_{E_0}^2] \leq \lambda^{-2} c(R) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since E is a separable metric space, by Skorohod theorem there exists a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ and a sequence $\{(\tilde{m}_\epsilon, \tilde{W}_\epsilon)\}$ of $E \times \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))$ -valued random variables defined on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}((m_\epsilon, W)) = \mathcal{L}((\tilde{m}_\epsilon, \tilde{W}_\epsilon)) \quad \text{on } E \times \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

and there exists an $E \times \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))$ -valued random variable (\tilde{m}, \tilde{W}) such that

$$\tilde{m}_\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{m} \text{ in } E, \quad \tilde{W}_\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{W} \text{ in } \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.} \quad (4.1)$$

The space $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^2)$ is separable and complete. Then by Kuratowski's theorem, $\{\tilde{m}_\epsilon\}$ have the same laws as $\{m_\epsilon\}$ on $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^2)$, which implies that the estimates in lemma 3.2 also hold for $\{\tilde{m}_\epsilon\}$.

We can extend the definition of the \mathbb{H}^2 -norm to \mathbb{H}^{-1} by setting $|u|_{\mathbb{H}^2} = \infty$ for $u \in \mathbb{H}^{-1} \setminus \mathbb{H}^2$. Then using the pointwise convergence (4.1) in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^{-1})$ and the uniform integrability of \tilde{m}_ϵ in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^2))$, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|\tilde{m}|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)}^{2p}\right] < \infty, \quad \forall p \in [1, \infty). \quad (4.2)$$

As a result, by (4.1), (A.5) and (A.6), for $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}_\epsilon &\rightarrow \tilde{m} \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\rho^1)), \quad \Delta \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rightarrow \Delta \tilde{m} \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}_\rho^2)), \\ J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon &\rightarrow \tilde{m} \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\rho^1)), \quad \Delta J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rightarrow \Delta \tilde{m} \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}_\rho^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

By Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequality,

$$\left|(\tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}^r} \lesssim |\tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^{1-\theta} (|\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^\theta + |\tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^\theta), \quad r \in [2, \infty], \quad (4.4)$$

where $\theta = \frac{d}{4} - \frac{d}{2r} \in [0, 1]$ for $d = 2, 3$. The inequality (4.4) still holds with $J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon$ in place of \tilde{m}_ϵ . Then by the $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)$ estimates of \tilde{m}_ϵ and \tilde{m} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} &\rightarrow \tilde{m} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^q(0, T; \mathbb{L}^r)) \\ (J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} &\rightarrow \tilde{m} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^q(0, T; \mathbb{L}^r)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

for $p \in [1, \infty)$, $q \leq \frac{2}{1-\theta}$ and $r \in [2, \infty]$.

4.1. Vector length of \tilde{m}

As in lemma 3.3, let $\varphi(\tilde{m}) := |1 - |\tilde{m} + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \lesssim |\tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + |\tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \in L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^\infty(0, T))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T |1 - |\tilde{m}(t) + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 dt \right] \\ & \lesssim \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \left(|1 - |\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + ||\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) + e_3|^2 - |\tilde{m}(t) + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \right) dt \right] \\ & \lesssim \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \left(|1 - |\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{m}(t)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^4}^2 |\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) + \tilde{m}(t)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^4}^2 + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{m}(t)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \right) dt \right] \\ & \lesssim \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T |1 - |\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 dt \right] + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) + \tilde{m}(t)|_{\mathbb{H}_\rho^1}^2 + 1 \right) \int_0^T |\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) - \tilde{m}(t)|_{\mathbb{H}_\rho^1}^2 dt \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where the second expectation on the right-hand side converges to 0 by (4.2) and (4.3), thus we only need to focus on the first expectation. Recall the definitions (3.7) and (3.10). For any $q \in [4, \infty)$, the following maps are measurable:

$$\begin{aligned} L^q(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2) & \ni u \mapsto |1 - |u + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \in L^1(0, T), \\ L^q(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2) & \ni u \mapsto A_\epsilon(u) \in L^1(0, T). \end{aligned}$$

Then since $\{\tilde{m}_\epsilon\}$ has the same laws as $\{m_\epsilon\}$ on $L^q(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)$, it holds by lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T |1 - |\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t) + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 dt \right] & = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |1 - |m_\epsilon(t) + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 dt \right] \\ & \lesssim |1 - |J_\epsilon m_0 + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^t A_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon(s)) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption that m_0 is bounded in \mathbb{H}^2 and the approximation property of J_ϵ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - |J_\epsilon m_0 + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 & = ||m_0 + e_3|^2 - |J_\epsilon m_0 + e_3|^2|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \\ & \leq |J_\epsilon m_0 - m_0|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 |J_\epsilon m_0 + m_0 + 2e_3|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \\ & \lesssim (1 + |m_0|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2) |J_\epsilon m_0 - m_0|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the remainder $A_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon)$, we first observe that by (4.3),

$$d_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) = (J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) + (\tilde{m} - \tilde{m}_\epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\rho^1)).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |d_\epsilon(\nabla_{g_k} J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 & = \sum_k |J_\epsilon \nabla_{g_k} (J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) + (J_\epsilon \nabla_{g_k} \tilde{m} - \nabla_{g_k} \tilde{m}) + \nabla_{g_k} (\tilde{m} - J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_k |g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla (J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 + \sum_k |J_\epsilon \nabla_{g_k} \tilde{m} - \nabla_{g_k} \tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side converges to 0 in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^1(0, T))$. Also, by the $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)$ -estimate in (4.2), the continuous embedding $\mathbb{H}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$ and lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \left| \left(1 - |\tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3|^2\right) (\tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3) \rho - \left(1 - |\tilde{m} + e_3|^2\right) (\tilde{m} + e_3) \rho \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 dt \right] \\ & \lesssim \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \left(|\tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left| \langle \tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}, \tilde{m}_\epsilon + \tilde{m} + 2e_3 \rangle (\tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3) + |\tilde{m} + e_3|^2 (\tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2_\rho}^2 \right) dt \right] \\ & \lesssim \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \left(1 + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^4 + |\tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^4 \right) |\tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}^2_\rho}^2 dt \right] \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $d_\epsilon((1 - |\tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(\tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3)\rho) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$. Thus for p_ϵ given in (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T |p_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 dt \right] & \lesssim \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \left| d_\epsilon((1 - |\tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3|^2)(\tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3)\rho) \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 dt \right] \\ & \quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (1 + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^4) \int_0^T |d_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon)\rho|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 dt \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side converges to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T A_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) dt \right] = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \left(|d_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{H}^1_\rho}^2 + \sum_k |d_\epsilon(\nabla_{gk} J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2_\rho}^2 + |p_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) dt \right] = 0.$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of (4.6) converges to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, yielding

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \left| 1 - |\tilde{m}(t) + e_3|^2 \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2_\rho}^2 dt \right] \leq 0,$$

where $\rho > 0$. In other words,

$$|\tilde{m}(t, x) + e_3| = 1, \quad \text{a.e.-}(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.} \quad (4.7)$$

4.2. Convergence of cut-off function

Recall (3.2). We define $\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(i)} := \psi_R^{(i)}(|J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3|^2)$ and $\tilde{\psi}^{R,(i)} := \psi_R^{(i)}(|\tilde{m} + e_3|^2)$, for any non-negative integer i . We simply write $\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R$ and $\tilde{\psi}^R$ when $i = 0$. In particular, with $R > 1$, by (4.7),

$$\tilde{\psi}^{R,(i)}(t, x) = \psi_R^{(i)}(|\tilde{m}(t, x) + e_3|) = \psi_R^{(i)}(1) = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1, \quad (4.8)$$

and thus $\nabla \tilde{\psi}^R(t, x) = \Delta \tilde{\psi}^R(t, x) = 0$ for a.e.- $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.

Now we derive some convergence results for $\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R$. By the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(i)} - \tilde{\psi}^{R,(i)} \right) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^r} & \lesssim \left| |J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3|^2 \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} - |\tilde{m} + e_3|^2 \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^r} \\ & \lesssim \left| (J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1-\theta} |J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon - m|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^\theta |J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon + \tilde{m} + 2e_3|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \\ & \lesssim |J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}^2_\rho}^{1-\theta} (|\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{1+\theta} + |\tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{1+\theta} + 1), \end{aligned}$$

for any non-negative integer i , where $\theta = \frac{d}{4} - \frac{d}{2r}$ for $r \in [2, \infty]$. Thus, by (4.3),

$$\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(i)} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^{R,(i)} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^q(0, T; \mathbb{L}^r)), \quad (4.9)$$

for $p \in [1, \infty)$, $q \leq \frac{2}{1-\theta}$ and $r \in [2, \infty]$ as in (4.5). In particular, $\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^R$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}_\rho^2))$. For the gradient of $\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R$, by (3.2) and (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R - \tilde{\psi}^R) \right|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} &\lesssim \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(1)} - \tilde{\psi}^{R,(1)}) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\langle J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3, \nabla J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rangle|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + |\tilde{\psi}^{R,(1)}|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\langle J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3, \nabla J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rangle - \langle \tilde{m} + e_3, \nabla \tilde{m} \rangle|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &\leq \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(1)} - \tilde{\psi}^{R,(1)}) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} (|\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + 1) |\nabla \tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(1)} - \tilde{\psi}^{R,(1)}) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} (|\nabla \tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + |\nabla \tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}), \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side converges to 0 in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T))$ since $\tilde{m}_\epsilon \in L^p(\tilde{\Omega}; L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2))$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ and (4.9) holds for $i = 1$. Similarly, for the Laplacian of $\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R - \tilde{\psi}^R)|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} &\lesssim \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(1)} - \tilde{\psi}^{R,(1)}) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \left| |\nabla J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon|^2 + \langle J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3, \Delta J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rangle \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + |\tilde{\psi}^{R,(1)}|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \left| |\nabla J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon|^2 - |\nabla \tilde{m}|^2 + \langle J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3, \Delta J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rangle - \langle \tilde{m} + e_3, \Delta \tilde{m} \rangle \right|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &\quad + \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(2)} - \tilde{\psi}^{R,(2)}) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \left| \langle J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3, \nabla J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rangle \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + |\tilde{\psi}^{R,(2)}|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \left| \langle J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon + e_3, \nabla J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rangle^2 - \langle \tilde{m} + e_3, \nabla \tilde{m} \rangle^2 \right|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &\lesssim \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(1)} - \tilde{\psi}^{R,(1)}) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} (|\nabla \tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + (|\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + 1) |\Delta \tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \\ &\quad + \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^{R,(2)} - \tilde{\psi}^{R,(2)}) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} (|\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + 1)^2 |\nabla \tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side converges to 0 in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T))$. Hence, despite the fact that we only have weak convergence for $\Delta \tilde{m}_\epsilon$ in \mathbb{L}_ρ^2 , we obtain strong convergence for the cut-off function in \mathbb{H}^2 :

$$\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^R \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)), \quad (4.10)$$

4.3. Convergence of drift and diffusion coefficients

Using results in previous sections, we prove in lemma 4.1 weak convergences for the drift coefficients F^R_ϵ and $S_{k,\epsilon}$, and strong convergence for the diffusion coefficient $G_{k,\epsilon}$.

Lemma 4.1. *For $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^4(0, T; \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)))$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T \langle F^R_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) - F(\tilde{m} + e_3), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}(t) dt \right)^p \right] &= 0, \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T \sum_k \langle S_{k,\epsilon}(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) - S_k(\tilde{m}), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}(t) dt \right)^p \right] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T \sum_k |G_{k,\epsilon}(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) - G_k(\tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2(t) dt \right)^p \right] = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{u}_\epsilon := J_\epsilon \tilde{m}_\epsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F^R_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) - F(\tilde{m} + e_3), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} &= \left\langle J_\epsilon \left(\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \right) - \bar{F}(\tilde{m} + e_3) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (J_\epsilon \nabla_v \tilde{u}_\epsilon - \nabla_v \tilde{m}), \varphi \rho \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}^R = 1$, a.e.- $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle J_\epsilon \left(\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \right) - \bar{F}(\tilde{m} + e_3), \varphi \rho \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \left\langle \bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3), (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R - \tilde{\psi}^R) \varphi \rho \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \langle \bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) - \bar{F}(\tilde{m} + e_3), \varphi \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \left\langle \tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3), d_\epsilon(\varphi \rho) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &=: I_{0,\bar{F}} + I_{1,\bar{F}} + I_{2,\bar{F}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define $\{I_{1,\nabla_v}, I_{2,\nabla_v}\}, \{I_{1,S_k}, I_{2,S_k}\}$ with ∇_v, S_k in place of \bar{F} and 1 in place of $\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R$, for $k \geq 1$.

Convergence of F_ϵ^R .

(i) For $I_{0,\bar{F}}$, let $f_\epsilon = (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R - \tilde{\psi}^R) \varphi \rho$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3), f_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon + \alpha(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon, f_\epsilon \times (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad - h \langle e_3 + \alpha \tilde{u}_\epsilon \times e_3, f_\epsilon \times (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \langle (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon, \Delta f_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + 2 \langle \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon, \nabla f_\epsilon \times \nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \alpha \langle (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon, f_\epsilon \times \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon + \Delta f_\epsilon \times (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) + 2 \nabla f_\epsilon \times \nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + 2\alpha \langle \nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon \times \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon, \nabla f_\epsilon \times (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) + f_\epsilon \times \nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad - \gamma \langle (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \nabla_v u, f_\epsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\leq (|\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \alpha |\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2) |\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + h (|\tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \alpha |\tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2) |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + |\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\Delta m_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\Delta f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + 2 |\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\nabla f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ &\quad + \alpha |\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \alpha |\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 |\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\Delta f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + 4\alpha |\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\nabla f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + 2\alpha |\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \\ &\quad + |\gamma v|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim (1 + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2} + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3) |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \\ &\lesssim (1 + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3) |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.9) with $i = 0$, (4.10), and that $\varphi \in L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2))$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2} &= \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R - \tilde{\psi}^R) \varphi \rho \right|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \\ &\lesssim \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R - \tilde{\psi}^R) \rho \right|_{\mathbb{H}^2} |\varphi|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \left| (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R - \tilde{\psi}^R) \rho \right|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\nabla \varphi|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + \left| \nabla ((\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R - \tilde{\psi}^R) \rho) \right|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\nabla \varphi|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^1(0, T)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T \mathbf{I}_{0, \bar{F}} dt \right|^p \right] &\lesssim \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T (1 + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^3) |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2} dt \right)^p \right] \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(1 + |\tilde{m}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{6p} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |f_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{H}^2} dt \right)^{2p} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the first expectation in the last inequality is finite for all $\epsilon > 0$ since \tilde{m}_ϵ has the same $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)$ -estimate as m_ϵ .

(ii) For $\mathbf{I}_{1, \bar{F}}$, we focus on the difference $\bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) - \bar{F}(\tilde{m} + e_3)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) - \bar{F}(\tilde{m} + e_3) \\ &= -[(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \times (he_3 + \alpha \tilde{u}_\epsilon \times he_3) + \alpha(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times ((\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \times he_3)] \\ &\quad - \gamma[(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \times \nabla_v u_\epsilon + (\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \nabla_v (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - m)] \\ &\quad + [(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \times (\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon + \alpha(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon) + \alpha(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times ((\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \times \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon)] \\ &\quad + (\tilde{m} + e_3) \times (\Delta(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) + \alpha(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \Delta(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})) \\ &\quad + (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \times (\Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon + \alpha(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \\ &\quad + \alpha(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times ((\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \\ &\quad + (\tilde{m} + e_3) \times (\Delta^2(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) + \alpha(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \Delta^2(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})) \\ &=: \sum_{i=1}^7 \mathbf{H}_i. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, 2, 3$, we deduce $\int_0^T \langle \mathbf{H}_i, \varphi \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ from (4.3), (4.7) and the moment estimates of $\tilde{m}_\epsilon, \tilde{m}$ in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2))$.

For $i = 4$, similarly $\int_0^T \langle \mathbf{H}_4, \varphi \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ since $\Delta \tilde{m}_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \Delta \tilde{m}$ in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}_\rho^2))$ and thanks to (4.7),

$$\varphi \times (\tilde{m} + e_3) + \alpha(\varphi \times (\tilde{m} + e_3)) \times (\tilde{m} + e_3) \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)),$$

Now we estimate $\mathbf{H}_5, \mathbf{H}_6$ and \mathbf{H}_7 .

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle H_5, \varphi \rangle_{L^2_\rho} &= \left\langle (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon, \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2_\rho} \\
&\quad + \alpha \left\langle \tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}, \tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R ((\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \times \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2_\rho} \\
&\quad + \alpha \left\langle \tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}, (\tilde{\psi}^R - \tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R) ((\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \times \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2_\rho} \\
&=: H_{5a} + \alpha H_{5b} - \alpha H_{5c}.
\end{aligned}$$

For H_{5a} , integrating-by-parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{5a} &= \langle \Delta(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \Delta \tilde{m} \times \varphi \rho \rangle_{L^2} + \langle \tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}, \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon \times \Delta(\varphi \rho) \rangle_{L^2} + 2 \langle \nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}, \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon \times \nabla(\varphi \rho) \rangle_{L^2} \\
&\leq \langle \Delta(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \Delta \tilde{m} \times \varphi \rangle_{L^2_\rho} + c \|\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^2} \|\Delta(\varphi \rho)\|_{L^2}^{-1} \\
&\quad + c \|\nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})\|_{L^4} \|\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^2} \|\nabla(\varphi \rho)\|_{L^4}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta \tilde{m} \times \varphi \in L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$ and

$$\|\nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|\nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})\|_{L^2_\rho}^{1-\frac{d}{4}} \left(\|\Delta \tilde{m}_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{d}{4}} + \|\Delta \tilde{m}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{d}{4}} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^4(0, T)). \quad (4.11)$$

Then, the convergence $\int_0^T H_{5a} dt \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ follows from (2.1), (4.3) and (4.5). Moreover,

$$H_{5b} \leq \left| \left(\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon \right|_{L^2} \|\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}\|_{L^2_\rho} \left| \left(\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi \right|_{L^\infty},$$

where by lemma 3.2 and (4.3), $\int_0^T H_{5b} dt \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$. For H_{5c} , recall $f_\epsilon = (\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R - \psi^R) \varphi \rho$ from part (i), where $f_\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \in L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^1(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2))$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{5c} &= \left\langle \tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}, \left((\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon \right) \times f_\epsilon \right\rangle_{L^2} \\
&= \langle (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon, \Delta f_\epsilon \times (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) + f_\epsilon \times \Delta(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) + 2 \nabla f_\epsilon \times \nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \rangle_{L^2} \\
&\quad + 2 \langle \nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon \times \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon, \nabla f_\epsilon \times (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) + f_\epsilon \times \nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \rangle_{L^2} \\
&\leq (1 + \|\tilde{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}) \|\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^2} (\|\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta f_\epsilon\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})\|_{L^2} \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} + 2 \|\nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})\|_{L^4} \|\nabla f_\epsilon\|_{L^4}) \\
&\quad + 2 \|\nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^4} \|\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla f_\epsilon\|_{L^4} \|\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}\|_{L^\infty} + \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})\|_{L^4}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{u}_\epsilon, \tilde{m} \in L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2))$, $\int_0^T H_{5c} dt \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ by the convergence of f_ϵ as in part (i).

For H_6 , recall (4.7). Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{-1} \langle H_6, \varphi \rho \rangle_{L^2} &= \langle \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon, (\varphi \rho \times (\tilde{m} + e_3)) \times (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \rangle_{L^2} \\
&= \langle (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon \times (\Delta(\varphi \rho) \times (\tilde{m} + e_3) + 2 \nabla(\varphi \rho) \times \nabla \tilde{m}) \rangle_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \langle (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon \times (\varphi \times \Delta \tilde{m}) \rangle_{L^2_\rho} \\
&\quad + \langle \Delta(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \Delta \tilde{m} \times (\varphi \times (\tilde{m} + e_3)) \rangle_{L^2_\rho} \\
&\quad + 2 \langle \nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon \times (\nabla(\varphi \rho) \times (\tilde{m} + e_3) + \varphi \rho \times \nabla \tilde{m}) \rangle_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^2} (\|\Delta(\varphi \rho)\|_{L^2}^{-1} + \|\nabla \tilde{m}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla(\varphi \rho)\|_{L^4}^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + |(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})\rho|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\Delta \tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\varphi|_{\mathbb{H}^2} \\
& + \langle \Delta(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \Delta \tilde{m} \times (\varphi \times (\tilde{m} + e_3)) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2_\rho} \\
& + |\nabla(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})\rho|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\Delta \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{L}^2} (|\nabla(\varphi\rho)\rho^{-1}|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + |\nabla \tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\varphi|_{\mathbb{H}^2}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the third term on the right-hand side converges by the weak convergence in (4.3) and the fact $\Delta \tilde{m} \times (\varphi \times (\tilde{m} + e_3)) \in L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$. Thus, $\int_0^T \langle H_6, \varphi \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ holds by (2.1), (4.5) and (4.11).

For H_7 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle H_7, \varphi \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \Delta^2(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \varphi \rho \times (\tilde{m} + e_3) + \alpha(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times ((\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \varphi \rho) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \langle \Delta(\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \Delta(\varphi \rho \times (\tilde{m} + e_3) + \alpha(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times ((\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \varphi \rho)) \rho^{-1} \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2_\rho},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(\varphi \rho \times (\tilde{m} + e_3) + \alpha(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times ((\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \varphi \rho)) \rho^{-1} \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$. Then $\int_0^T \langle H_7, \varphi \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ follows from the weak convergence in (4.3). Therefore, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, for $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T I_{1, \bar{F}} dt \right|^p \right] \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^7 \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T \langle H_i, \varphi \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt \right|^p \right] \rightarrow 0.$$

(iii) For $I_{2, \bar{F}}$, recall that for any $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T \left| \left(\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 (t) dt \right)^p \right] < \infty.$$

Then it is clear from (4.2) that

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T \left| \tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 (t) dt \right)^p \right] < \infty.$$

Since $I_{2, \bar{F}} \leq |\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R \bar{F}(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3)|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |d_\epsilon(\varphi \rho)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$, by the approximation property of J_ϵ , we have $d_\epsilon(\varphi \rho) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$ and thus $\int_0^T I_{2, \bar{F}} dt \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$.

Moreover, since $\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon$ and $\tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times ((\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon)$ are in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$, there exist measurable processes $Y, Z \in L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon \rightharpoonup Y \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)), \\
& \tilde{\psi}_\epsilon^R(\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times ((\tilde{u}_\epsilon + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup Z \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the arguments in previous steps and the uniqueness of weak limit, we have $Y = (\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{m}$ and $Z = (\tilde{m} + e_3) \times ((\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{m})$ in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$ in the weak sense (2.4).

- (iv) Similar calculations hold for I_{1,∇_v} and I_{2,∇_v} . By the uniform integrability and strong convergence of \tilde{m}_ϵ in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\rho^1))$ and properties of J_ϵ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \langle \nabla_v (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} dt \right] &\leqslant \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T |v|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |\nabla (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} |\varphi|_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt \right] \rightarrow 0, \\ \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \langle \nabla_v \tilde{u}_\epsilon, d_\epsilon(\varphi \rho) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt \right] &\leqslant |v|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} \left(\sup_{\epsilon>0} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[|\nabla \tilde{u}_\epsilon|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T |d_\epsilon(\varphi \rho)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0,\end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The same arguments follow for convergence in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$, $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Convergence of $S_{k,\epsilon}$.

For I_{1,S_k} ,

$$\begin{aligned}I_{1,S_k} &= \langle S_k(\tilde{u}_\epsilon) - S_k(\tilde{m}), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &= \left\langle (\nabla_{g_k})^2 (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \varphi \rho \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= - \langle \nabla_{g_k} (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}), \nabla_{g_k} (\varphi \rho) + (\operatorname{div} g_k) \varphi \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |\nabla (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m}) \rho|_{\mathbb{L}^2} (|\nabla (\varphi \rho) \rho^{-1}|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\varphi|_{\mathbb{L}^2}).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\sum_k \int_0^T I_{1,S_k} dt \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ by (2.1), (2.2) and (4.3).

As in the case of $I_{2,F}$, the convergence of $\sum_k I_{2,S_k} = \sum_k \langle S_k(\tilde{u}_\epsilon), d_\epsilon(\varphi \rho) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}$ follows from (2.2), the $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)$ -integrability of \tilde{u}_ϵ and properties of J_ϵ .

Convergence of $G_{k,\epsilon}$.

By (A.5),

$$\begin{aligned}|G_{k,\epsilon}(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) - G_k(\tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} &\leqslant |J_\epsilon(G_k(\tilde{u}_\epsilon) - G_k(\tilde{m}))|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} + |J_\epsilon G_k(\tilde{m}) - G_k(\tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &\leqslant c |G_k(\tilde{u}_\epsilon) - G_k(\tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} + |d_\epsilon(G_k(\tilde{m})) - G_k(\tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $G_k(\tilde{m}) = -\nabla_{g_k} \tilde{m} \in L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$, and

$$|G_k(\tilde{u}_\epsilon) - G_k(\tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \leqslant |g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)} |\nabla (\tilde{u}_\epsilon - \tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2},$$

we obtain convergence for $\sum_k |G_{k,\epsilon}(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) - G_k(\tilde{m})|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega}; L^1(0, T))$ from (2.2), (4.3) and approximation properties of J_ϵ . \square

By the density of $L^4(0, T; \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}_\rho^2)$, the weak convergences in lemma 4.1 reduce to

$$F^R_\epsilon(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k S_{k,\epsilon}(\tilde{m}_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup F(\tilde{m} + e_3) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k S_k(\tilde{m}) \quad \text{in } L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}_\rho^2)).$$

5. Proof of theorem 2.1

5.1. Existence of martingale solution

As in [5, section 5], we can show that the limit process (\tilde{m}, \tilde{W}) on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ from section 4 is a martingale solution of the equation

$$\tilde{m}(t) = \tilde{m}_0 + \int_0^t \bar{F}(\tilde{m} + e_3)(s) \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \int_0^t S_k(\tilde{m})(s) \, ds + \sum_k \int_0^t G_k(\tilde{m})(s) \, d\tilde{W}_k(s). \quad (5.1)$$

We only outline the arguments here. Since $\{\tilde{W}_\epsilon\}$ have the same laws as W , the processes \tilde{W}_ϵ and thus \tilde{W} are Wiener processes with covariance Q on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$. Using the pointwise convergence $(\tilde{m}_\epsilon, \tilde{W}_\epsilon) \rightarrow (\tilde{m}, \tilde{W})$ in $E \times C([0, T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))$, lemma 4.1 and the embedding $\mathbb{L}_\rho^2 \Subset \mathbb{H}^{-1}$, we deduce from the uniqueness of weak limit in $L^2(\tilde{\Omega}; \mathbb{H}^{-1})$ that $\tilde{m}(t)$ satisfies (5.1) (in the weak sense) for every $t \in [0, T]$ and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\tilde{m}(t)|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)}^2 + |(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{m}|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right] < \infty,$$

with $|\tilde{m} + e_3| = 1$, a.e. in $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. Thus, $\tilde{M} := \tilde{m} + e_3$ is a solution of (2.3) in the sense of definition 2.1.

Using Kolmogorov's criterion, we deduce that $\tilde{m} = \tilde{M} - e_3$ has paths in $C^\sigma([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$ $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. Let $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_s^t |F(\tilde{M}(r))|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \, dr \right)^{2p} \right] &\leq |t-s|^p \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_s^t |F(\tilde{M}(r))|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \, dr \right)^p \right] \\ &\lesssim |t-s|^p \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T (|\nabla \tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + |(\tilde{m} + e_3) \times \Delta^2 \tilde{m}|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2)(r) \, dr \right)^p \right] \\ &\lesssim |t-s|^p, \\ \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\sum_k \int_s^t |S_k(\tilde{m}(r))|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \, dr \right)^{2p} \right] &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\sum_k |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \int_s^t |\nabla \tilde{m}(r)|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \, dr \right)^{2p} \right] \\ &\lesssim |t-s|^{2p} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[|\nabla \tilde{m}|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^1)}^{2p} \right] \\ &\lesssim |t-s|^{2p}, \\ \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\sum_k \left| \int_s^t G_k(\tilde{m}(r)) \, d\tilde{W}_k(r) \right|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \right)^{2p} \right] &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\sum_k \int_s^t |G_k(\tilde{m}(r))|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \, dr \right)^p \right] \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\sum_k |g_k|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \int_s^t |\tilde{m}(r)|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \, dr \right)^p \right] \\ &\lesssim |t-s|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[|\tilde{m}(t) - \tilde{m}(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2p} \right] \lesssim |t-s|^p,$$

proving the $C^\sigma([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$ -regularity for $\sigma \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.

5.2. Pathwise uniqueness

Let M_1 and M_2 be two martingale solutions of the equation (2.3) defined on the same filtered probability space and with the same Wiener process $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P}, W)$. As usual, we set $m_1 := M_1 - e_3$ and $m_2 := M_2 - e_3$. Then, m_1 and m_2 are martingale solutions of (5.1). Note that in distributional sense

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 \times (M_1 \times \Delta M_1) &= -|\nabla M_1|^2 M_1 - \Delta M_1, \\ M_1 \times (M_1 \times \Delta^2 M_1) &= \langle M_1, \Delta^2 M_1 \rangle M_1 - \Delta^2 M_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $y := M_1 - M_2 = m_1 - m_2 \in \mathbb{L}^2$. By the Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= -\langle y, \Delta y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^4} &\leq c |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{8}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d}{8}}, \\ |y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} &\leq c |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1 - \frac{d}{4}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{d}{4}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

In addition, $|y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \leq 2$, $y(0) = 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} dy &= y \times (\Delta M_1 + \Delta^2 M_1) dt + M_2 \times (\Delta y + \Delta^2 y) dt - y \times h e_3 dt \\ &\quad - \alpha (\langle \nabla y, \nabla (M_1 + M_2) \rangle M_1 + |\nabla M_2|^2 y) dt \\ &\quad + \alpha (\langle y, \Delta^2 M_1 \rangle M_1 + \langle M_2, \Delta^2 y \rangle M_1 + \langle M_2, \Delta^2 M_2 \rangle y) dt \\ &\quad - \alpha (y \times (M_1 \times h e_3) + M_2 \times (y \times h e_3)) dt \\ &\quad - \gamma (y \times \nabla_v M_1 + M_2 \times \nabla_v y) dt \\ &\quad - \alpha (\Delta^2 y + \Delta y) dt - \nabla_v y dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\nabla_{g_k})^2 y dt - \sum_k \nabla_{g_k} y dW_k. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô's lemma to $\frac{1}{2}|y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} d|y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \langle y, M_2 \times (\Delta y + \Delta^2 y) - \alpha M_2 \times (y \times h e_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt \\ &\quad - \alpha \langle y, \langle \nabla y, \nabla (M_1 + M_2) \rangle M_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla M_2|^2 |y|^2 dx dt \\ &\quad + \alpha \langle y, \langle y, \Delta^2 M_1 \rangle M_1 + \langle M_2, \Delta^2 y \rangle M_1 + \langle M_2, \Delta^2 M_2 \rangle y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt \\ &\quad - \gamma \langle y, M_2 \times \nabla_v y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dt + \alpha (|\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \left(\langle y, (\nabla_{g_k})^2 y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\nabla_{g_k} y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) dt - \sum_k \langle y, \nabla_{g_k} y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dW_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle y, \nabla_v y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = 0$ and by (5.2),

$$|\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \delta^{-1} |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \delta |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,$$

for $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

For the Stratonovich and Itô correction terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \left(\langle y, (\nabla_{g_k})^2 y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\nabla_{g_k} y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) &= - \sum_k \langle y, (\operatorname{div} g_k) \nabla_{g_k} y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{-1} \sum_k |g_k|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \delta |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \delta |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for the diffusion term,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \langle y, \nabla_{g_k} y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \langle y, (\operatorname{div} g_k) y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_k |\operatorname{div} g_k|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 |y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_k |g_k|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side has finite expectation, implying that the Itô integral is a well-defined continuous martingale.

We estimate the main drift part below.

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle y, M_2 \times \Delta y - \alpha M_2 \times (y \times h e_3) - \gamma M_2 \times \nabla_v y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \langle y, M_2 \times \Delta y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \alpha \langle y, M_2 \times (y \times h e_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \gamma \langle y, M_2 \times \nabla_v y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \delta |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, M_2 \times \Delta^2 y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle y \times \Delta M_2, \Delta y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + 2 \langle \nabla y \times \nabla M_2, \Delta y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\leq |y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + 2 |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1-\frac{d}{4}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1+\frac{d}{4}} + |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1} |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{8}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{d}{8}} \\ &\lesssim \left(|\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{8}{4-d}} + |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{\frac{16}{4-d}} \right) |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \delta |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the α terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, \langle \nabla y, \nabla(M_1 + M_2) \rangle M_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &\leq |y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\nabla(M_1 + M_2)|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim |y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla(M_1 + M_2)|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{d}{4}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{d}{4}} |\nabla(M_1 + M_2)|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim |\nabla(M_1 + M_2)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{8}{6-d}} |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \delta |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle y, \langle y, \Delta^2 M_1 \rangle M_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle (y \cdot M_1) y, \Delta^2 M_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \langle (\Delta y \cdot M_1) y + 2(\nabla y \cdot \nabla M_1) y + (y \cdot M_1) \Delta y, \Delta M_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + 2 \langle (\nabla y \cdot M_1 + y \cdot \nabla M_1) \nabla y, \Delta M_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle y, \Delta M_1 \rangle^2 dx \\
&\leq 2(|y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2) |\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + 4|y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 |\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1-\frac{d}{4}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1+\frac{d}{4}} |\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left(|y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3d}{8}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3d}{8}} + |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2-\frac{d}{2}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{d}{2}} \right) |\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \\
&\lesssim \left(|\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{8}{4-d}} + |\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{\frac{32}{12-3d}} \right) |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \delta |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \\
\langle y, \langle M_2, \Delta^2 M_2 \rangle y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle |y|^2 M_2, \Delta^2 M_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^2 |\Delta M_2|^2 dx + 2 \langle (y \cdot \Delta y + |\nabla y|^2) M_2 + 2(y \cdot \nabla y) \nabla M_2, \Delta M_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\leq 2(|y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + 2|y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^4}) |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1-\frac{d}{4}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1+\frac{d}{4}} |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left(|y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2-\frac{d}{2}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{d}{2}} + |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3d}{8}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3d}{8}} \right) |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1} |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \left(|\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{8}{4-d}} + |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{\frac{32}{12-3d}} \right) + \delta |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the $\Delta^2 y$ term,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle y, \langle M_2, \Delta^2 y \rangle M_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle (y \cdot M_1) M_2, \Delta^2 y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Delta y, M_1 \rangle \langle \Delta y, M_2 \rangle dx \\
&\quad + \langle (y \cdot \Delta M_1) M_2 + (y \cdot M_1) \Delta M_2 + 2(y \cdot \nabla M_1) \nabla M_2, \Delta y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\quad + 2 \langle (\nabla y \cdot \nabla M_1) M_2 + (\nabla y \cdot M_1) \nabla M_2, \Delta y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Delta y, M_1 \rangle \langle \Delta y, M_2 \rangle dx \\
&\quad + |y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} (|\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^4}) \\
&\quad + 2 |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} (|\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^4}) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Delta y, M_1 \rangle \langle \Delta y, M_2 \rangle dx \\
&\quad + c |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1-\frac{d}{4}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1+\frac{d}{4}} (|\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{H}^1} |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1}) \\
&\quad + c |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{8}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{d}{8}} (|\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1}) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Delta y, M_1 \rangle \langle \Delta y, M_2 \rangle dx + c \delta |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\quad + c (\delta^{-1}) (|\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{H}^1} |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1})^{\frac{8}{4-d}} |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\quad + c (\delta^{-1}) (|\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1})^{\frac{16}{4-d}} |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Delta y, M_1 \rangle \langle \Delta y, M_2 \rangle \, dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Delta y, M_1 \rangle (|\nabla M_2|^2 + \langle M_2, \Delta M_1 \rangle) \, ds \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Delta y, M_2 \rangle (|\nabla M_1|^2 + \langle M_1, \Delta M_2 \rangle) \, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Delta y, y \rangle (|\nabla M_2|^2 + \langle M_2, \Delta M_1 \rangle) \, dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Delta y, M_2 \rangle (\langle \nabla(M_1 + M_2), -\nabla y \rangle + \langle M_2, \Delta y \rangle - \langle y, \Delta M_2 \rangle) \, dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |y|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} (|\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + |\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |\nabla y|_{\mathbb{L}^4} |\nabla(M_1 + M_2)|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \frac{1}{2} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + c|y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1-\frac{d}{4}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1+\frac{d}{4}} (|\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + |\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \\
&\quad + c|y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{8}} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{d}{8}} |\nabla(M_1 + M_2)|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + c\delta |\Delta y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\quad + c(\delta^{-1}) \left((|\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + |\Delta M_1|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |\Delta M_2|_{\mathbb{L}^2})^{\frac{8}{4-d}} + |\nabla(M_1 + M_2)|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{\frac{16}{4-d}} \right) |y|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2} d|y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \phi(t) |y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 dt + \alpha \left(c_1 \delta - \frac{1}{2} \right) |\Delta y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 dt - \sum_k \langle y, \nabla_{g_k} y \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} dW_k,$$

for some constant c_1 and

$$\phi(t) = c(h, \delta^{-1}) (1 + |\nabla M_1|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^q + |\nabla M_2|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^q),$$

for some $q \in [2, \infty)$ depending on d . Since $\nabla M_1, \nabla M_2$ in $L^{2p}(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^1))$, we have $\int_0^T \phi(t) dt < \infty$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. Let δ be sufficiently small such that $c_1 \delta - \frac{1}{2} < 0$.

If $\operatorname{div} g_k = 0$ for all k , then applying Gronwall's inequality directly and using the fact $y(0) = 0$,

$$|y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq |y(0)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 e^{\int_0^T \phi(s) ds} = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Otherwise, let $X(t) := \frac{1}{2} |y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 e^{-2 \int_0^t \phi(s) ds}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
dX(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle d|y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, e^{-2 \int_0^t \phi(s) ds} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle |y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, -2\phi(t) e^{-2 \int_0^t \phi(s) ds} \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle d|y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, d e^{-2 \int_0^t \phi(s) ds} \right\rangle \\
&\leq -e^{-2 \int_0^t \phi(s) ds} \sum_k \langle y, \nabla_{g_k} y \rangle dW_k,
\end{aligned}$$

where the process $M(t) := \sum_k \int_0^t e^{-2 \int_0^s \phi(s) ds} \langle y, \nabla_{g_k} y \rangle dW_k$ is a martingale. Hence, $\mathbb{E}[X(t)] \leq \mathbb{E}[M(t)] = 0$, implying that

$$\mathbb{E}[|y(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2] = 0, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

This proves the pathwise uniqueness of the solution of (2.3), concluding the proof of theorem 2.1.

Data availability statement

No new data were created or analysed in this study.

Acknowledgment

We thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments, which have led to corollary 2.2 and improved the presentation of this paper.

Appendix A. Preliminary estimates

A.1. Useful formulae

Let $u, w \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and $f, g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $d = 2, 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_g)(u \times w) &= \nabla_g u \times w + u \times \nabla_g w, \\ (\nabla_g)^2 u &= \sum_{i=1}^d g_i^2 \partial_i^2 u + \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^d g_i g_j \partial_{ij} u + \nabla_{\nabla_g g} u, \\ \nabla_f(\nabla_g u) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d f_i g_j \partial_{ij} u + \nabla_{\nabla_f g} u. \end{aligned}$$

For u, w with suitable decay properties,

$$\langle \nabla_f u, w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = - \langle u, \nabla_f w + (\operatorname{div} f) w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\langle \nabla_f u, \nabla_g w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \langle f_i g_j \partial_{ij} u + \partial_j(f_i g_j) \partial_i u, w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

By (A.1), for $f \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\langle u, \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = - \frac{1}{2} \langle (\operatorname{div} f) u, u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} - \langle |u|^2 u, \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \nabla_f(|u|^2 u) + (\operatorname{div} f) |u|^2 u, u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= 3 \langle |u|^2 \nabla_f u, u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \langle (\operatorname{div} f) |u|^2 u, u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \langle (\operatorname{div} f) |u|^2 u, u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1 - |u|^2) u, \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\operatorname{div} f) (|u|^4 - 2|u|^2) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\operatorname{div} f) (1 - |u|^2)^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div} f \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div} f \, dx = 0$ if f vanishes at infinity.

Without loss of generality, assume that j is supported on the (open) unit ball. Let $B_\epsilon(x)$ denote the open ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$ centred at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then for weighted \mathbb{L}^2 -norm,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_\epsilon u|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} j_\epsilon(x-y) u(y) \, dy \right|^2 \rho(x) \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{B_\epsilon(x)} j_\epsilon(x-y) \rho^{-1}(y) \, dy \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} j_\epsilon(x-y) |u(y)|^2 \rho(y) \, dy \right) \rho(x) \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho^{-1}(y) = (1 + |y|^2)^2$ is bounded from above by $(1 + (|x| + \epsilon)^2)^2$ in $B_\epsilon(x)$, implying

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} j_\epsilon(x-y) \rho^{-1}(y) \rho(x) \, dy &\leq \left(1 + (|x| + \epsilon)^2\right)^2 \rho(x) \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} j_\epsilon(x-y) \, dy \\ &= \left(1 + (|x| + \epsilon)^2\right)^2 \rho(x) \\ &\leq c, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_\epsilon u|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2 &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} j_\epsilon(x-y) |u(y)|^2 \rho(y) \, dy \, dx \\ &= c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} j_\epsilon(x-y) \, dx \right) |u(y)|^2 \rho(y) \, dy \\ &= c |u|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_\epsilon u, w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} &= \langle u, J_\epsilon(w\rho) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \langle u, J_\epsilon w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} + \langle u, J_\epsilon(w\rho) - w\rho \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \langle u, w - J_\epsilon w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} \\ &\leq \langle u, J_\epsilon w \rangle_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} + |u|_{\mathbb{L}^2} |J_\epsilon(w\rho) - w\rho|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + |u|_{\mathbb{L}_\rho^2} |J_\epsilon w - w|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where the last two terms converge to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for $u, w \in \mathbb{L}^2$.

A.2. Estimates for mixed partial derivatives

Lemma A.1. *Let $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a sufficiently smooth function with suitable decay properties. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^d |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq |\Delta u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^d |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq |\Delta u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \tag{A.7}$$

Let $f \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$. Then for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,

$$\langle \partial_i^4 u, f \partial_j u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim |\partial_i u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad \text{eq : sd6} \tag{A.8}$$

$$\langle \partial_i^2 \partial_j^2 u, f \partial_i u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim |\partial_i u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_j^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \tag{A.9}$$

$$\langle \partial_i^3 \partial_j u, f \partial_i u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim |\partial_i u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \tag{A.10}$$

$$\langle \partial_i^3 \partial_j u, f \partial_j u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim |\partial_j u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_j^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Proof. For the inequalities in (A.7), we first observe that

$$|\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \langle \partial_i^2 u, \partial_j^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^d |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = |\Delta u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^d \langle \partial_i^2 u, \partial_j^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = |\Delta u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^d |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leqslant |\Delta u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,$$

and as a result,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^d |\partial_j^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \leqslant |\Delta u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

In order to deduce the inequalities (A.8)–(A.11), we first observe that

$$\langle \partial_i^2 u, f \partial_i^2 \partial_j u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = - \langle \partial_i^2 u, \partial_j f \partial_i^2 u + f \partial_i^2 \partial_j u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} = - \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_i^2 u, \partial_j f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Then for (A.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_i^4 u, f \partial_j u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \partial_i^2 u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_j u + f \partial_i^2 \partial_j u + 2 \partial_i f \partial_{ij} u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \langle \partial_i^2 u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_j u + 2 \partial_i f \partial_{ij} u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_i^2 u, \partial_j f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim |\partial_j u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds by (A.12). Similarly, for (A.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_i^2 \partial_j^2 u, f \partial_i u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \partial_j^2 u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_i u + f \partial_i^3 u + 2 \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \langle \partial_j^2 u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_i u + 2 \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \langle \partial_i f \partial_j^2 u, \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \langle f \partial_i \partial_j^2 u, \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \langle \partial_j^2 u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_i u + \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \langle \partial_i u, \partial_j^2 (f \partial_i^2 u) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \langle \partial_j^2 u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_i u + \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \langle \partial_i u, \partial_j^2 f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - 2 \langle \partial_i u, \partial_j f \partial_j \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad - \langle f \partial_i u, \partial_j^2 \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_j^2 u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_i u + \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_i u, \partial_j^2 f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \langle \partial_i u, \partial_j f \partial_j \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_i u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_j^2 u - \partial_j^2 f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_j^2 u, \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \langle \partial_j^2 f \partial_i u + \partial_j f \partial_{ij} u, \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim |\partial_i u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_j^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the fifth equality holds since the last term in the fourth equality is the negative counterpart of the left-hand side. For (A.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_i^3 \partial_j u, f \partial_i u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \partial_{ij} u, \partial_i^2 (f \partial_i u) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \langle \partial_{ij} u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_i u + f \partial_i^3 u + 2 \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \langle \partial_{ij} u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_i u + 2 \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \langle \partial_i u, \partial_j f \partial_i^3 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \langle \partial_i u, f \partial_i^3 \partial_j u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_{ij} u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_i u + 2 \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_i u, \partial_j f \partial_i^3 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_{ij} u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_i u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \langle \partial_{ij} u, \partial_i f \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_j f \partial_i^2 u + \partial_i f \partial_i u, \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim |\partial_i u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For (A.11), the derivation is similar to that of (A.10) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_i^3 \partial_j u, f \partial_j u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_{ij} u, \partial_i^2 f \partial_j u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \langle \partial_{ij} u, \partial_i f \partial_{ij} u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_i f \partial_j^2 u + \partial_{ij} f \partial_j u, \partial_i^2 u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim |\partial_j u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_i^2 u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + |\partial_j^2 u|^2 + |\partial_{ij} u|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof. \square

This lemma allows us to treat a higher-order term $\langle \Delta^2 (\nabla_f u), \nabla_f u \rangle$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2 (\nabla_f u) &= \nabla_f (\Delta^2 u) + 4 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i f_j \partial_{ij} (\Delta u) + 2 \left(\nabla_{\Delta f} (\Delta u) + 2 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \partial_{ijk} f_k \partial_{ijk} u \right) \\
&\quad + \left(4 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i (\Delta f_j) \partial_{ij} u + \nabla_{\Delta^2 f} u \right) \\
&= \nabla_f (\Delta^2 u) + 4 T_{1a}(u) + 2 T_{1b}(u) + T_{1c}(u).
\end{aligned}$$

For $f \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, using lemma A.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_{1a}(u), \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \partial_i f_j \partial_{ij} (\Delta u), \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim |f|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla u|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2, \\
\langle T_{1b}(u), \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= \langle \nabla_{\Delta f} (\Delta u), \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + 2 \sum_{i,j,k} \langle \partial_{ijk} f_k \partial_{ijk} u, \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= - \langle \Delta u, \nabla_{\Delta f} \nabla_f u + (\operatorname{div} \Delta f) \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - 2 \sum_{i,j,k} \langle \partial_{ij} u, \partial_k (\partial_{ijk} f_k \nabla_f u) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \quad (\text{A.13}) \\
&\lesssim |f|_{W^{2,\infty} \cap W^{3,4}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla u|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2, \\
\langle T_{1c}(u), \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &= 4 \sum_{i,j} \langle \partial_i (\Delta f_j) \partial_{ij} u, \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \langle \nabla_{\Delta^2 f} u, \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim |f|_{W^{2,\infty} \cap W^{3,4} \cap H^4(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla u|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2, \\
\langle \Delta^2 u, \nabla_f u \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} &\lesssim |f|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 |\nabla u|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|f|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)} + |f|_{W^{3,4}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim |f|_{H^4(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)}$ for $d \leq 3$. The estimates (A.13) help us to address Itô corrections in Step 2(ii) of the proof of lemma 3.2.

Appendix B. On the torus

For equation (2.3) formulated on the torus $\mathbb{T}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, theorem 2.1 still holds with \mathbb{T}^d in place of \mathbb{R}^d . Since the domain is bounded, the proof can be simplified in this case. In this section, let \mathbb{L}^p and \mathbb{H}^σ denote the usual Lebesgue and Hilbert spaces $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $W^{\sigma,2}(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R}^3)$, respectively. We can work with M directly and only need Faedo–Galerkin approximations $\{M_n\}$ with a cut-off function ψ_R that depends on $|M(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}$ instead of $|M(t,x)|^2$. More explicitly, let $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{L}^2 consisting of eigenvectors of the negative Laplacian $-\Delta$ in \mathbb{T}^d and let $\mathbb{H}_n := \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_i, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$. Let Π_n be the orthogonal projection from \mathbb{L}^2 onto \mathbb{H}_n , and we formulate the approximating equation:

$$\begin{aligned} dM_n(t) &= F^R_n(M_n(t)) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_{k,n}(M_n(t)) dt + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{k,n}(M_n(t)) dW_k(t), \\ m_n(0) &= \Pi_n m_0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F^R_n : \mathbb{H}_n &\ni u \mapsto \psi_R(|u|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) \Pi_n \bar{F}(u) - \Pi_n \nabla_v u \in \mathbb{H}_n, \\ S_{k,n} : \mathbb{H}_n &\ni u \mapsto \Pi_n S_k(u) \in \mathbb{H}_n, \\ G_{k,n} : \mathbb{H}_n &\ni u \mapsto \Pi_n G_k(u) \in \mathbb{H}_n, \end{aligned}$$

are locally Lipschitz. The uniform estimates can be obtained as in lemma 3.2, and tightness and compact embeddings hold for the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces without weight or localisation. Then, for the new processes \tilde{M}_n and \tilde{M} (deduced from Skorohod theorem) with strong convergence in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$, convergence of the cut-off function $\tilde{\psi}_n^R = \psi_R(|\tilde{M}_n|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^R = \psi_R(|\tilde{M}|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty})$ in $L^{2p}(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T))$ is immediate from Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\psi}_n^R(t) - \tilde{\psi}^R(t)| &\leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} |\psi'_R(y)| \left| |\tilde{M}_n(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} - |\tilde{M}(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \right| \\ &\lesssim |\tilde{M}_n(t) - \tilde{M}(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim |\tilde{M}_n(t) - \tilde{M}(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1-\frac{d}{4}} |\tilde{M}_n(t) - \tilde{M}(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^{\frac{d}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain similar convergences to lemma 4.1 in $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{R}^3)$ without knowing $\tilde{\psi}^R(t) = 1$, and then we can deduce the existence of weak martingale solution to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}(t) &= \tilde{M}_0 + \int_0^t \left(\tilde{\psi}^R \bar{F}(\tilde{M}) - \nabla_v \tilde{M} \right)(s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \int_0^t S_k(\tilde{M})(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_k \int_0^t G_k(\tilde{M})(s) d\tilde{W}_k(s). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Finally, $|\tilde{M}(t,x)| = 1$ (and thus $\tilde{\psi}^R(t) = 1$ for $R > 1$) for a.e.- (t,x) , $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. can be shown using a similar but simpler calculation to lemma 3.3, and the pathwise uniqueness follows as in section 5.2.

References

- [1] Abanov A and Pokrovsky V L 1998 Skyrmion in a real magnetic film *Phys. Rev. B* **58** R8889
- [2] Bernand-Mantel A, Muratov C B and Slastikov V V 2022 A micromagnetic theory of skyrmion lifetime in ultrathin ferromagnetic films *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **119** e2122237119
- [3] Bañas L, Brzezniak Z, Neklyudov M and Prohl A 2014 *Stochastic Ferromagnetism: Analysis and Numerics* (De Gruyter)
- [4] Brzezniak Z, Ferrario B and Zanella M 2024 Invariant measures for a stochastic nonlinear and damped 2D Schrödinger equation *Nonlinearity* **37** 015001
- [5] Brzezniak Z, Goldys B and Jegaraj T 2013 Weak solutions of a stochastic Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation *Appl. Math. Res. eXpress* **2013** 1–33
- [6] Brzezniak Z, Goldys B and Jegaraj T 2017 Large deviations and transitions between equilibria for stochastic Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **226** 497–558
- [7] Brzezniak Z, Flandoli F and Maurelli M 2016 Existence and uniqueness for stochastic 2D Euler flows with bounded vorticity *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **221** 107–42
- [8] Chugreeva O and Melcher C 2018 Strong solvability of regularized stochastic Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **83** 261–82
- [9] Da Prato G and Zabczyk J 2014 *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions* (Cambridge University Press)
- [10] Dorešić T and Melcher C 2022 Global weak solutions for the Landau Lifshitz Gilbert Vlasov Maxwell system coupled via emergent electromagnetic fields *J. Evol. Equ.* **22** 1–32
- [11] Döring L and Melcher C 2017 Compactness results for static and dynamic chiral skyrmions near the conformal limit *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **56** 1–30
- [12] Flandoli F, Galeati L and Luo D 2021 Delayed blow-up by transport noise *Commun. PDE* **46** 1757–88
- [13] Flandoli F and Gatarek D 1995 Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **102** 367–91
- [14] Galeati L 2020 On the convergence of stochastic transport equations to a deterministic parabolic one *Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ.* **8** 833–68
- [15] Guo B and Hong M-C 1993 The Landau-Lifshitz equation of the ferromagnetic spin chain and harmonic maps *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **1** 311–34
- [16] Harpes P 2004 Uniqueness and bubbling of the 2-dimensional Landau-Lifshitz flow *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **20** 213–29
- [17] Hocquet A 2018 Struwe-like solutions for the stochastic harmonic map flow *J. Evol. Equ.* **18** 1189–228
- [18] Komineas S, Melcher C and Venakides S 2020 The profile of chiral skyrmions of small radius *Nonlinearity* **33** 3395
- [19] Kunze M 2013 On a class of martingale problems on Banach spaces *Electron. J. Probab.* **18** 1–30
- [20] Kurzke M, Melcher C and Moser R 2006 Domain walls and vortices in thin ferromagnetic films *Analysis, Modeling and Simulation of Multiscale Problems* (Springer) pp 249–98
- [21] Kurzke M, Melcher C and Moser R 2011 Vortex motion for the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation with spin-transfer torque *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **43** 1099–121
- [22] Mikulevicius R and Rozovskii B L 2004 Stochastic Navier–Stokes equations for turbulent flows *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **35** 1250–310
- [23] Ondreját M 2004 Uniqueness for stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces *Diss. Math.* **426** 1–63
- [24] Ralph D C and Stiles M D 2008 Spin transfer torques *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **320** 1190–216
- [25] Sampaio J, Cros V, Rohart S, Thiaville A and Fert A 2013 Nucleation, stability and current induced motion of isolated magnetic skyrmions in nanostructures *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **8** 839–44
- [26] Struwe M 1985 On the evolution of harmonic mappings of Riemannian surfaces *Comment. Math. Helv.* **60** 558–81
- [27] Sutcliffe P 2017 Skyrmion knots in frustrated magnets *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** 247203
- [28] Taylor M E 2010 *Partial Differential Equations III. Nonlinear Equations* 2nd edn (Springer)