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\begin{abstract}
Mechanically ventilated patients require appropriate settings of respiratory control variables to maintain acceptable gas exchange. To control the carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) level effectively and automatically, system identification based on a human subject was performed using a linear affine model and a nonlinear Hammerstein structure. Subsequently, a robust controller was designed using the H\textsubscript{\infty} loop-shaping approach, which synthesizes the optimal controller based on a specific objective by achieving stability with guaranteed performance. For demonstration purposes, the closed-loop control ventilation system was successfully tested in a human volunteer. The experimental results indicate that the blood CO\textsubscript{2} level may indeed be controlled noninvasively by measuring end-tidal CO\textsubscript{2} from expired air. Keeping the limited amount of experimental data in mind, we conclude that H\textsubscript{\infty} loop-shaping may be a promising technique for control of mechanical ventilation in patients with respiratory insufficiency.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In an intensive care unit, patients with respiratory insufficiency due to lung diseases, injury or undergoing a surgical procedure, may require support from a mechanical ventilator to maintain appropriate gas exchange: oxygenation and carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) elimination from blood circulation [1]. Concerning CO\textsubscript{2} exchange, if an abnormal value of CO\textsubscript{2} pressure in arterial blood (PaCO\textsubscript{2}) persists for a long period of time, this can cause an imbalance of the pH value, which may be life threatening. Therefore, it is essential to regulate PaCO\textsubscript{2} during ventilation therapy in order to avoid both hypercapnia and hypocapnia. Assuming good diffusion conditions (as in a healthy lung), PaCO\textsubscript{2} can be approximated by end-tidal CO\textsubscript{2} (etCO\textsubscript{2}) or CO\textsubscript{2} partial pressure at end of expiration, which can be noninvasively measured from the exhaled air.

Currently, no accurate mathematical model of the cardiopulmonary system is available that allows to estimate etCO\textsubscript{2}. Therefore, in this work, we propose to define a model structure and to parametrize this model using system identification [2]. To this end, we have simplified the problem and assumed a single-input single-output (SISO) system. Minute ventilation (MV; in L/min) was used to control the value of etCO\textsubscript{2} [3–7]. To identify the parameters of a Hammerstein model, our results from a grey box identification are presented. For this, we assumed a nonlinear steady-state (or static) characteristic of the controlled plant (the patient) with, in addition, some linear time dynamics. Note that the nonlinear Hammerstein model is composed of a serial interconnection between a linear time-invariant system and a static nonlinearity; this is also classified as a block-oriented structure [8, 9]. The advantage of the block-oriented structure is that it is able to represent input and output multiplicities; this makes it well suited for application on a cardiopulmonary system, due to its similar behavior of input and output multiplicities [10]. In addition, a linear affine model was identified in order to compare this with the nonlinear Hammerstein modeling results.

After model validation, a robust controller was designed by an H\textsubscript{\infty} loop-shaping approach [11, 12]. Note that this method guarantees closed-loop stability whilst offering performance and robustness trade-offs [13]. Our goal for the H\textsubscript{\infty} loop-shaping approach was to tune the singular value of the open-loop gain or the open-loop transfer function gain, to increase the bandwidth of the system and to eliminate steady-state errors. The advantage of this method is to add performance possibilities while obtaining an exact solution in the H\textsubscript{\infty} optimal sense. For this design method, we present simulation results when evaluating the control performance based on various conditions of model uncertainty.

Finally, a patient-in-the-loop ventilation system was connected to a human volunteer (first author) to test the etCO\textsubscript{2} control algorithm in vivo.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows.
Section 2 describes a unified approach for system identification including a physiological description; here, all the models are parametrized and validated. Section 3 presents the robust control design based on the H₂ loop-shaping technique and the simulation results of the controller under various conditions of model uncertainty. Section 4 presents a discussion of this work and the conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2. SYSTEM MODELING

2.1. SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

The proposed closed-loop system is composed of a medical panel PC for process monitoring, user interface and data storage, a mechanical ventilator (VEN-Tlogic LS, Weinmann Geräte für Medizin GmbH, Germany), a capnograph (CO₂SMO+, Philips GmbH, Germany) for etCO₂ monitoring, a MicroAutoBox II dSpace control unit, and two ARM-based micro-controllers. The system configuration is presented in Figure 1. The data communication protocol was designed based on the CAN (Controller Area Network) protocol. CAN-Bus is a serial fieldbus, which allows additional devices to be connected to the system architecture using this topological arrangement. A data transfer rate of 1 Mbit/s can be obtained and collision avoidance between the messages can be achieved for all connected devices, based on priority assignment. Therefore, the proposed closed-loop system is suitable for real-time automatic control of mechanical ventilation.

2.2. STATIC NONLINEARITY

To serve as an example, system identification was conducted based on one male volunteer with healthy homogeneous lungs and a body mass index within normal range (i.e. 23.3 kg/m²). This person was connected to a mechanical ventilator, which was operating in pressure-controlled mode. All ventilation settings were manually adjusted to extract cardiopulmonary information from the subject.

Based on the static characteristics of the patient, etCO₂ is a nonlinear function of MV [4]. Its static nonlinearity is the so-called “metabolic hyperbola” (Figure 2). By extracting CO₂ information, MV input was increased stepwise from 10 L/min to 25 L/min, and etCO₂ was measured at steady state. We could indeed confirm that etCO₂ is a decreasing function in terms of MV input at steady state. Hence, the more MV applied to the lungs, the less etCO₂ can be measured from the subject. This relationship is important and can be employed for controlling etCO₂ with MV input.

A mathematical description of the nonlinearity may approximate the nonlinearity as a parabolic equation as provided in (1).

\[
etCO₂ = N[MV] = a \cdot MV^2 + b \cdot MV + c \tag{1}\]

where \(N[MV]\) denotes a nonlinear function of \(MV\). In this particular example, \(a = 0.05\), \(b = -2.55\) and \(c = 52.80\) are the best parameters according to a least-squares fit.

2.3. LINEAR AFFINE MODEL

As an initial estimation, a simple dynamic model can be applied to complex input-output relationship of the system in order to evaluate to what extent such a simple model can represent the real system (Figure 3). Such an affine model is formulated by a linear combination form of primitive variables, provided in (2):

\[
y(k) = y_o + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a(i) \cdot y(k-i) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b(j) \cdot u(k-j) \tag{2}\]

where \(y(k)\) represents etCO₂ at the sampling point \(k\), and \(u(k)\) denotes the input \(\Delta P\) at the sampling \(k\). The sampling time for this study was 4.28 s. Also, \(p\) and \(q\) are finite order parameters with \(p \geq q\) and \(y_o\) representing a constant so called offset.

The unknown parameters \((y_o, a(i)\) and \(b(j))\) can be estimated by using a least-squares algorithm based on the input and output measurements. The following algorithm is used to estimate the unknown parameters. According to the experimental data and the model structure from (2) for the linear affine model, it can
be expressed in terms of a vector and matrices, as in (3):

$$Y = X \cdot \beta + \varepsilon,$$

where

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y(k_0 + 1) & y(k_0 + 2) & \cdots & y(M) \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$X^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & y(k_0) & y(k_0 + 1) & \cdots & y(M - 1) \\ 1 & y(k_0 + 1) & y(k_0 + 1) & \cdots & y(M - 1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & y(k_0 - p) & y(k_0 + 1 - p) & \cdots & y(M - 1 - p) \\ 1 & u(k_0) & u(k_0 + 1) & \cdots & u(M - 1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & u(k_0 - q) & u(k_0 + 1 - q) & \cdots & u(M - 1 - q) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} y_o & a_1 & \cdots & a_p & b_1 & \cdots & b_p \end{bmatrix}^T$$

for $k_o \geq p$ and $k_o \geq q$ and $\varepsilon$ is an unknown disturbance vector. The vector $\beta$ or the unknown parameters can then be estimated using the ordinary least-squares algorithm that minimizes the sum of squared errors provided in (4) [2]:

$$\beta = (X^T \cdot X)^{-1} \cdot X^T \cdot Y.$$

In order to relax the muscles involved in respiratory breathing, a fixed respiratory rate (RR) is introduced at 14 bpm to allow the subject to minimize work of breathing [5]. Since the mechanical ventilator was set to pressure-controlled mode, $MV$ was obtained by a multiplication of tidal volume ($V_T$) and respiratory rate (RR). Because of the fixed RR of 14 bpm, the input for this particular system is transformed from $MV$ to the difference in the driving pressure ($\Delta P = PIP - PEEP$) between inspiration and expiration pressure, and has a direct influence on $V_T$.

### 2.4. Nonlinear Hammerstein Model

Providing a representation of signal flow using a block-oriented structure, the Hammerstein model comprises a static nonlinearity $N[•]$ at the input $u(k)$ cascaded with a linear dynamic model $H(z)$:

$$v(k) = N[u(k)],$$

$$y(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a(i) \cdot y(k - i) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b(j) \cdot v(k - j).$$

Rearranging (5) and (6), the Hammerstein model can be described as shown in (7):

$$y(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a(i) \cdot y(k - i) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b(j) \cdot N[u(k - j)]$$

It can be seen from (7) that the Hammerstein model is very similar to the linear dynamic model. Because the qualitative behavior of the transient response is entirely determined by the discrete transfer function of the linear subsystem $H(z)$, it can be used as an alternative to the linear model. This model can exhibit input multiplicities if the static nonlinearity is in the form of input multiplicities. According to the experimental data and the model structure, the unknown parameters $(a(i)$ and $b(j))$ can be estimated by a constrained least-squares algorithm, as provided in (3) and (4).

### 2.5. Evaluation of Model Structure

Both the linear and nonlinear model structures are used to describe this system. The performance index used in our evaluation was obtained by root mean square error (RMSE). Table 1 presents the results of the comparisons, divided into an estimated dataset and a validated dataset for the different model structures. Based on the validation dataset, the first-order Hammerstein model provides the best result of all the listed models. Nevertheless, the first-order linear model also offers the best result of the $RMSE$ evaluation of all the linear models.

In addition, qualitative comparison of the selected models is provided in Figure 3. In the following section, the design of the $H_\infty$ controller and simulation results are conducted using the first-order linear model. It should be emphasized that capnography has an accuracy of $\pm 2$ mmHg for values in the $0–40$ mmHg range, $\pm 5\%$ for values in the $41–70$ mmHg range, and $\pm 8\%$ for values in the $71–150$ mmHg range [14].
This method combines the principle of Bode’s sensitivity integral [15] and the $H_\infty$ optimization technique by minimizing the $H_\infty$ norm in the presence of uncertainty. In designing the $H_\infty$ controller, both stability and performance are taken into account, with bounded differences between the nominal model and the real nonlinear plant.

Given a nominal discrete-time model of a plant $G$, it can be represented using a normalized left coprime factorization (LCF)

\[ G = M^{-1} \cdot N, \]

where $M$ and $N$ are coprime matrices in $RH_\infty$ (Figure 4):

A perturbed model associated with the LCF representation of the plant $G$ is given by (9), where perturbations are assumed to be bounded, is given by

\[ G_p = (M + \Delta M)^{-1} \cdot (N + \Delta N), \]

\[ \|\Delta M\| \cdot \|\Delta N\|_\infty < \epsilon \]

(9)

The objective is to find a robust controller $K$ that stabilizes $G_p$ and minimizes (10).

\[ \gamma_{min} = \left\| \frac{(I-GK)^{-1}M^{-1}}{(I-GK)^{-1}M^{-1}} \right\|_\infty \]

(10)

The solution of this $H_\infty$ norm problem can be solved using the algorithm proposed by McFarlane and Glover [11]. Define $[A, B, C, 0]$ to be a state space minimal realization of plant $G$. Then, the suboptimal $H_\infty$ controller $K$ can be computed by discrete algebraic Riccati equations [16].

The loop-shaping objective is to design a robust controller $K$, so that $\gamma(GK) > 1$ or $|GK(j\omega)| > 1$ (for a case of SISO) at low frequencies (minimizing the effect of output disturbances) and $\gamma(GK) < 1$ or $|GK(j\omega)| < 1$ at high frequencies (minimizing the effect of sensor noise and providing robustness for additional uncertainty). The singular value of the open loop gain $GK$ is shaped based on this design criterion.

Based on the singular value of the plant in Figure 5, the integral action has been chosen to ensure zero steady-state error. In addition, the cut-off frequency is designed to be 0.28 rad/s in comparison with the very low cut-off frequency at 0.03 rad/s of the plant. In this way, the bandwidth is increased by a factor of approximately 10 times. Referring to [11], $\gamma = 1.86$ or $\epsilon = 0.5376$ indicates the allowable proportional uncertainty in $N$ and $M$ of approximately 50% in the crossover frequency range of the shaped plant.

### Table 1. Root mean squared error (RMSE) evaluation of the different model structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Type</th>
<th>Estimated RMSE</th>
<th>Validated RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Linear Affine</td>
<td>2.2475</td>
<td>2.2880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Linear Affine</td>
<td>2.2116</td>
<td>2.2988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Affine w. zero</td>
<td>2.1597</td>
<td>2.4093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Hammerstein</td>
<td>2.1988</td>
<td>1.6709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Hammerstein</td>
<td>2.1680</td>
<td>1.7804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Ham. w. zero</td>
<td>2.1351</td>
<td>1.8085</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 5. Shaping the singular value of the single-input single-output (SISO) system.
3.3. Evaluation of closed-loop control ventilation

After simulating the controller performance, closed-loop control ventilation is implemented and tested on a human volunteer. The result of the control performance is presented in Figure 7.

Robustness with a step response can be achieved for the required etCO$_2$ at 35 mmHg under real disturbance and measurement uncertainty. Within approximately 60 s, the target etCO$_2$ is satisfied. The response lies in an acceptable range for clinical application. It should be emphasized that a relatively good performance can be obtained by the robust H$_\infty$ loop-shaping controller.

4. Discussion

For an abnormal lung condition, like acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), etCO$_2$ does not correspond to PaCO$_2$ and therefore invasive measurement of PaCO$_2$ is required. Therefore, our focus of identification and control design for etCO$_2$ is only valid for patients after treatment with the Open Lung recruitment maneuver [17], or for patients whose etCO$_2$ reading appropriately reflects the true PaCO$_2$ (as, for example, in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) [18]. In such cases, the mean value of CO$_2$ pressure in arterial blood (PaCO$_2$) is approximately 9 mmHg higher than the value of etCO$_2$ and the required value of etCO$_2$ should be adapted based on the calibration with PaCO$_2$, which can be obtained from blood gas analysis.

The second-order model with one zero is correlated to the pharmacological two-compartment model as proposed in [7]. The etCO$_2$ represents the output from the lung compartment and is one of the state variables in the model. Zero position relies on gas transport from tissues to the lung. Our findings of poles and zero positions based on animal experiments correlate well with the findings derived from 18 patients [7]. One pole is located near the origin of the unit circle and another pole is near the point (1, 0) in the unit circle.

The identification parameters are subject to measuring errors due to the limitations of capnography: its accuracy for etCO$_2$ monitoring is ±2 mmHg for the 0–40 mmHg range, ±5% for the 41–70 mmHg range, and ±8% for the 71–150 mmHg range, and the resolution is 1 mmHg [14]. Therefore, the identified parameters will not perfectly reflect the underlying parameters of the plant. In other words, parameter uncertainty also exists because of the limitations related to the accuracy and resolution of the measuring device itself.

Considering the input applied to the system, MV shows a better result compared to inverse minute ventilation (IMV) for both the linear affine and the Hammerstein model. The design problem is simplified to be a SISO system by regarding MV as the input and etCO$_2$ as the output. In a pressure-controlled ventilation mode, tidal volume cannot be directly adjusted. Thus, a pressure difference should be changed in order to meet the required tidal volume.

The Hammerstein model provided better numerical results compared with the affine model, especially for the validation dataset (Table 1). Note that the Hammerstein model has been successfully applied in several other biomedical applications [19], including the stretch reflex EMG [20] and heart rate regulation [21]. In our clinical application, the complex nonlinear cardiopulmonary system can be better modeled by a Hammerstein model than by an affine model. It should be noted that the block-oriented NARMAX models [8], which offer a modeling for output multiplicities, did not give acceptable results when they were tested; therefore, those results are not presented or discussed here.

Regarding patient safety, MV that is too low leads to low oxygenation and a risk of mortality. On the other hand, extremely high MV carries a high risk of trauma and a possibility of lung damage. Thus, actuator saturation should be introduced in our system design with the aid of an anti-windup technique and should be considered for future research work.

5. Conclusion

In a clinical application, etCO$_2$ is required to be feedback-controlled to a certain value to minimize the risk of hypercapnia or hypocapnia. To realize this task, we propose a model-based approach by
identifying the model parameters of a complex nonlinear cardiopulmonary system using a block-oriented structure with the linear affine and the Hammerstein models. A robust control design was implemented using the $H_\infty$ loop-shaping approach based on the derived affine model. The simulation results indicate a good control performance of the $H_\infty$ loop-shaping controller for both the linear affine and the nonlinear Hammerstein models, including possible parameter variations up to 12%. Finally, for demonstration purposes, the controller was tested in a task to control etCO$_2$ in a healthy volunteer and a positive result was achieved with the robust $H_\infty$ loop-shaping controller.
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