



Measurement of the $\psi(2S)$ to J/ψ cross-section ratio as a function of centrality in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$

LHCb collaboration[†]

Abstract

The ratio of prompt production cross-sections of $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ mesons in their dimuon final state is measured as a function of centrality, using data collected by the LHCb detector in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$, for the first time in the forward rapidity region. The measured ratio shows no dependence on the collision centrality, and is compared to the latest theory predictions and to the recent measurements in literature.

Published in JHEP07(2025)235

© 2025 CERN for the benefit of the LHCb collaboration. CC BY 4.0 licence.

[†]Authors are listed at the end of this paper.

1 Introduction

The production of charmonia, in particular of the J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ states, has long been considered a key probe for understanding the properties of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP). The idea that quarkonium suppression is an indicator of QGP formation was introduced by T. Matsui and H. Satz, who proposed that in deconfined nuclear matter, $c\bar{c}$ pairs become unbound under the effect of colour screening [1], with different yields for different states according to their binding energy. Recently, the regeneration mechanism, within the QGP or at the phase boundary, has been considered an important ingredient for describing the J/ψ yields at the LHC [2] and nominal RHIC energies [3], with $\psi(2S)$ production relative to that of J/ψ representing one possible discriminator between the two different regeneration scenarios [4, 5]. Early results from the NA50 collaboration at the SPS indicated J/ψ suppression in PbPb collisions [6, 7]. These results were extensively debated but were not a conclusive signature of QGP formation as other competing mechanisms, such as final-state interactions with comoving particles, can contribute to quarkonium suppression [8]. Further studies in small systems by the E866 [9], PHENIX [10], ALICE [11], CMS [12] and LHCb [13, 14] experiments showed that the charmonium suppression is observed even in collision systems like proton-nucleus (pA). In particular, the suppression of $\psi(2S)$ production relative to J/ψ in pA collisions suggests that comovers mechanisms are important in understanding quarkonium behaviour in high-energy collisions. Theoretical models accounting for these effects have been developed to provide a more comprehensive interpretation of the data [15]. In addition, results from the PHENIX [16] and STAR [17] experiments demonstrated significant suppression of J/ψ yields in AuAu collisions, providing insight into the competing effects of QGP formation and CNM contributions. These findings were then complemented by the ALICE [2] and CMS [18] experiments which studied charmonium production in hadronic PbPb collisions. Furthermore, the ALICE [19], CMS [20], and LHCb [21] collaborations have investigated charmonium photoproduction in ultra-peripheral collisions (UPCs), offering a complementary perspective by probing the gluon distributions in nuclei at low Bjorken- x . Together, these studies have advanced the understanding of the interplay between cold and hot nuclear matter effects, motivating new investigations of the properties of the medium through the relative suppression of quarkonium states.

In this paper, the relative prompt production of $\psi(2S)$ to J/ψ states, both decaying to two muons, is studied as a function of centrality using the 2018 PbPb collision data collected at a nucleon-nucleon centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV by the LHCb experiment, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of around $230 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ [22]. The ratio of cross-sections (σ) of the two charmonium states multiplied by their branching fractions ($\mathcal{B}$) in the dimuon channel is measured as

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} \cdot \frac{\sigma(\psi(2S))}{\sigma(J/\psi)} = \frac{N(\psi(2S))}{N(J/\psi)} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{tot}}(J/\psi)}{\varepsilon_{\text{tot}}(\psi(2S))}, \quad (1)$$

where N and ε_{tot} are the signal yields and efficiencies, respectively.

2 The LHCb detector and data selection

The LHCb detector [23, 24] is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$, designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks. The detector

includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector (VELO) surrounding the interaction region [25], a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 T m, and three stations of silicon-strip detectors and straw drift tubes [26] placed downstream of the magnet. The tracking system provides a measurement of the momentum, p , of charged particles with a relative uncertainty that varies from 0.5% at low momentum to 1.0% at 200 GeV/ c . The minimum distance of a track to a primary vertex (PV), the impact parameter, is measured with a resolution of $(15 + 29/p_T) \mu\text{m}$, where p_T is the component of the momentum transverse to the beam, in GeV/ c . Different types of charged hadrons are distinguished using information from two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors (RICH) [27]. Photons, electrons and hadrons are identified by a calorimeter system consisting of scintillating-pad and preshower detectors, an electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) and a hadronic calorimeter. Muons are identified by a system composed of alternating layers of iron and multiwire proportional chambers [28].

The online event selection is performed by a trigger [29], which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction. At the hardware level, events are required to have a muon with $p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}/c$. Events are selected at software level if the number of clusters in the VELO, N_c , satisfies $6000 < N_c < 10000$ or if $N_c < 6000$ and two muons with $p_T > 700 \text{ MeV}/c$ are identified. Moreover, to suppress contamination from Pb-gas interactions,¹ a minimum of 15 VELO tracks in the backward direction, $\eta < 0$, is required. In order to select only the prompt contribution, each track must be consistent with originating from a PV, through a selection on the χ_{IP}^2 , defined as the difference in the vertex-fit χ^2 of a given PV reconstructed with and without the track under consideration. The remaining contamination from nonprompt events is found to be around 5% for J/ψ and 8% for $\psi(2S)$, and included in the systematic uncertainties on the ratio measurement. The J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ candidates are reconstructed in the dimuon final state, satisfying requirements on rapidity, $2.0 < y < 4.5$, and transverse momentum, $0.3 < p_T < 10 \text{ GeV}/c$, where the lower end of the p_T selection is chosen to reject photoproduced charmonium events [30].

Finally, the two muons are both required to have p_T greater than 900 MeV/ c and to satisfy particle identification (PID) requirements based on information from the RICH, calorimeter and muon systems.

3 Yields in centrality intervals

The charmonium yields are determined in intervals of the collision centrality. Centrality intervals are defined as percentiles of the total inelastic hadronic PbPb cross-section and are associated with the impact parameter of the colliding particles and the mean number of participating nucleons, $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ [31]. A higher centrality percentile corresponds to more peripheral collisions with a larger impact parameter, while a lower centrality percentile corresponds to more central collisions with a smaller impact parameter. To estimate these geometric quantities, the Glauber Monte Carlo (GMC) model adapted to LHCb conditions is used [31, 32]. The impact parameter cannot be measured directly and is

¹Neon gas was injected in the beam pipe near the interaction point, using the LHCb fixed-target SMOG system [22], concurrently with PbPb collisions.

instead estimated using the total energy deposited in the ECAL, with which it scales linearly. The method is based on a binned fit of the total ECAL energy in simulated minimum-bias PbPb interactions where the GMC model is used and the experimental conditions of the signal sample are reproduced. This fit establishes a per-event dependence of $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ and the collision centrality in terms of the energy deposited in the ECAL. The centrality intervals used are defined in Table 1. The data used to determine the ratio are limited to centrality values down to about 60 % due to the inability of the detector to cope with the increasing occupancy.

The charmonium yields, $N(\psi(2S))$ and $N(J/\psi)$, are extracted by an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the dimuon invariant-mass distribution in each centrality interval where the J/ψ signal is modelled by a Crystal Ball function [33] with tail parameters fixed from simulation. A Gaussian function is used to describe the $\psi(2S)$ signal; the difference between the peak positions of the J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ signals is fixed to the difference of the known masses of the two states [34]. The background is described by an exponential function. Figure 1 shows the dimuon invariant-mass distribution in each centrality interval compared to the fit results. The J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ yields extracted by the fit are shown in Table 1.

4 Simulation and efficiencies

The total signal selection efficiencies ε_{tot} in Eq. 1 include the geometric acceptance of the detector, the efficiency due to event reconstruction, candidate selection, particle identification and trigger requirements. Each contribution is determined using simulation and corrected for known differences between data and simulation. The simulated decays are reconstructed and analysed using the same software tools as those used to process the data. In the simulation, J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ particles are generated using PYTHIA [35] and embedded into minimum-bias PbPb collisions from the EPOS event generator [36]. The decays of unstable particles are described by EVTGEN [37], in which final-state radiation is generated using PHOTOS [38]. The interaction of the generated particles with the detector and its response are implemented using the GEANT4 toolkit [39, 40] adapted to LHCb [41]. After reconstruction, the simulated events are weighted to improve agreement with data. The correction weights are obtained as a function of p_T and N_c from the background-subtracted data and simulation sample of J/ψ candidates. The total efficiencies are estimated in each centrality interval, using the weighted simulation.

The acceptance efficiency, defined as the fraction of $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays with both muons entering the acceptance of the LHCb spectrometer, is determined using a simulation sample produced without any requirement on the kinematics of the decay products. This efficiency is found to be independent of centrality. The combined reconstruction and

Table 1: Range for the number of participating nucleons $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ and signal yields in each centrality interval, the uncertainties are statistical only.

Centrality (%)	90–100	80–90	70–80	60–70
$\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$	2.4–5.5	5.5–13.0	13.0–26.5	26.5–48.0
$N(J/\psi)$	596 ± 28	2099 ± 52	3320 ± 74	2221 ± 77
$N(\psi(2S))$	13 ± 5	53 ± 14	68 ± 26	85 ± 36




Figure 1: Dimuon invariant-mass distributions in each centrality interval after all selection requirements are applied, compared to the fit results.

selection efficiency, including the tracking, is calculated as the fraction of generated events in the acceptance that pass the selection requirements other than those related to PID and the trigger. The PID efficiency for the two muons is estimated from dedicated pp calibration data samples [42], and computed as a function of the muon kinematics. Specifically, the muon PID efficiency is determined in intervals of muon p_T and N_c , showing a smooth dependence up to the edge of the multiplicity region at $N_c = 5000$. In each muon p_T bin, it is then extrapolated via a quadratic fit function to N_c values up to 10000, to cover the multiplicity region of the measurement reported here using PbPb collisions. Finally, the trigger efficiency is computed as the fraction of simulated signal particles that pass the trigger requirement.

5 Systematic uncertainties

Several sources of systematic uncertainty associated with the determination of the signal and background yields and with the evaluation of the efficiencies are considered.

The systematic uncertainty arising from the signal parametrisation consists of two contributions. The first is associated with the choice of the fixed parameters of the J/ψ signal fit function. It is estimated by varying the parameters within their uncertainties obtained in simulation. The second contribution is estimated by repeating the signal yield extraction with an alternative parametrisation of the J/ψ signal using the convolution of a Crystal Ball and a Gaussian function where both widths are left free to vary. Compared to the large statistical uncertainty affecting the $\psi(2S)$ yield, the systematic uncertainty arising from the $\psi(2S)$ fit model is negligibly small and ignored. The uncertainty in background modelling is assessed by comparing the results from the baseline fit to those obtained

Table 2: Range of the relative systematic uncertainty contributions for the ratio measurement across the four centrality intervals.

Source	Uncertainty (%)
Signal fit model	0.5–2.2
Background fit model	0.5–6.3
Nonprompt contamination	2.8
Weighting procedure	0.5
PID efficiency extrapolation	0.1–2.8
Simulation sample size	0.5–1.0
Trigger efficiency estimation	< 0.1

using a second-order polynomial instead of the exponential function. As a cross-check, the baseline fit is repeated after constraining the slope of the exponential function using same-sign dimuon pairs, confirming the reliability of the uncertainty assigned from the background model variation. The remaining nonprompt contamination in the candidate sample explained in Sec. 2 is considered. The ratio measurement is repeated reducing the number of signal events by 8% for the $\psi(2S)$ and 5% for the J/ψ ; the difference with the baseline result is included as a systematic uncertainty on the ratio.

The systematic uncertainty arising from the evaluation of the efficiencies consists of different contributions. The first contribution is related to the weighting procedure applied to the simulation, and it is taken into consideration by randomly varying each weight within its uncertainty and estimating the total efficiencies again. Using 50 different sets of generated weights, the uncertainty considered is the relative variation of the obtained efficiencies. This contribution is convolved with the uncertainty associated with the procedure of applying the J/ψ weights to the $\psi(2S)$ simulation. Another contribution pertains to the estimation of the PID efficiency in the high-multiplicity region that is not covered by the pp calibration sample. The extrapolation to that region is performed using a linear function instead of a quadratic function, and the variation of the efficiency is assigned as a systematic uncertainty. The limited size of the simulation sample used to determine the efficiencies is also a source of systematic uncertainty, and is taken into account using the statistical uncertainties of the efficiencies determined from simulation. Finally, a systematic uncertainty due to the trigger efficiency is assigned by using a data-driven method where the efficiency is determined from events triggered independently of the signal muons [43]. The total systematic uncertainty is computed as the sum in quadrature of each contribution shown in Table 2.

6 Results

The ratio measurement integrated over the four centrality intervals is,

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)} \cdot \frac{\sigma(\psi(2S))}{\sigma(J/\psi)} = 0.022 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.001,$$

where the first and second uncertainties are statistical and systematic, respectively.

The results in different centrality intervals are listed in Table 3 and are presented as a function of the number of participating nucleons $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ in Figs. 2 and 3, where they are

compared with some of the recently published measurements and with theory predictions, respectively. In particular, Fig. 2 compares these results to the ALICE measurement [44], performed in PbPb collisions at the same centre-of-mass energy and at similar rapidities and transverse momenta but including both prompt and nonprompt contributions and covering a different centrality region. The two measurements are in agreement within uncertainties in the overlapping region, showing no dependency on $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$. The LHCb pp [45] and $p\text{Pb}$ [46] measurements are reported in the same figure showing also agreement with the results reported here. The ratio measured in these studies is integrated over the kinematic range, and is associated with a number of participating nucleons of $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 2$ (8) for the pp ($p\text{Pb}$) measurement, as estimated using the GMC model [32].

Figure 3 shows a comparison of these results with two recent theoretical calculations. In the statistical hadronization model (SHMc) [47, 48], which includes regeneration at the phase boundary, it is assumed that, near the phase boundary between the QGP at high temperatures and the confined hadronic matter at lower temperatures, a fireball is formed during collisions, which is near thermal equilibrium. The TAMU model [49, 50] is a transport model developed in a nonperturbative hydro-Langevin-RRM framework that includes collisional energy loss and heavy-quark diffusion in the medium and predicts recombination of charm quarks in the QGP phase. When compared to the data reported in this article, the SHMc model, although showing no dependency of the ratio on the centrality, generally underestimates or is at the lower edges of its value. On the other hand, the TAMU model predictions are in better agreement with the experimental result, especially in the lower multiplicity intervals. A slight disagreement is observed in the highest multiplicity interval, where the data differ from the two theoretical predictions and the ALICE measurement by approximately 1.5 standard deviations. It should be noted that while the TAMU model describes inclusive production, the SHMc model includes only the prompt component of charmonium production. In addition, the TAMU model has been computed on a slightly smaller rapidity range.

Table 3: Cross-section times branching fraction ratio between $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ states in intervals of centrality and corresponding number of participating nucleons $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$. The first uncertainties are statistical and the second are systematic.

Centrality (%)	$\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$	$\frac{\mathcal{B}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)} \cdot \frac{\sigma(\psi(2S))}{\sigma(J/\psi)}$
90–100	2.40–5.50	$0.018 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.001$
80–90	5.50–13.0	$0.020 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.001$
70–80	13.0–26.5	$0.016 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.001$
60–70	26.5–48.0	$0.027 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.001$




Figure 2: Cross-section times branching fraction ratio between $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ states as a function of the number of participating nucleons $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ in the $p_T > 0.3$ GeV/ c region compared with ALICE PbPb [44] and LHCb pp [45] and $p\text{Pb}$ [46] results. The vertical error bars represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic uncertainties.




Figure 3: Cross-section times branching fraction ratio between $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ states as a function of the number of participating nucleons $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ in the $p_T > 0.3$ GeV/ c region compared with the SHMc [47, 48] and TAMU model [49, 50] predictions shown by the coloured bands representing the uncertainties. The vertical error bars represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic uncertainties.

7 Conclusions

The $\psi(2S)$ to J/ψ prompt production cross-section ratio in PbPb collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 5.02 TeV corresponding to an integrated luminosity of around $230 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ is measured as a function of event centrality. No significant dependence on the centrality is found. The results are also compared to the latest theory predictions, with which they agree within 1.5 standard deviations or better, with a slight tension in the lowest centrality interval. The SHMc calculations tend to underestimate the data over the whole range; the TAMU model achieves a better description, albeit using a slightly different rapidity range. However, the current experimental precision precludes any firm conclusion. This study is a first step towards a deeper understanding of quarkonium production in semicentral PbPb collisions that can be achieved by additional measurements with the upgraded LHCb detector in Run 3 [51], where, thanks to an improved tracking system, lower centrality values up to 30% can be explored.

Acknowledgements

We thank Anton Andronic, Ralf Rapp and Biao Gang for providing the theoretical predictions for our measurement. We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: ARC (Australia); CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); MOST and NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); NWO (Netherlands); MNiSW and NCN (Poland); MCID/IFA (Romania); MICIU and AEI (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE NP and NSF (USA). We acknowledge the computing resources that are provided by ARDC (Australia), CBPF (Brazil), CERN, IHEP and LZU (China), IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (Netherlands), Polish WLCG (Poland), IFIN-HH (Romania), PIC (Spain), CSCS (Switzerland), and GridPP (United Kingdom). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open-source software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received support from Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, CAS PIFI, CAS CCEPP, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Sci. & Tech. Program of Guangzhou (China); Minciencias (Colombia); EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, ERC and NextGenerationEU (European Union); A*MIDEX, ANR, IPhU and Labex P2IO, and Région Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes (France); Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation (Germany); ICSC (Italy); Severo Ochoa and María de Maeztu Units of Excellence, GVA, XuntaGal, GENCAT, InTalent-Inditex and Prog. Atracción Talento CM (Spain); SRC (Sweden); the Leverhulme Trust, the Royal Society and UKRI (United Kingdom).

References

- [1] T. Matsui and H. Satz, *J/ψ suppression by quark-gluon plasma formation*, Phys. Lett. **B178** (1986) 416.

- [2] ALICE collaboration, J. Adam *et al.*, *J/ψ suppression at forward rapidity in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, Phys. Lett. **B766** (2017) 212, [arXiv:1606.08197](#).
- [3] L. Yan, P. Zhuang, and N. Xu, *Competition between J/ψ suppression and regeneration in quark-gluon plasma*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006) 232301, [arXiv:nucl-th/0608010](#).
- [4] P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, *(Non)thermal aspects of charmonium production and a new look at J/ψ suppression*, Phys. Lett. **B490** (2000) 196, [arXiv:nucl-th/0007059](#).
- [5] R. L. Thews, M. Schroedter, and J. Rafelski, *Enhanced J/ψ production in deconfined quark matter*, Phys. Rev. **C63** (2001) 054905, [arXiv:hep-ph/0007323](#).
- [6] NA50 collaboration, M. C. Abreu *et al.*, *J/ψ and Drell-Yan cross-sections in PbPb interactions at 158 GeV/c per nucleon*, Phys. Lett. **B410** (1997) 327.
- [7] NA50 collaboration, B. Alessandro *et al.*, *A new measurement of J/ψ suppression in Pb-Pb collisions at 158 GeV per nucleon*, Eur. Phys. J. **C39** (2005) 335, [arXiv:hep-ex/0412036](#).
- [8] E. G. Ferreira, *Charmonium dissociation and recombination at LHC: Revisiting comovers*, Phys. Lett. **B731** (2014) 57, [arXiv:1210.3209](#).
- [9] NuSea Collaboration, M. J. Leitch *et al.*, *Measurement of differences between J/ψ and ψ' suppression in p – A collisions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 3256.
- [10] PHENIX collaboration, U. A. Acharya *et al.*, *Measurement of ψ(2S) nuclear modification at backward and forward rapidity in p + p, p + Al, and p + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV*, Phys. Rev. **C105** (2022) 064912, [arXiv:2202.03863](#).
- [11] ALICE collaboration, B. B. Abelev *et al.*, *Suppression of ψ(2S) production in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, JHEP **12** (2014) 073, [arXiv:1405.3796](#).
- [12] CMS collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, *Measurement of prompt ψ(2S) production cross sections in proton-lead and proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, Phys. Lett. **B790** (2019) 509, [arXiv:1805.02248](#).
- [13] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Study of ψ(2S) production and cold nuclear matter effects in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV*, JHEP **03** (2016) 133, [arXiv:1601.07878](#).
- [14] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Prompt and nonprompt J/ψ production and nuclear modification in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV*, Phys. Lett. **B774** (2017) 159, [arXiv:1706.07122](#).
- [15] E. G. Ferreira, *Excited charmonium suppression in proton–nucleus collisions as a consequence of comovers*, Phys. Lett. **B749** (2015) 98, [arXiv:1411.0549](#).
- [16] PHENIX collaboration, A. Adare *et al.*, *J/ψ production vs centrality, transverse momentum, and rapidity in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 232301, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0611020](#).

- [17] STAR collaboration, J. Adam *et al.*, *Measurement of inclusive J/ψ suppression in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV through the dimuon channel at STAR*, Phys. Lett. **B797** (2019) 134917, [arXiv:1905.13669](#).
- [18] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, *Suppression of non-prompt J/ψ , prompt J/ψ , and $\Upsilon(1S)$ in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, JHEP **05** (2012) 063, [arXiv:1201.5069](#).
- [19] ALICE collaboration, S. Acharya *et al.*, *Coherent J/ψ and ψ' photoproduction at midrapidity in ultra-peripheral Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, Eur. Phys. J. **C81** (2021) 712, [arXiv:2101.04577](#).
- [20] CMS collaboration, A. Tumasyan *et al.*, *Probing small Bjorken- x nuclear gluonic structure via coherent J/ψ photoproduction in ultraperipheral Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131** (2023) 262301, [arXiv:2303.16984](#).
- [21] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Study of exclusive photoproduction of charmonium in ultra-peripheral lead-lead collisions*, JHEP **06** (2023) 146, [arXiv:2206.08221](#).
- [22] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Precision luminosity measurements at LHCb*, JINST **9** (2014) P12005, [arXiv:1410.0149](#).
- [23] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, *The LHCb detector at the LHC*, JINST **3** (2008) S08005.
- [24] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *LHCb detector performance*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A30** (2015) 1530022, [arXiv:1412.6352](#).
- [25] R. Aaij *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb Vertex Locator*, JINST **9** (2014) P09007, [arXiv:1405.7808](#).
- [26] LHCb Outer Tracker Group, P. d'Argent *et al.*, *Improved performance of the LHCb Outer Tracker in LHC Run 2*, JINST **12** (2017) P11016, [arXiv:1708.00819](#).
- [27] LHCb RICH Group, M. Adinolfi *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb RICH detector at the LHC*, Eur. Phys. J. **C73** (2013) 2431, [arXiv:1211.6759](#).
- [28] A. A. Alves, Jr. *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb muon system*, JINST **8** (2013) P02022, [arXiv:1211.1346](#).
- [29] R. Aaij *et al.*, *Design and performance of the LHCb trigger and full real-time reconstruction in Run 2 of the LHC*, JINST **14** (2019) P04013, [arXiv:1812.10790](#).
- [30] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *J/ψ photoproduction in Pb-Pb peripheral collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV*, Phys. Rev. **C105** (2022) L032201, [arXiv:2108.02681](#).
- [31] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Centrality determination in heavy-ion collisions with the LHCb detector*, JINST **17** (2022) P05009, [arXiv:2111.01607](#).
- [32] C. Loizides, J. Nagle, and P. Steinberg, *Improved version of the PHOBOS Glauber Monte Carlo*, SoftwareX **1-2** (2015) 13, [arXiv:1408.2549](#).

- [33] T. Skwarnicki, *A study of the radiative cascade transitions between the Upsilon-prime and Upsilon resonances*, PhD thesis, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, 1986, DESY-F31-86-02.
- [34] Particle Data Group, S. Navas *et al.*, *Review of particle physics*, Phys. Rev. **D110** (2024) 030001.
- [35] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, *A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178** (2008) 852, [arXiv:0710.3820](#).
- [36] T. Pierog *et al.*, *EPOS LHC: Test of collective hadronization with data measured at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, Phys. Rev. **C92** (2015) 034906.
- [37] D. J. Lange, *The EvtGen particle decay simulation package*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A462** (2001) 152.
- [38] P. Golonka and Z. Was, *PHOTOS Monte Carlo: a precision tool for QED corrections in Z and W decays*, Eur. Phys. J. **C45** (2006) 97.
- [39] Geant4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli *et al.*, *Geant4: A simulation toolkit*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A506** (2003) 250.
- [40] Geant4 collaboration, J. Allison *et al.*, *Geant4 developments and applications*, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **53** (2006) 270.
- [41] M. Clemencic *et al.*, *The LHCb simulation application, Gauss: Design, evolution and experience*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **331** (2011) 032023.
- [42] L. Anderlini *et al.*, *The PIDCalib package*, LHCb-PUB-2016-021, 2016.
- [43] R. Aaij *et al.*, *The LHCb trigger and its performance in 2011*, JINST **8** (2013) P04022, [arXiv:1211.3055](#).
- [44] ALICE collaboration, S. Acharya *et al.*, *$\psi(2S)$ suppression in Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **132** (2024) 042301, [arXiv:2210.08893](#).
- [45] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Multiplicity dependence of $\sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, JHEP **05** (2024) 243, [arXiv:2312.15201](#).
- [46] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Prompt and nonprompt $\psi(2S)$ production in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV*, JHEP **04** (2024) 111, [arXiv:2401.11342](#).
- [47] A. Andronic *et al.*, *Transverse momentum distributions of charmonium states with the statistical hadronization model*, Phys. Lett. **B797** (2019) 134836, [arXiv:1901.09200](#).
- [48] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, *Decoding the phase structure of QCD via particle production at high energy*, Nature **561** (2018) 321, [arXiv:1710.09425](#).
- [49] X. Du and R. Rapp, *Sequential regeneration of charmonia in heavy-ion collisions*, Nucl. Phys. **A943** (2015) 147, [arXiv:1504.00670](#).

- [50] B. Wu and R. Rapp, *Charmonium transport in heavy-ion collisions at the LHC*, Universe **10** (2024) 244, [arXiv:2404.09881](#).
- [51] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *The LHCb Upgrade I*, JINST **19** (2024) P05065, [arXiv:2305.10515](#).

LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij³⁸ , A.S.W. Abdelmotteleb⁵⁷ , C. Abellan Beteta⁵¹ , F. Abudinén⁵⁷ ,
 T. Ackernley⁶¹ , A. A. Adefisoye⁶⁹ , B. Adeva⁴⁷ , M. Adinolfi⁵⁵ , P. Adlarson⁸² ,
 C. Agapopoulou¹⁴ , C.A. Aidala⁸³ , Z. Ajaltouni¹¹ , S. Akar⁶⁶ , K. Akiba³⁸ ,
 P. Albicocco²⁸ , J. Albrecht¹⁹ , F. Alessio⁴⁹ , M. Alexander⁶⁰ , Z. Aliouche⁶³ ,
 P. Alvarez Cartelle⁵⁶ , R. Amalric¹⁶ , S. Amato³ , J.L. Amey⁵⁵ , Y. Amhis¹⁴ ,
 L. An⁶ , L. Anderlini²⁷ , M. Andersson⁵¹ , A. Andreianov⁴⁴ , P. Andreola⁵¹ ,
 M. Andreotti²⁶ , D. Andreou⁶⁹ , A. Anelli^{31,n} , D. Ao⁷ , F. Archilli^{37,t} ,
 M. Argenton²⁶ , S. Argüedas Cuendis^{9,49} , A. Artamonov⁴⁴ , M. Artuso⁶⁹ ,
 E. Aslanides¹³ , R. Ataíde Da Silva⁵⁰ , M. Atzeni⁶⁵ , B. Audurier¹² , D. Bacher⁶⁴ ,
 I. Bachiller Perea¹⁰ , S. Bachmann²² , M. Bachmayer⁵⁰ , J.J. Back⁵⁷ ,
 P. Baladron Rodriguez⁴⁷ , V. Balagura¹⁵ , A. Balboni²⁶ , W. Baldini²⁶ , L. Balzani¹⁹ ,
 H. Bao⁷ , J. Baptista de Souza Leite⁶¹ , C. Barbero Pretel^{47,12} , M. Barbetti²⁷ , I.
 R. Barbosa⁷⁰ , R.J. Barlow⁶³ , M. Barnyakov²⁵ , S. Barsuk¹⁴ , W. Barter⁵⁹ ,
 M. Bartolini⁵⁶ , J. Bartz⁶⁹ , J.M. Basels¹⁷ , S. Bashir⁴⁰ , G. Bassi^{35,q} , B. Batsukh⁵ ,
 P. B. Battista¹⁴ , A. Bay⁵⁰ , A. Beck⁵⁷ , M. Becker¹⁹ , F. Bedeschi³⁵ , I.B. Bediaga² ,
 N. A. Behling¹⁹ , S. Belin⁴⁷ , K. Belous⁴⁴ , I. Belov²⁹ , I. Belyaev³⁶ , G. Benane¹³ ,
 G. Bencivenni²⁸ , E. Ben-Haim¹⁶ , A. Berezhnoy⁴⁴ , R. Bernet⁵¹ , S. Bernet Andres⁴⁵ ,
 A. Bertolin³³ , C. Betancourt⁵¹ , F. Betti⁵⁹ , J. Bex⁵⁶ , Ia. Bezshyiko⁵¹ , J. Bhom⁴¹ ,
 M.S. Bieker¹⁹ , N.V. Biesuz²⁶ , P. Billoir¹⁶ , A. Biolchini³⁸ , M. Birch⁶² ,
 F.C.R. Bishop¹⁰ , A. Bitadze⁶³ , A. Bizzeti¹ , T. Blake⁵⁷ , F. Blanc⁵⁰ , J.E. Blank¹⁹ ,
 S. Blusk⁶⁹ , V. Bocharnikov⁴⁴ , J.A. Boelhaue¹⁹ , O. Boente Garcia¹⁵ ,
 T. Boettcher⁶⁶ , A. Bohare⁵⁹ , A. Boldyrev⁴⁴ , C.S. Bolognani⁷⁹ , R. Bolzonella^{26,k} ,
 R. B. Bonacci¹ , N. Bondar⁴⁴ , A. Bordelius⁴⁹ , F. Borgato^{33,o} , S. Borghi⁶³ ,
 M. Borsato^{31,n} , J.T. Borsuk⁴¹ , S.A. Bouchiba⁵⁰ , M. Bovill⁶⁴ , T.J.V. Bowcock⁶¹ ,
 A. Boyer⁴⁹ , C. Bozzi²⁶ , A. Brea Rodriguez⁵⁰ , N. Breer¹⁹ , J. Brodzicka⁴¹ ,
 A. Brossa Gonzalo^{47,†} , J. Brown⁶¹ , D. Brundu³² , E. Buchanan⁵⁹ , A. Buonauro⁵¹ ,
 L. Buonincontri^{33,o} , A.T. Burke⁶³ , C. Burr⁴⁹ , J.S. Butter⁵⁶ , J. Buytaert⁴⁹ ,
 W. Byczynski⁴⁹ , S. Cadeddu³² , H. Cai⁷⁴ , A. C. Caillet¹⁶ , R. Calabrese^{26,k} ,
 S. Calderon Ramirez⁹ , L. Calefice⁴⁶ , S. Cali²⁸ , M. Calvi^{31,n} , M. Calvo Gomez⁴⁵ ,
 P. Camargo Magalhaes^{2,x} , J. I. Cambon Bouzas⁴⁷ , P. Campana²⁸ ,
 D.H. Campora Perez⁷⁹ , A.F. Campoverde Quezada⁷ , S. Capelli³¹ , L. Capriotti²⁶ ,
 R. Caravaca-Mora⁹ , A. Carbone^{25,i} , L. Carcedo Salgado⁴⁷ , R. Cardinale^{29,l} ,
 A. Cardini³² , P. Carniti^{31,n} , L. Carus²² , A. Casais Vidal⁶⁵ , R. Caspary²² ,
 G. Casse⁶¹ , M. Cattaneo⁴⁹ , G. Cavallero^{26,49} , V. Cavallini^{26,k} , S. Celani²² ,
 D. Cervenkov⁶⁴ , S. Cesare^{30,m} , A.J. Chadwick⁶¹ , I. Chahrour⁸³ , M. Charles¹⁶ ,
 Ph. Charpentier⁴⁹ , E. Chatzianagnostou³⁸ , M. Chefdeville¹⁰ , C. Chen¹³ , S. Chen⁵ ,
 Z. Chen⁷ , A. Chernov⁴¹ , S. Chernyshenko⁵³ , X. Chiotopoulos⁷⁹ , V. Chobanova⁸¹ ,
 S. Cholak⁵⁰ , M. Chrzasczcz⁴¹ , A. Chubykin⁴⁴ , V. Chulikov²⁸ , P. Ciambone²⁸ ,
 X. Cid Vidal⁴⁷ , G. Ciezarek⁴⁹ , P. Cifra⁴⁹ , P.E.L. Clarke⁵⁹ , M. Clemencic⁴⁹ ,
 H.V. Cliff⁵⁶ , J. Closier⁴⁹ , C. Cocha Toapaxi²² , V. Coco⁴⁹ , J. Cogan¹³ ,
 E. Cogneras¹¹ , L. Cojocariu⁴³ , S. Collaviti⁵⁰ , P. Collins⁴⁹ , T. Colombo⁴⁹ , M. C.
 Colonna¹⁹ , A. Comerma-Montells⁴⁶ , L. Congedo²⁴ , A. Contu³² , N. Cooke⁶⁰ ,
 I. Corredoira⁴⁷ , A. Correia¹⁶ , G. Corti⁴⁹ , J.J. Cottee Meldrum⁵⁵ , B. Couturier⁴⁹ ,
 D.C. Craik⁵¹ , M. Cruz Torres^{2,f} , E. Curras Rivera⁵⁰ , R. Currie⁵⁹ , C.L. Da Silva⁶⁸ ,
 S. Dadabaev⁴⁴ , L. Dai⁷¹ , X. Dai⁶ , E. Dall’Oco⁴⁹ , J. Dalseno⁴⁷ ,
 C. D’Ambrosio⁴⁹ , J. Daniel¹¹ , A. Danilina⁴⁴ , P. d’Argent²⁴ , G. Darze³ , A.
 Davidson⁵⁷ , J.E. Davies⁶³ , A. Davis⁶³ , O. De Aguiar Francisco⁶³ , C. De Angelis^{32,j} ,
 F. De Benedetti⁴⁹ , J. de Boer³⁸ , K. De Bruyn⁷⁸ , S. De Capua⁶³ , M. De Cian²² ,

U. De Freitas Carneiro Da Graca^{2,a} , E. De Lucia²⁸ , J.M. De Miranda² , L. De Paula³ ,
 M. De Serio^{24,g} , P. De Simone²⁸ , F. De Vellis¹⁹ , J.A. de Vries⁷⁹ , F. Debernardis²⁴ ,
 D. Decamp¹⁰ , V. Dedu¹³ , S. Dekkers¹ , L. Del Buono¹⁶ , B. Delaney⁶⁵ ,
 H.-P. Dembinski¹⁹ , J. Deng⁸ , V. Denysenko⁵¹ , O. Deschamps¹¹ , F. Dettori^{32,j} ,
 B. Dey⁷⁷ , P. Di Nezza²⁸ , I. Diachkov⁴⁴ , S. Didenko⁴⁴ , S. Ding⁶⁹ , L. Dittmann²² ,
 V. Dobishuk⁵³ , A. D. Docheva⁶⁰ , C. Dong^{4,b} , A.M. Donohoe²³ , F. Dordei³² ,
 A.C. dos Reis² , A. D. Dowling⁶⁹ , W. Duan⁷² , P. Duda⁸⁰ , M.W. Dudek⁴¹ ,
 L. Dufour⁴⁹ , V. Duk³⁴ , P. Durante⁴⁹ , M. M. Duras⁸⁰ , J.M. Durham⁶⁸ , O. D.
 Durmus⁷⁷ , A. Dziurda⁴¹ , A. Dzyuba⁴⁴ , S. Easo⁵⁸ , E. Eckstein¹⁸ , U. Egede¹ ,
 A. Egorychev⁴⁴ , V. Egorychev⁴⁴ , S. Eisenhardt⁵⁹ , E. Ejopu⁶³ , L. Eklund⁸² ,
 M. Elashri⁶⁶ , J. Ellbracht¹⁹ , S. Ely⁶² , A. Ene⁴³ , J. Eschle⁶⁹ , S. Esen²² ,
 T. Evans⁶³ , F. Fabiano^{32,j} , L.N. Falcao² , Y. Fan⁷ , B. Fang⁷ , L. Fantini^{34,p,49} ,
 M. Faria⁵⁰ , K. Farmer⁵⁹ , D. Fazzini^{31,n} , L. Felkowski⁸⁰ , M. Feng^{5,7} , M. Feo¹⁹ ,
 A. Fernandez Casani⁴⁸ , M. Fernandez Gomez⁴⁷ , A.D. Fernez⁶⁷ , F. Ferrari²⁵ ,
 F. Ferreira Rodrigues³ , M. Ferrillo⁵¹ , M. Ferro-Luzzi⁴⁹ , S. Filippov⁴⁴ , R.A. Fini²⁴ ,
 M. Fiorini^{26,k} , M. Firlej⁴⁰ , K.L. Fischer⁶⁴ , D.S. Fitzgerald⁸³ , C. Fitzpatrick⁶³ ,
 T. Fiutowski⁴⁰ , F. Fleuret¹⁵ , M. Fontana²⁵ , L. F. Foreman⁶³ , R. Forty⁴⁹ ,
 D. Foulds-Holt⁵⁶ , V. Franco Lima³ , M. Franco Sevilla⁶⁷ , M. Frank⁴⁹ ,
 E. Franzoso^{26,k} , G. Frau⁶³ , C. Frei⁴⁹ , D.A. Friday⁶³ , J. Fu⁷ , Q. Fuehring^{19,56} ,
 Y. Fujii¹ , T. Fulghesu¹⁶ , E. Gabriel³⁸ , G. Galati²⁴ , M.D. Galati³⁸ ,
 A. Gallas Torreira⁴⁷ , D. Galli^{25,i} , S. Gambetta⁵⁹ , M. Gandelman³ , P. Gandini³⁰ , B.
 Ganie⁶³ , H. Gao⁷ , R. Gao⁶⁴ , T.Q. Gao⁵⁶ , Y. Gao⁸ , Y. Gao⁶ , Y. Gao⁸,
 L.M. Garcia Martin⁵⁰ , P. Garcia Moreno⁴⁶ , J. García Pardiñas⁴⁹ , P. Gardner⁶⁷ , K. G.
 Garg⁸ , L. Garrido⁴⁶ , C. Gaspar⁴⁹ , R.E. Geertsema³⁸ , L.L. Gerken¹⁹ ,
 E. Gersabeck⁶³ , M. Gersabeck²⁰ , T. Gershon⁵⁷ , S. G. Ghizzo²⁹,
 Z. Ghorbanimoghaddam⁵⁵ , L. Giambastiani^{33,o} , F. I. Giasemis^{16,e} , V. Gibson⁵⁶ ,
 H.K. Giemza⁴² , A.L. Gilman⁶⁴ , M. Giovannetti²⁸ , A. Gioventù⁴⁶ , L. Girardey⁶³ ,
 P. Gironella Gironell⁴⁶ , C. Giugliano^{26,k} , M.A. Giza⁴¹ , E.L. Gkoukousis⁶² ,
 F.C. Glaser^{14,22} , V.V. Gligorov^{16,49} , C. Göbel⁷⁰ , E. Golobardes⁴⁵ , D. Golubkov⁴⁴ ,
 A. Golutvin^{62,44,49} , S. Gomez Fernandez⁴⁶ , W. Gomulka⁴⁰ , F. Goncalves Abrantes⁶⁴ ,
 M. Goncerz⁴¹ , G. Gong^{4,b} , J. A. Gooding¹⁹ , I.V. Gorelov⁴⁴ , C. Gotti³¹ ,
 J.P. Grabowski¹⁸ , L.A. Granado Cardoso⁴⁹ , E. Graugés⁴⁶ , E. Graverini^{50,r} ,
 L. Grazette⁵⁷ , G. Graziani , A. T. Grecu⁴³ , L.M. Greeven³⁸ , N.A. Grieser⁶⁶ ,
 L. Grillo⁶⁰ , S. Gromov⁴⁴ , C. Gu¹⁵ , M. Guarise²⁶ , L. Guerry¹¹ , M. Guittiere¹⁴ ,
 V. Guliaeva⁴⁴ , P. A. Günther²² , A.-K. Guseinov⁵⁰ , E. Gushchin⁴⁴ , Y. Guz^{6,44,49} ,
 T. Gys⁴⁹ , K. Habermann¹⁸ , T. Hadavizadeh¹ , C. Hadjivasiliou⁶⁷ , G. Haefeli⁵⁰ ,
 C. Haen⁴⁹ , M. Hajheidari⁴⁹ , G. Hallett⁵⁷ , M.M. Halvorsen⁴⁹ , P.M. Hamilton⁶⁷ ,
 J. Hammerich⁶¹ , Q. Han⁸ , X. Han^{22,49} , S. Hansmann-Menzemer²² , L. Hao⁷ ,
 N. Harnew⁶⁴ , T. H. Harris¹ , M. Hartmann¹⁴ , S. Hashmi⁴⁰ , J. He^{7,c} ,
 F. Hemmer⁴⁹ , C. Henderson⁶⁶ , R.D.L. Henderson^{1,57} , A.M. Hennequin⁴⁹ ,
 K. Hennessy⁶¹ , L. Henry⁵⁰ , J. Herd⁶² , P. Herrero Gascon²² , J. Heuel¹⁷ ,
 A. Hicheur³ , G. Hijano Mendizabal⁵¹ , J. Horswill⁶³ , R. Hou⁸ , Y. Hou¹¹ ,
 N. Howarth⁶¹ , J. Hu⁷² , W. Hu⁶ , X. Hu^{4,b} , W. Huang⁷ , W. Hulsbergen³⁸ ,
 R.J. Hunter⁵⁷ , M. Hushchyn⁴⁴ , D. Hutchcroft⁶¹ , M. Idzik⁴⁰ , D. Ilin⁴⁴ , P. Ilten⁶⁶ ,
 A. Inglessi⁴⁴ , A. Iniuikhin⁴⁴ , A. Ishteev⁴⁴ , K. Ivshin⁴⁴ , R. Jacobsson⁴⁹ , H. Jage¹⁷ ,
 S.J. Jaimes Elles^{75,49,48} , S. Jakobsen⁴⁹ , E. Jans³⁸ , B.K. Jashal⁴⁸ , A. Jawahery^{67,49} ,
 V. Jevtic¹⁹ , E. Jiang⁶⁷ , X. Jiang^{5,7} , Y. Jiang⁷ , Y. J. Jiang⁶ , M. John⁶⁴ , A.
 John Rubesh Rajan²³ , D. Johnson⁵⁴ , C.R. Jones⁵⁶ , T.P. Jones⁵⁷ , S. Joshi⁴² ,
 B. Jost⁴⁹ , J. Juan Castella⁵⁶ , N. Jurik⁴⁹ , I. Juszczak⁴¹ , D. Kaminaris⁵⁰ ,
 S. Kandybei⁵² , M. Kane⁵⁹ , Y. Kang^{4,b} , C. Kar¹¹ , M. Karacson⁴⁹ ,

D. Karpenkov⁴⁴ , A. Kauniskangas⁵⁰ , J.W. Kautz⁶⁶ , M.K. Kazanecki⁴¹, F. Keizer⁴⁹ ,
M. Kenzie⁵⁶ , T. Ketel³⁸ , B. Khanji⁶⁹ , A. Kharisova⁴⁴ , S. Kholodenko^{35,49} ,
G. Khreich¹⁴ , T. Kirn¹⁷ , V.S. Kirsebom^{31,n} , O. Kitouni⁶⁵ , S. Klaver³⁹ ,
N. Kleijne^{35,q} , K. Klimaszewski⁴² , M.R. Kmiec⁴² , S. Koliiev⁵³ , L. Kolk¹⁹ ,
A. Konoplyannikov⁴⁴ , P. Kopciwicz^{40,49} , P. Koppenburg³⁸ , M. Korolev⁴⁴ ,
I. Kostiuk³⁸ , O. Kot⁵³, S. Kotriakhova , A. Kozachuk⁴⁴ , P. Kravchenko⁴⁴ ,
L. Kravchuk⁴⁴ , M. Kreps⁵⁷ , P. Krokovny⁴⁴ , W. Krupa⁶⁹ , W. Krzemien⁴² ,
O.K. Kshyvanskyi⁵³, S. Kubis⁸⁰ , M. Kucharczyk⁴¹ , V. Kudryavtsev⁴⁴ , E. Kulikova⁴⁴ ,
A. Kupsc⁸² , B. K. Kutsenko¹³ , D. Lacarrere⁴⁹ , P. Laguarda Gonzalez⁴⁶ , A. Lai³² ,
A. Lampis³² , D. Lancierini⁵⁶ , C. Landesa Gomez⁴⁷ , J.J. Lane¹ , R. Lane⁵⁵ ,
G. Lanfranchi²⁸ , C. Langenbruch²² , J. Langer¹⁹ , O. Lantwin⁴⁴ , T. Latham⁵⁷ ,
F. Lazzari^{35,r} , C. Lazzeroni⁵⁴ , R. Le Gac¹³ , H. Lee⁶¹ , R. Lefèvre¹¹ , A. Leflat⁴⁴ ,
S. Legotin⁴⁴ , M. Lehuraux⁵⁷ , E. Lemos Cid⁴⁹ , O. Leroy¹³ , T. Lesiak⁴¹ , E. Lesser⁴⁹,
B. Leverington²² , A. Li^{4,b} , C. Li¹³ , H. Li⁷² , K. Li⁸ , L. Li⁶³ , M. Li⁸, P. Li⁷ ,
P.-R. Li⁷³ , Q. Li^{5,7} , S. Li⁸ , T. Li^{5,d} , T. Li⁷² , Y. Li⁸, Y. Li⁵ , Z. Lian^{4,b} ,
X. Liang⁶⁹ , S. Libralon⁴⁸ , C. Lin⁷ , T. Lin⁵⁸ , R. Lindner⁴⁹ , H. Linton⁶² ,
V. Lisovskiy⁵⁰ , R. Litvinov^{32,49} , F. L. Liu¹ , G. Liu⁷² , K. Liu⁷³ , S. Liu^{5,7} , W.
Liu⁸, Y. Liu⁵⁹ , Y. Liu⁷³, Y. L. Liu⁶² , A. Lobo Salvia⁴⁶ , A. Loi³² , T. Long⁵⁶ ,
J.H. Lopes³ , A. Lopez Huertas⁴⁶ , S. López Soliño⁴⁷ , Q. Lu¹⁵ , C. Lucarelli²⁷ ,
D. Lucchesi^{33,o} , M. Lucio Martinez⁷⁹ , V. Lukashenko^{38,53} , Y. Luo⁶ , A. Lupato^{33,h} ,
E. Luppi^{26,k} , K. Lynch²³ , X.-R. Lyu⁷ , G. M. Ma^{4,b} , S. Maccolini¹⁹ ,
F. Machefert¹⁴ , F. Maciuc⁴³ , B. Mack⁶⁹ , I. Mackay⁶⁴ , L. M. Mackey⁶⁹ ,
L.R. Madhan Mohan⁵⁶ , M. J. Madurai⁵⁴ , A. Maevskiy⁴⁴ , D. Magdalinski³⁸ ,
D. Maisuzenko⁴⁴ , M.W. Majewski⁴⁰, J.J. Malczewski⁴¹ , S. Malde⁶⁴ , L. Malentacca⁴⁹,
A. Malinin⁴⁴ , T. Maltsev⁴⁴ , G. Manca^{32,j} , G. Mancinelli¹³ , C. Mancuso^{30,14,m} ,
R. Manera Escalero⁴⁶ , F. M. Manganella³⁷, D. Manuzzi²⁵ , D. Marangotto^{30,m} ,
J.F. Marchand¹⁰ , R. Marchevski⁵⁰ , U. Marconi²⁵ , E. Mariani¹⁶, S. Mariani⁴⁹ ,
C. Marin Benito^{46,49} , J. Marks²² , A.M. Marshall⁵⁵ , L. Martel⁶⁴ , G. Martelli^{34,p} ,
G. Martellotti³⁶ , L. Martinazzoli⁴⁹ , M. Martinelli^{31,n} , D. Martinez Gomez⁷⁸ ,
D. Martinez Santos⁸¹ , F. Martinez Vidal⁴⁸ , A. Martorell i Granollers⁴⁵ ,
A. Massafferri² , R. Matev⁴⁹ , A. Mathad⁴⁹ , V. Matiunin⁴⁴ , C. Matteuzzi⁶⁹ ,
K.R. Mattioli¹⁵ , A. Mauri⁶² , E. Maurice¹⁵ , J. Mauricio⁴⁶ , P. Mayencourt⁵⁰ ,
J. Mazorra de Cos⁴⁸ , M. Mazurek⁴² , M. McCann⁶² , L. McConnell²³ ,
T.H. McGrath⁶³ , N.T. McHugh⁶⁰ , A. McNab⁶³ , R. McNulty²³ , B. Meadows⁶⁶ ,
G. Meier¹⁹ , D. Melnychuk⁴² , F. M. Meng^{4,b} , M. Merk^{38,79} , A. Merli⁵⁰ ,
L. Meyer Garcia⁶⁷ , D. Miao^{5,7} , H. Miao⁷ , M. Mikhasenko⁷⁶ , D.A. Milanese⁷⁵ ,
A. Minotti^{31,n} , E. Minucci²⁸ , T. Miralles¹¹ , B. Mitreska¹⁹ , D.S. Mitzel¹⁹ ,
A. Modak⁵⁸ , R.A. Mohammed⁶⁴ , R.D. Moise¹⁷ , S. Mokhnenko⁴⁴ , E.
F. Molina Cardenas⁸³ , T. Mombächer⁴⁹ , M. Monk^{57,1} , S. Monteil¹¹ ,
A. Morcillo Gomez⁴⁷ , G. Morello²⁸ , M.J. Morello^{35,q} , M.P. Morgenthaler²² ,
J. Moron⁴⁰ , W. Morren³⁸ , A.B. Morris⁴⁹ , A.G. Morris¹³ , R. Mountain⁶⁹ ,
H. Mu^{4,b} , Z. M. Mu⁶ , E. Muhammad⁵⁷ , F. Muheim⁵⁹ , M. Mulder⁷⁸ , K. Müller⁵¹ ,
F. Muñoz-Rojas⁹ , R. Murta⁶² , P. Naik⁶¹ , T. Nakada⁵⁰ , R. Nandakumar⁵⁸ ,
T. Nanut⁴⁹ , I. Nasteva³ , M. Needham⁵⁹ , N. Neri^{30,m} , S. Neubert¹⁸ , N. Neufeld⁴⁹ ,
P. Neustroev⁴⁴, J. Nicolini^{19,14} , D. Nicotra⁷⁹ , E.M. Niel⁴⁹ , N. Nikitin⁴⁴ ,
P. Nogaroli³ , P. Nogga¹⁸, C. Normand⁵⁵ , J. Novoa Fernandez⁴⁷ , G. Nowak⁶⁶ ,
C. Nunez⁸³ , H. N. Nur⁶⁰ , A. Oblakowska-Mucha⁴⁰ , V. Obraztsov⁴⁴ , T. Oeser¹⁷ ,
S. Okamura^{26,k} , A. Okhotnikov⁴⁴, O. Okhrimenko⁵³ , R. Oldeman^{32,j} , F. Oliva⁵⁹ ,
M. Olocco¹⁹ , C.J.G. Onderwater⁷⁹ , R.H. O'Neil⁵⁹ , D. Osthues¹⁹,
J.M. Otalora Goicochea³ , P. Owen⁵¹ , A. Oyanguren⁴⁸ , O. Ozcelik⁵⁹ , F. Paciolla^{35,u} ,

A. Padee⁴² , K.O. Padeken¹⁸ , B. Pagare⁵⁷ , P.R. Pais²² , T. Pajero⁴⁹ , A. Palano²⁴ ,
 M. Palutan²⁸ , X. Pan^{4,b} , G. Panshin⁴⁴ , L. Paolucci⁵⁷ , A. Papanestis^{58,49} ,
 M. Pappagallo^{24,g} , L.L. Pappalardo^{26,k} , C. Pappenheimer⁶⁶ , C. Parkes⁶³ , D.
 Parmar⁷⁶ , B. Passalacqua^{26,k} , G. Passaleva²⁷ , D. Passaro^{35,q} , A. Pastore²⁴ ,
 M. Patel⁶² , J. Patoc⁶⁴ , C. Patrignani^{25,i} , A. Paul⁶⁹ , C.J. Pawley⁷⁹ ,
 A. Pellegrino³⁸ , J. Peng^{5,7} , M. Pepe Altarelli²⁸ , S. Perazzini²⁵ , D. Pereima⁴⁴ , H.
 Pereira Da Costa⁶⁸ , A. Pereiro Castro⁴⁷ , P. Perret¹¹ , A. Perrevoort⁷⁸ , A. Perro⁴⁹ ,
 M.J. Peters⁶⁶ , K. Petridis⁵⁵ , A. Petrolini^{29,l} , J. P. Pfaller⁶⁶ , H. Pham⁶⁹ ,
 L. Pica^{35,q} , M. Piccini³⁴ , L. Piccolo³² , B. Pietrzyk¹⁰ , G. Pietrzyk¹⁴ , D. Pinci³⁶ ,
 F. Pisani⁴⁹ , M. Pizzichemi^{31,n} , V. Placinta⁴³ , M. Plo Casasus⁴⁷ , T. Poeschl⁴⁹ ,
 F. Polci^{16,49} , M. Poli Lener²⁸ , A. Poluektov¹³ , N. Polukhina⁴⁴ , I. Polyakov⁴⁴ ,
 E. Polycarpo³ , S. Ponce⁴⁹ , D. Popov⁷ , S. Poslavskii⁴⁴ , K. Prasanth⁵⁹ ,
 C. Prouve⁸¹ , D. Provenzano^{32,j} , V. Pugatch⁵³ , G. Punzi^{35,r} , S. Qasim⁵¹ , Q. Q.
 Qian⁶ , W. Qian⁷ , N. Qin^{4,b} , S. Qu^{4,b} , R. Quagliani⁴⁹ , R.I. Rabadan Trejo⁵⁷ ,
 J.H. Rademacker⁵⁵ , M. Rama³⁵ , M. Ramírez García⁸³ , V. Ramos De Oliveira⁷⁰ ,
 M. Ramos Pernas⁵⁷ , M.S. Rangel³ , F. Ratnikov⁴⁴ , G. Raven³⁹ ,
 M. Rebollo De Miguel⁴⁸ , F. Redi^{30,h} , J. Reich⁵⁵ , F. Reiss⁶³ , Z. Ren⁷ ,
 P.K. Resmi⁶⁴ , R. Ribatti⁵⁰ , G. R. Ricart^{15,12} , D. Riccardi^{35,q} , S. Ricciardi⁵⁸ ,
 K. Richardson⁶⁵ , M. Richardson-Slipper⁵⁹ , K. Rinnert⁶¹ , P. Robbe^{14,49} ,
 G. Robertson⁶⁰ , E. Rodrigues⁶¹ , A. Rodriguez Alvarez⁴⁶ , E. Rodriguez Fernandez⁴⁷ ,
 J.A. Rodriguez Lopez⁷⁵ , E. Rodriguez Rodriguez⁴⁷ , J. Roensch¹⁹ , A. Rogachev⁴⁴ ,
 A. Rogovskiy⁵⁸ , D.L. Rolf⁴⁹ , P. Roloff⁴⁹ , V. Romanovskiy⁶⁶ , A. Romero Vidal⁴⁷ ,
 G. Romolini²⁶ , F. Ronchetti⁵⁰ , T. Rong⁶ , M. Rotondo²⁸ , S. R. Roy²² ,
 M.S. Rudolph⁶⁹ , M. Ruiz Diaz²² , R.A. Ruiz Fernandez⁴⁷ , J. Ruiz Vidal^{82,y} ,
 A. Ryzhikov⁴⁴ , J. Ryzka⁴⁰ , J. J. Saavedra-Arias⁹ , J.J. Saborido Silva⁴⁷ , R. Sadek¹⁵ ,
 N. Sagidova⁴⁴ , D. Sahoo⁷⁷ , N. Sahoo⁵⁴ , B. Saitta^{32,j} , M. Salomoni^{31,n,49} ,
 I. Sanderswood⁴⁸ , R. Santacesaria³⁶ , C. Santamarina Rios⁴⁷ , M. Santimaria^{28,49} ,
 L. Santoro² , E. Santovetti³⁷ , A. Saputi^{26,49} , D. Saranin⁴⁴ , A. Sarnatskiy⁷⁸ ,
 G. Sarpis⁵⁹ , M. Sarpis⁶³ , C. Satriano^{36,s} , A. Satta³⁷ , M. Saur⁶ , D. Savrina⁴⁴ ,
 H. Sazak¹⁷ , F. Sborzacchi^{49,28} , L.G. Scantlebury Smead⁶⁴ , A. Scarabotto¹⁹ ,
 S. Schael¹⁷ , S. Scherl⁶¹ , M. Schiller⁶⁰ , H. Schindler⁴⁹ , M. Schmelling²¹ ,
 B. Schmidt⁴⁹ , S. Schmitt¹⁷ , H. Schmitz¹⁸ , O. Schneider⁵⁰ , A. Schopper⁴⁹ ,
 N. Schulte¹⁹ , S. Schulte⁵⁰ , M.H. Schune¹⁴ , R. Schwemmer⁴⁹ , G. Schwering¹⁷ ,
 B. Sciascia²⁸ , A. Sciuccati⁴⁹ , I. Segal⁷⁶ , S. Sellam⁴⁷ , A. Semennikov⁴⁴ ,
 T. Senger⁵¹ , M. Senghi Soares³⁹ , A. Sergi²⁹ , N. Serra⁵¹ , L. Sestini³³ , A. Seuthe¹⁹ ,
 Y. Shang⁶ , D.M. Shangase⁸³ , M. Shapkin⁴⁴ , R. S. Sharma⁶⁹ , I. Shchemerov⁴⁴ ,
 L. Shchutka⁵⁰ , T. Shears⁶¹ , L. Shekhtman⁴⁴ , Z. Shen⁶ , S. Sheng^{5,7} ,
 V. Shevchenko⁴⁴ , B. Shi⁷ , Q. Shi⁷ , Y. Shimizu¹⁴ , E. Shmanin²⁵ , R. Shorkin⁴⁴ ,
 J.D. Shupperd⁶⁹ , R. Silva Coutinho⁶⁹ , G. Simi^{33,o} , S. Simone^{24,g} , N. Skidmore⁵⁷ ,
 T. Skwarnicki⁶⁹ , M.W. Slater⁵⁴ , J.C. Smallwood⁶⁴ , E. Smith⁶⁵ , K. Smith⁶⁸ ,
 M. Smith⁶² , A. Snoch³⁸ , L. Soares Lavra⁵⁹ , M.D. Sokoloff⁶⁶ , F.J.P. Soler⁶⁰ ,
 A. Solomin^{44,55} , A. Solovev⁴⁴ , I. Solovyev⁴⁴ , N. S. Sommerfeld¹⁸ , R. Song¹ ,
 Y. Song⁵⁰ , Y. Song^{4,b} , Y. S. Song⁶ , F.L. Souza De Almeida⁶⁹ , B. Souza De Paula³ ,
 E. Spadaro Norella²⁹ , E. Spedicato²⁵ , J.G. Speer¹⁹ , E. Spiridenkov⁴⁴ , P. Spradlin⁶⁰ ,
 V. Sriskaran⁴⁹ , F. Stagni⁴⁹ , M. Stahl⁴⁹ , S. Stahl⁴⁹ , S. Stanislaus⁶⁴ , E.N. Stein⁴⁹ ,
 O. Steinkamp⁵¹ , O. Stenyakin⁴⁴ , H. Stevens¹⁹ , D. Strekalina⁴⁴ , Y. Su⁷ , F. Suljik⁶⁴ ,
 J. Sun³² , L. Sun⁷⁴ , D. Sundfeld² , W. Sutcliffe⁵¹ , P.N. Swallow⁵⁴ , K. Swientek⁴⁰ ,
 F. Swystun⁵⁶ , A. Szabelski⁴² , T. Szumlak⁴⁰ , Y. Tan^{4,b} , Y. Tang⁷⁴ , M.D. Tat⁶⁴ ,
 A. Terentev⁴⁴ , F. Terzuoli^{35,u,49} , F. Teubert⁴⁹ , E. Thomas⁴⁹ , D.J.D. Thompson⁵⁴ ,
 H. Tilquin⁶² , V. Tisserand¹¹ , S. T'Jampens¹⁰ , M. Tobin^{5,49} , L. Tomassetti^{26,k} 

G. Tonani^{30,m,49} , X. Tong⁶ , D. Torres Machado² , L. Toscano¹⁹ , D.Y. Tou^{4,b} ,
C. Tripp¹⁴⁵ , G. Tuci²² , N. Tuning³⁸ , L.H. Uecker²² , A. Ukleja⁴⁰ ,
D.J. Unverzagt²² , B. Urbach⁵⁹ , E. Ursov⁴⁴ , A. Usachov³⁹ , A. Ustyuzhanin⁴⁴ ,
U. Uwer²² , V. Vagnoni²⁵ , V. Valcarce Cadenas⁴⁷ , G. Valenti²⁵ ,
N. Valls Canudas⁴⁹ , H. Van Hecke⁶⁸ , E. van Herwijnen⁶² , C.B. Van Hulse^{47,w} ,
R. Van Laak⁵⁰ , M. van Veghel³⁸ , G. Vasquez⁵¹ , R. Vazquez Gomez⁴⁶ ,
P. Vazquez Regueiro⁴⁷ , C. Vázquez Sierra⁴⁷ , S. Vecchi²⁶ , J.J. Velthuis⁵⁵ ,
M. Veltri^{27,v} , A. Venkateswaran⁵⁰ , M. Verdoglia³² , M. Vesterinen⁵⁷ , D.
Vico Benet⁶⁴ , P. V. Vidrier Villalba⁴⁶ , M. Vieites Diaz⁴⁹ , X. Vilasis-Cardona⁴⁵ ,
E. Vilella Figueras⁶¹ , A. Villa²⁵ , P. Vincent¹⁶ , F.C. Volle⁵⁴ , D. vom Bruch¹³ ,
N. Voropaev⁴⁴ , K. Vos⁷⁹ , C. Vrahas⁵⁹ , J. Wagner¹⁹ , J. Walsh³⁵ , E.J. Walton^{1,57} ,
G. Wan⁶ , C. Wang²² , G. Wang⁸ , J. Wang⁶ , J. Wang⁵ , J. Wang^{4,b} , J. Wang⁷⁴ ,
M. Wang³⁰ , N. W. Wang⁷ , R. Wang⁵⁵ , X. Wang⁸ , X. Wang⁷² , X. W. Wang⁶² ,
Y. Wang⁶ , Z. Wang¹⁴ , Z. Wang^{4,b} , Z. Wang³⁰ , J.A. Ward^{57,1} , M. Waterlaet⁴⁹ ,
N.K. Watson⁵⁴ , D. Websdale⁶² , Y. Wei⁶ , J. Wendel⁸¹ , B.D.C. Westhenry⁵⁵ ,
C. White⁵⁶ , M. Whitehead⁶⁰ , E. Whiter⁵⁴ , A.R. Wiederhold⁶³ , D. Wiedner¹⁹ ,
G. Wilkinson⁶⁴ , M.K. Wilkinson⁶⁶ , M. Williams⁶⁵ , M. J. Williams⁴⁹ ,
M.R.J. Williams⁵⁹ , R. Williams⁵⁶ , Z. Williams⁵⁵ , F.F. Wilson⁵⁸ , M. Winn¹² ,
W. Wislicki⁴² , M. Witek⁴¹ , L. Witola²² , G. Wormser¹⁴ , S.A. Wotton⁵⁶ , H. Wu⁶⁹ ,
J. Wu⁸ , X. Wu⁷⁴ , Y. Wu⁶ , Z. Wu⁷ , K. Wyllie⁴⁹ , S. Xian⁷² , Z. Xiang⁵ , Y. Xie⁸ ,
A. Xu³⁵ , J. Xu⁷ , L. Xu^{4,b} , L. Xu^{4,b} , M. Xu⁵⁷ , Z. Xu⁴⁹ , Z. Xu⁷ , Z. Xu⁵ , K.
Yang⁶² , S. Yang⁷ , X. Yang⁶ , Y. Yang^{29,l} , Z. Yang⁶ , V. Yeroshenko¹⁴ ,
H. Yeung⁶³ , H. Yin⁸ , C. Y. Yu⁶ , J. Yu⁷¹ , X. Yuan⁵ , Y. Yuan^{5,7} ,
E. Zaffaroni⁵⁰ , M. Zavertyaev²¹ , M. Zdybal⁴¹ , F. Zenesini^{25,i} , C. Zeng^{5,7} ,
M. Zeng^{4,b} , C. Zhang⁶ , D. Zhang⁸ , J. Zhang⁷ , L. Zhang^{4,b} , S. Zhang⁷¹ ,
S. Zhang⁶⁴ , Y. Zhang⁶ , Y. Z. Zhang^{4,b} , Z. Zhang^{4,b} , Y. Zhao²² , A. Zharkova⁴⁴ ,
A. Zhelezov²² , S. Z. Zheng⁶ , X. Z. Zheng^{4,b} , Y. Zheng⁷ , T. Zhou⁶ , X. Zhou⁸ ,
Y. Zhou⁷ , V. Zhovkovska⁵⁷ , L. Z. Zhu⁷ , X. Zhu^{4,b} , X. Zhu⁸ , V. Zhukov¹⁷ ,
J. Zhuo⁴⁸ , Q. Zou^{5,7} , D. Zuliani^{33,o} , G. Zunica⁵⁰ .

¹*School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia*

²*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

³*Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

⁴*Department of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, Beijing, China*

⁵*Institute Of High Energy Physics (IHEP), Beijing, China*

⁶*School of Physics State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China*

⁷*University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China*

⁸*Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China*

⁹*Consejo Nacional de Rectores (CONARE), San Jose, Costa Rica*

¹⁰*Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IN2P3-LAPP, Annecy, France*

¹¹*Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France*

¹²*Département de Physique Nucléaire (DPhN), Gif-Sur-Yvette, France*

¹³*Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France*

¹⁴*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France*

¹⁵*Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France*

¹⁶*LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France*

¹⁷*I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany*

¹⁸*Universität Bonn - Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen und Kernphysik, Bonn, Germany*

¹⁹*Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany*

²⁰*Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany*

²¹*Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany*

- ²² *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- ²³ *School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
- ²⁴ *INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ²⁵ *INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ²⁶ *INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*
- ²⁷ *INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ²⁸ *INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- ²⁹ *INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ³⁰ *INFN Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy*
- ³¹ *INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
- ³² *INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Monserrato, Italy*
- ³³ *INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- ³⁴ *INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
- ³⁵ *INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ³⁶ *INFN Sezione di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy*
- ³⁷ *INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*
- ³⁸ *Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- ³⁹ *Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- ⁴⁰ *AGH - University of Krakow, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland*
- ⁴¹ *Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland*
- ⁴² *National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland*
- ⁴³ *Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania*
- ⁴⁴ *Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN*
- ⁴⁵ *DS4DS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain*
- ⁴⁶ *ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*
- ⁴⁷ *Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías (IGFAE), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain*
- ⁴⁸ *Instituto de Física Corpuscular, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia, Spain*
- ⁴⁹ *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- ⁵⁰ *Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland*
- ⁵¹ *Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland*
- ⁵² *NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine*
- ⁵³ *Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine*
- ⁵⁴ *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁵ *H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁶ *Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁷ *Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁸ *STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁹ *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
- ⁶⁰ *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom*
- ⁶¹ *Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- ⁶² *Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom*
- ⁶³ *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*
- ⁶⁴ *Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom*
- ⁶⁵ *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States*
- ⁶⁶ *University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States*
- ⁶⁷ *University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States*
- ⁶⁸ *Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, NM, United States*
- ⁶⁹ *Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States*
- ⁷⁰ *Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to ³*
- ⁷¹ *School of Physics and Electronics, Hunan University, Changsha City, China, associated to ⁸*
- ⁷² *Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, associated to ⁴*
- ⁷³ *Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, associated to ⁵*

- ⁷⁴ *School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, associated to* ⁴
⁷⁵ *Departamento de Física , Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia, associated to* ¹⁶
⁷⁶ *Ruhr Universitaet Bochum, Fakultaet f. Physik und Astronomie, Bochum, Germany, associated to* ¹⁹
⁷⁷ *Eotvos Lorand University, Budapest, Hungary, associated to* ⁴⁹
⁷⁸ *Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, associated to* ³⁸
⁷⁹ *Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands, associated to* ³⁸
⁸⁰ *Tadeusz Kosciuszko Cracow University of Technology, Cracow, Poland, associated to* ⁴¹
⁸¹ *Universidade da Coruña, A Coruna, Spain, associated to* ⁴⁵
⁸² *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, associated to* ⁶⁰
⁸³ *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, associated to* ⁶⁹

^a *Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica Celso Suckow da Fonseca, Rio De Janeiro, Brazil*

^b *Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*

^c *Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou, China*

^d *School of Physics and Electronics, Henan University , Kaifeng, China*

^e *LIP6, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France*

^f *Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Tegucigalpa, Honduras*

^g *Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*

^h *Università di Bergamo, Bergamo, Italy*

ⁱ *Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*

^j *Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*

^k *Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*

^l *Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*

^m *Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy*

ⁿ *Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*

^o *Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*

^p *Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*

^q *Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy*

^r *Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*

^s *Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy*

^t *Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*

^u *Università di Siena, Siena, Italy*

^v *Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy*

^w *Universidad de Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares , Spain*

^x *Facultad de Ciencias Fisicas, Madrid, Spain*

^y *Department of Physics/Division of Particle Physics, Lund, Sweden*

[†] *Deceased*