Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 12730

Enhancement of capacitive deionization capacity of hierarchical porous carbon†

Lumeng Chao,^a Zhenyu Liu,^{ac} Guoxin Zhang,^a Xiaona Song,^a Xiaodong Lei,^a Michael Noyong,^b Ulrich Simon,^b Zheng Chang^{*a} and Xiaoming Sun^{*a}

Capacitive deionization (CDI) has attracted huge interest as an energy-efficient and eco-friendly desalination strategy. Its development is presently limited due to the relatively low CDI capacitances of carbon materials. Herein, hierarchical porous carbon materials (HPCs) derived from ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) upon annealing were used, which showed impressive CDI performance with a maximum desalination capacity of 34.27 mg g⁻¹ in 40 mg L⁻¹ NaCl aqueous solution. Such capability was attributed to the appropriate hierarchical pore structure, high specific surface area (2185.71 m² g⁻¹), large pore volume (1.368 cm³ g⁻¹) and reasonable graphitization degree, which were also confirmed by the high specific capacitances of 182 F g⁻¹ in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl and 260 F g⁻¹ in 6 mol L⁻¹ KOH. Since the physisorption capacity was nearly 0, and the regeneration process was facile and complete, such economical HPCs materials show potential for practical desalination applications in the future. Moreover, the HPCs electrodes presented ion selectivity in competitive multi-ionic solutions by kinetic behavior difference or static capacitance difference.

Received 8th February 2015
Accepted 8th May 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ta01036e

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

1. Introduction

Water shortage has evolved to be one of the most challenging obstacles lying in front of the ever increasing economy and population, and desalination of sea water has been considered promising for relieving the water shortage crisis.^{1,2} Recently, capacitive deionization (CDI) has been regarded as one of the most applicable methods^{3,4} among current available strategies for desalination, *i.e.* reverse osmosis,⁵ electrodialysis,⁶ distillation⁷ and others. The advantages of CDI are its low applied voltage (<2 V), inexpensiveness, avoidance of secondary wastes, and easiness of device or plant regeneration. CDI utilizes the formation of electric double layer capacitance (EDLC) at the interfaces of electrode materials.⁸ Once a voltage is applied to the electrodes, ions will follow the electric potential and will be driven to the oppositely charged electrodes, followed by absorption on the electrode surfaces.⁹ After the electrodes are saturated with ions, the electrodes are regenerated by eliminating the electric field. Therefore CDI is also described as an electrosorption technique.

To date, most CDI electrodes consist of carbon materials, such as activated carbon,¹⁰ carbon aerogel,^{11,12} ordered

mesoporous carbon,^{13,14} carbon nanotube,^{15–17} graphene,^{18–20} and their composites,^{21–24} which take advantage of carbon's high specific surface area (SSA), excellent electronic conductivity, manageable pore structure, and extremely high durability. For the emerging desalination technology, CDI electrodes are still in the developing stage. Recently, the excellent CDI performance of hierarchical porous carbon has drawn a lot of attention.²⁵ In addition to increasing SSA and exposing more sites for ions to adsorb, hierarchical pore structure can facilitate ion transport by providing shorter diffusion pathways and smaller resistances: the ion buffering reservoirs formed in macropores can minimize ion diffusion distances into interior pores;^{26,27} the mesopores guarantee not only a large accessible surface area for ion adsorption but also a low resistant pathway for ion transport through the framework.^{28–30}

To optimize desalination performance, numerous efforts have been devoted to three-dimensional (3D) carbon materials with multifold pore structures. A 3D carbon sponge based on reduced graphene oxide exhibited a desalination capacity as high as 8.6 mg g⁻¹,³¹ and an electrosorption capacity of 15.2 mg g⁻¹ was also reported based on mesoporous carbon made out of resorcinol.³² However, their capacitances and rates for desalination were insufficiently high and left room for upcoming improvement and development. For instance, by introducing metal oxides, 3D carbon composites showed enhanced CDI performance on electrosorption capacity and capacitive retention.³³ Therefore, the development of high capacitance and low cost of electrode materials is a key factor for a successful and economic commercial application of CDI in the future.

^aState Key Laboratory of Chemical Resource Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing, 100029, China. E-mail: changzheng@mail.buct.edu.cn; sunxm@mail.buct.edu.cn

^bInstitute of Inorganic Chemistry, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, 52074, Germany

^cMaoming Branch R&D Institute, SINOPEC, Maoming, 525011, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ta01036e

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), one widely available chelating agent in solution chemistry, has been used as a carbon source for novel carbon electrodes. Our team³⁴ firstly reported a one-step pyrolysis of EDTA and melamine in the presence of KOH and $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to synthesize Co-containing porous carbon, which demonstrated excellent oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) activity. Xu *et al.*³⁵ used a direct pyrolysis of the tetrasodium salt of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid ($\text{Na}_4\text{-EDTA}$) to synthesize supercapacitor electrodes. Bao *et al.*³⁶ prepared a hierarchical architecture that consisted of ultrathin graphene shells that encapsulated CoNi nanoalloy using a hydrothermal reaction of Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} and $\text{Na}_4\text{-EDTA}$ followed by an annealing treatment, and studied its electrocatalyst application in the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). In the pyrolysis process of EDTA, CO_2 readily generated from carboxyl groups of EDTA expanded the carbon matrix and greatly increased the volume of the reaction system.³⁴ The abundant porosity could be further enhanced by KOH activation.³⁷ *In situ* N-doping derived from N-containing EDTA precursors realized a homogeneous incorporation of nitrogen into the carbon framework, leading to the enhancement of the capacitance through additional Faradaic reactions as well as the improvement of the wettability with electrolytes.³⁵ Therefore, the utilization of EDTA precursors helped the formation of a highly porous structure, large specific surface area and improved electrochemical property of EDTA-derived carbon (EDTA-C). Up to now, no one has investigated the CDI performance and electrochemical properties of EDTA-C in a neutral electrolyte.

Moreover, separation of various metal ions in water systems is becoming increasingly urgent in current environmental processes, like water softening or brackish water deionization. A few studies have investigated ion selectivity in CDI. Xu *et al.*³⁸ showed that multivalent ions were preferentially adsorbed onto the charged carbon electrodes, leading to better capacitance performances than monovalent ions. However, Gabelich *et al.*³⁹ reported that monovalent ions with smaller hydrated radii were preferentially removed from solution over multivalent ions with larger radii. Afterwards, Hou *et al.*⁴⁰ published that activated carbon electrodes presented better selectivity of smaller ions over larger ions, while divalent ions were more preferentially removed than monovalent ions. Moreover, the initial concentration and the applied voltage always play an important role in ion selectivity. It seems that ionic charge and hydrated radius can influence electrosorption selectivity considering similar feed concentrations, but the interplay between the two is not yet clear. So ion selectivity in CDI is still a great challenge.

In this work, we reported the development of a series of hierarchical porous carbon materials (HPCs) formed in a one-step pyrolysis of EDTA with the help of KOH. Hierarchical porosity was achieved by *in situ* CO_2 release and KOH activation. Very high specific capacitances were found in both 6 mol L^{-1} KOH (260 F g^{-1}) and 1 mol L^{-1} NaCl (182 F g^{-1}) electrolytes, which were attributed to the high SSA, large pore volume, N-doping and appropriate pore structure of the HPCs. This opened up opportunities for CDI applications. The maximum desalination capacity of the optimized HPCs was 34.27 mg g^{-1} in 40 mg L^{-1} NaCl and they displayed 100% capacity retention

for three cycles. In addition, the electrode materials enabled selective adsorption in competitive multi-ionic solutions depending on the ionic charge and hydrated radius. We concluded that the HPCs prepared by this facile and low-cost procedure could potentially pave the way to technical desalination applications.

2. Experimental

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and other chemicals were purchased from Beijing Chemical Reagents Company. Commercial activated carbon was purchased from Shantou Xilong Chemical Factory. All the reagents were used without any purification, and deionized (DI) water was used in all experiments.

2.1 Synthesis of hierarchical porous carbons (HPCs)

A certain amount of KOH (1.0, 2.0, 4.0 or 6.0 g) was ground to a fine powder in an agate mortar and then mixed with EDTA (4.0 g). The mixture was transferred to a ceramic boat and heated to a certain high temperature (500, 600, 700 or 800 °C) for 2 h with a heating rate of 10 °C min^{-1} under an inert atmosphere (N_2) in a tube furnace. Afterwards, the product was carefully washed with diluted hydrochloric acid, water and ethanol for several cycles, and then finally dried at 80 °C overnight. According to the weight ratio of KOH to EDTA, the samples annealed at 600 °C were denoted as HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0, and HPC-1.5.

For control experiments, commercial activated carbon (AC) after pretreatment with nitric acid and water was used to replace EDTA in the same synthesis process. The heating treatment was at 600 °C for 2 h. The weight ratios of KOH to AC were 0 and 0.5, respectively denoted as AC and AC-KOH.

2.2 Characterization

The morphologies of the materials were examined using scanning electron microscopy (Zeiss SUPRA 55). Chemical compositions were determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (Thermo Electron ESCALAB 250). The nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K with a Quantachrome Adsorption Instrument (Quantachrome Autosorb-1C-VP). Prior to the measurements, the samples were outgassed at 200 °C in a vacuum for 3 h. Specific surface area was calculated from the adsorption branch according to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method. The pore size distribution plot was derived from the desorption branch of the isotherm based on the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method. The cationic concentrations in multi-ionic solutions were measured by an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometer (Thermo, ICAP-6300). Raman spectra were recorded from 100 to 4500 cm^{-1} on the LabRAM ARAMIS Raman system with a 532 nm argon ion laser for excitation.

2.3 Electrode preparation

The HPCs and ACs were used as electrode materials. In a typical procedure, the HPCs (or ACs), carbon black, and

polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE, Aldrich; 60 wt% dispersion in water) were mixed (80 wt%, 15 wt% and 5 wt%, respectively) in an appropriate volume of ethanol and then sonicated for at least 1 h. The slurry containing the solid electrode materials was pressed on a graphite sheet. The fabricated electrodes were dried at 80 °C overnight to remove any remaining solvent. The small electrodes (with a mass of 5 mg and a size of 1 cm × 1 cm × 0.2 mm) and large electrodes (with a mass of 100 mg and a size of 6 cm × 7 cm × 0.2 mm) were used for electrochemical measurements and capacitive desalination experiments, respectively.

2.4 Electrochemical measurements

The capacitance examination (CV), galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed in 1.0 mol L⁻¹ NaCl aqueous solutions on a CHI 660D electrochemical station (Chenhua Instrument Company), using a three-electrode configuration that consisted of a working electrode, a graphite electrode, and a calomel reference electrode. CV and GCD measurements were carried out between 0 and 1.0 V, and the frequency range of EIS impedance was 100 kHz–0.01 Hz. The cycling ability was measured at a current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹ in a potential window of 0–1.0 V. The specific capacitances (*C*) were calculated from GCD curves according to the equation:

$$C = I\Delta t/m\Delta V, \quad (1)$$

where *I* is the discharge current density, Δt is the discharge time, *m* is the mass of the active materials, and ΔV is the discharge voltage difference.

2.5 Capacitive desalination experiments

In a typical CDI measurement, 100 mL of NaCl aqueous solution with an initial conductivity of 90 μS cm⁻¹ (40 mg L⁻¹) in a beaker was continuously fed into the CDI unit using a peristaltic pump with a constant flow rate of 25 mL min⁻¹ and the effluent was returned to this beaker. Meanwhile, the applied voltage on the CDI unit was adjusted from 1.2 to 1.4 to 1.6 V. The initial concentration of NaCl aqueous solution was changed to 500, 1000 or 5850 mg L⁻¹. The solution concentration was monitored by a conductivity meter (Type 308F, Leici Company) located at the outlet of the CDI unit. The desalination capacities (*W*) were calculated according to the equation:

$$W = (C_0 - C)V/m, \quad (2)$$

where *C*₀ and *C* are the initial and final concentrations, respectively, calculated from the corresponding conductivities, *V* is the total solution volume, and *m* is the total mass of the electrode materials. The accumulated ion removal rate was given by the accumulated mass of deionized NaCl (mg) per total electrode material mass (g) divided by the operation time (s).⁴¹ In the selectivity measurements, 100 mL of multi-ionic solutions were used containing LiCl, KCl, RbCl, MgCl₂ or AlCl₃, in which every cationic concentration was 0.45 mmol L⁻¹.

3. Results and discussion

The resulting HPCs materials were verified to have hierarchical pore structures, as shown in Fig. 1. The HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0, and HPC-1.5 samples were prepared under the same conditions except for the different weight ratio of KOH to EDTA. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images clearly exhibited macroporous structures with well-defined interconnected pores at a size range from 5 to 10 μm (Fig. 1a–d). In high magnification SEM images (Fig. S1a–d, ESI†), more pores could be found on the walls building up the macropores. The porous structures of the HPCs were further evaluated by N₂ adsorption-desorption measurements, and the results are shown in Fig. 1e and f and Table 1. According to IUPAC classification, the strip hysteresis loops in Fig. 1e indicated that the HPCs mainly consisted of mesopores. Meanwhile, the isotherms presented certain volume adsorption when the *P/P*₀ decreased to 0.4 and below, suggesting the microporous channel distribution. Thus, the HPCs possessed a hierarchical porous network in terms of micro-, meso- and macro-pores as well as an abundant porosity, which would benefit ion adsorption, diffusion and transport.⁴² The weight ratio of KOH to EDTA had an obvious effect on the SSA and pore structure. The HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0, and HPC-1.5 gave SSAs of 1419.96, 2185.71, 1599.59, and 871.44 m² g⁻¹, respectively. Their mesopore sizes were lying in a range from 3.0 to 4.0 nm (Fig. 1f), while their specific pore volumes were significantly different. For instance, the pore volume of the HPC-0.5 (1.368 cm³ g⁻¹) was much larger than that of the HPC-1.5 (0.557 cm³ g⁻¹) despite their similarity in average pore size (about 3.7 nm), revealing that their difference in pore structure was probably relevant to the KOH effect on micropores. Generally, large SSA and appropriate pore size are crucial for electrode materials,⁴³ so that the HPCs are expected to have a superior capacitance.²¹ Moreover, the graphitization degrees of the HPCs were investigated using Raman (Fig. S2†). The lowest intensity ratio of D band to G band (*I*_D/*I*_G) for the HPC-0.5 confirmed it to be the most highly graphitized, which was normally treated as a sign of enhanced electrical conductivity.⁴⁴ The element contents calculated from XPS data are listed in Table 1. The C1s spectra of the HPCs are illustrated in Fig. S3a† and split to four peaks, respectively attributed to sp² carbon

Fig. 1 SEM images of (a) HPC-0.25, (b) HPC-0.5, (c) HPC-1.0 and (d) HPC-1.5. (e) N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms and (f) pore size distributions of the HPCs.

Table 1 Structural and constituent data of HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0 and HPC-1.5

HPC-	S_{BET} m ² g ⁻¹	D_{mc} nm	V_{total} cm ³ g ⁻¹	C content%	N content%	O content%
0.25	1419.96	3.06	0.777	85.00	4.16	10.84
0.5	2185.71	3.73	1.368	85.89	3.74	10.37
1.0	1599.59	3.05	0.936	84.48	6.45	9.07
1.5	871.44	3.74	0.557	86.31	4.90	8.79

(284.9 eV), carbon in C–N (286.5 eV), carbon in carbonyl (287.7 eV), and carbon in carboxyl or ester group (289.6 eV). Less C–O groups were observed with more KOH being added, suggesting a higher carbonization/defunctionalization degree during KOH activation at high temperature. The HPC-0.5 showed a relatively high O/C ratio, which meant a high level of functionalization. The combination of good conductivity and reasonable surface functionalization would yield the best capacitive performance of the HPC-0.5. The N1s spectra (Fig. S3b†) could be fitted with three signals of pyridine N, pyrrolic N and quaternary-N at ~398.6, 400.1 and 401.1 eV, respectively.^{45–47} The N presence suggested that N atoms source from EDTA precursors had been incorporated into the carbon framework, which would benefit the capacitive performance of the HPCs.^{48,49} Therefore, the hierarchical porous structure, high specific surface area, appropriate pore size, reasonable graphitization degree and *in situ* N-doping of the HPCs made them ideal as electrode materials for supercapacitor and CDI processes.

The supercapacitor performance of the HPCs was initially evaluated in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl electrolyte considering that the capacitive behavior in NaCl aqueous solution was closely related to the CDI performance mainly based on an EDLC principle. Some experimental conditions were optimized depending on the electrochemical property. Firstly, the effect of the annealing temperature of the system was investigated. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements with a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ for the HPC-0.5 samples annealed at 500, 600 and 700 °C are depicted in Fig. 2a. The HPC-0.5 annealed at 600 °C displayed the largest voltammogram area, which was simply translated into the highest capacitance. The galvanostatic charge–discharge (GCD) curves at a current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹ (Fig. 2b) also confirmed the optimal annealing temperature. When the annealing temperature decreased to 500 °C, the capacitance reduced sharply, which was attributed to the poor conductivity and low porosity of the electrode material after an inadequate heating treatment. However, the high annealing temperature of 700 °C could significantly increase the C–KOH reaction rate and then cause the burn-off of some carbon structures and the widening of micropores, which led to the decrease of useful pores for the capacitance.⁵⁰ The capacitance evolution in alkaline electrolyte also agreed with it very well, as shown in Fig. S4a.† Therefore, we identified 600 °C as the optimal annealing temperature for experiments afterwards in this work. Subsequently, the CV measurements of the HPCs with different weight ratios of KOH to EDTA were carried out at a scanning rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ and recorded in Fig. 2c. Their GCD curves at a current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹ were shown in Fig. 2d. The HPC-0.5 displayed the

largest voltammogram area and the longest charge–discharge time, suggesting the highest capacitance. Revealed by the GCD curves, HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0 and HPC-1.5 had specific capacitances of 101.8, 182.2, 156.9 and 70.94 F g⁻¹ in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl, respectively. The small deviation from the linear GCD curves suggested that the capacitance mainly resulted from EDLC, partly combining with some contribution of the heteroatoms (doped N) in electrode materials. It is well known that nitrogen doping is a simple but effective process to improve the electrochemical performance of carbon materials.⁵¹ As shown in Fig. 2e, all of the iR drop curves of the HPCs almost linearly increased with the current density increasing and the HPC-0.5 showed the smallest iR drop by comparison with the other analogues, suggesting a facilitated electronic/ionic transfer and low contact resistance.⁴³ In the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the HPCs (Fig. S5†), HPC-0.5 presented the smallest semicircle of the Nyquist plot in the high-frequency region, which further proved the lowest charge transfer resistance.⁵² Considering both capacitance functionality and inner

Fig. 2 Electrochemical performances of the HPCs in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl (a) CV measurements (a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹) and (b) GCD curves (a current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹) of the HPC-0.5 samples annealed at different temperatures. (c) CV measurements (a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹), (d) GCD curves (a current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹), (e) iR drop curves, and (f) the specific capacitance and SSA evolution of HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0 and HPC-1.5.

resistance, the optimal weight ratio of KOH to EDTA was identified as 1 : 2. The evolution of specific capacitances and SSAs of the HPCs is reflected in Fig. 2f. It was observed that the specific capacitances in alkaline or neutral electrolyte displayed the same trend with the SSAs: a gradual decrease from HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0, HPC-0.25 to HPC-1.5, which indicated that the SSA influenced electrochemical property as a crucial structural parameter because the large exposed area could provide sufficient sites for ions absorption in EDLC.⁴³

To obtain further insights into the optimal HPC-0.5, its electrochemical property was carefully investigated in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl solution. Fig. 3a depicts the CV curves of the HPC-0.5 at different scan rates from 1 to 100 mV s⁻¹. The curves maintained quasi-rectangular shaped voltammetry characteristics at low scan rates (<50 mV s⁻¹), indicating the EDLC behavior of the carbon composites. However, when the scan rate increased to 50 mV s⁻¹ and above, the shapes exhibited increased distortion from the typical rectangular shape, which mainly resulted from the inherent inner resistance as well as the pseudocapacitance contribution related to the heteroatoms (doped N).^{34,35,53,54} For comparison with conventional carbon electrodes, activated carbon (AC) electrodes before and after KOH activation were evaluated as control samples in the same system. Estimated from GCD measurements, the specific capacitances of the HPC-0.5, AC and AC-KOH electrodes at different current densities are displayed in Fig. 3b. At 0.5 A g⁻¹, the HPC-0.5 had a high specific capacitance of 182.2 F g⁻¹, which surpassed 68.5 F g⁻¹ of AC, 86.0 F g⁻¹ of AC-KOH and most of the other currently reported data of CDI electrode materials.³⁰ It was consistent with the lower specific surface areas and less pore structures of AC and AC-KOH, as shown in Table S1 and Fig. S6.† Moreover, at a higher current density of 2.0 A g⁻¹, the specific capacitances of the HPC-0.5, AC and AC-KOH electrodes were 109.5, 38.0, and 52.0 F g⁻¹, respectively, which corresponded to the capacitance retentions of 60.1%, 55.5%, and 60.5%. HPC-0.5 showed similar capacitance retention with that of AC and AC-KOH

possessing pure EDLC, implying a quick charge propagation capability of both double layer capacitance and pseudocapacitance, and a good rate capability.^{55–57} In the EIS curve (Fig. 3c), a small semicircle of the Nyquist plot was observed in the high-frequency region, which confirmed a relatively low contact resistance of HPC-0.5 as one of the explanations for the high capacitance.⁵⁸ HPC-0.5 also showed a remarkable cycling stability, exhibiting a 96% capacitance retention when cycling 1000 times at 0.5 A g⁻¹ (Fig. 3d). Considering these remarkable electrochemical properties of the HPCs in neutral solution, we could expect much better supercapacitor performances in alkaline electrolyte.^{59–61} For instance, HPC-0.5 had a very high specific capacitance of 260 F g⁻¹ at 0.5 A g⁻¹ and 235 F g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ in 6.0 mol L⁻¹ KOH (for details, please refer to Fig. S4† and corresponding description). Therefore, the HPCs with hierarchical pore structures, large SSAs and good capacitive performances would be desirable for a high-efficiency and long-term operation of CDI.⁶²

The desalination performances of the HPCs were evaluated in NaCl aqueous solutions using a bench-scale CDI testing apparatus, which consisted of a pump, a conductivity meter, an electrical power supply, a self-made CDI unit and a feed water reservoir (as shown in Fig. 4). The change in conductivity was closely related to the solution concentration, which helped to monitor ionic adsorption–desorption processes of the electrode materials. The impact of applied voltage on CDI performance was firstly investigated in 26 mg L⁻¹ NaCl solution using the HPC-0.5 electrode at 1.2 V, 1.4 V and 1.6 V (Fig. 5a). To study physical adsorption behavior, the voltage was not applied in the first 20 min while the pump worked. It was exciting to find that the conductivity of every system hardly decreased at this stage, suggesting that the physical adsorption was almost ignored. Generally, relatively obvious physical adsorption always limits the applications of carbon materials.⁶³ By comparison with these common carbon materials, the low physical adsorption capacity of the HPCs would benefit the enhancement of electrosorption capacity and recycling stability. Once the voltage

Fig. 3 Electrochemical performances of HPC-0.5 in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl (a) CV curves at different scan rates, (b) specific capacitances of HPC-0.5, AC and AC-KOH at different current densities, (c) EIS measurement, and (d) cycling stability at a current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹.

Fig. 4 Schematic of the CDI testing apparatus: electrical power supply, conductivity meter, feed water reservoir, pump, and the CDI unit (1-plastic plate; 2-silicon rubber plate; 3-plastic spacer; working electrode; counter electrode).

Fig. 5 CDI performances of the HPCs electrodes in 40 mg L⁻¹ NaCl at 1.4 V (a) the conductivity transients of HPC-0.5 at different applied voltages (26 mg L⁻¹ NaCl), (b) the conductivity transients of HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0 and HPC-1.5, (c) the specific capacitance and desalination capacity evolution of HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0 and HPC-1.5, (d) the cycling stability of HPC-0.5, (e) the conductivity transients of HPC-0.5, AC and AC-KOH, and (f) the desalination capacities of HPC-0.5 in different concentrations of NaCl.

was applied, the conductivity of every system decreased sharply and then reached a plateau within 100 min with the electrode being saturated. The applied voltage of 1.4 V would be used in the following experiments rather than 1.2 V and 1.6 V, because it induced sufficiently high electrostatic force but did not split water into O₂ and H₂.⁶⁴ Subsequently, the CDI performances over different HPCs electrodes were measured in 40 mg L⁻¹ NaCl solution. As shown in Fig. 5b, they all presented great CDI performances. After 120 min, the desalination capacities (also known as electrosorption capacity) of the HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0 and HPC-1.5 electrodes were 15.35, 34.27, 25.68, and 9.41 mg g⁻¹, respectively. As we expected, the HPC-0.5 electrode performed much better than any of the others, and the desalination capacity of 34.27 mg g⁻¹ exceeded most of the reports about CDI electrode materials, as listed in Table 2.^{31,33,65–68} The

conductivity of the system decreased sharply in the first 80 min, indicating that the desalination process proceeded relatively quickly. Finally, the conductivity reduced from 90 to 17 μS cm⁻¹ and thus the removal efficiency was about 80%. To reveal the “intrinsic factors” that dominate the excellent CDI performances of the HPCs, the desalination capacity and specific capacitance evolutions of HPC-0.25, HPC-0.5, HPC-1.0 and HPC-1.5 are simultaneously shown in Fig. 5c. HPC-0.5 displayed the peak maximum in both of the curves, in good agreement with the change in structural characters such as SSA and pore volume. Thus, the high specific capacitance, large SSA, and appropriate pore structure were considered as the main factors responsible for the superior CDI capacity of the HPCs.

As another important criterion, the cycling stability of the HPC-0.5 is measured and the conductivity transients over three consecutive adsorption–desorption cycles are presented in Fig. 5d. The desorption process was simply achieved by removing the applied voltage. The conductivity was perfectly reproducible and the conductivity retention was above 98% after every adsorption–desorption cycle, indicating a remarkable stability of the HPC-0.5 electrode. The complete release of these adsorbed ions might be attributed to the very low physical adsorption capacity of HPC-0.5 and the CDI capacity could be regenerated very well without any driving energy and secondary pollution, which is important for a large-scaled application. The CDI performance comparison of the HPC-0.5 and conventional AC electrodes was carried out and is shown in Fig. 5e. The desalination capacities of AC and AC-KOH were 8.16 and 15.79 mg g⁻¹, respectively, less than 34.27 mg g⁻¹ of HPC-0.5. Fig. S7† shows their time-dependent accumulated ion removal rates. The maximum ion removal rates of HPC-0.5, AC and AC-KOH, calculated from the peaks of curves,⁴¹ were 0.010, 0.005 and 0.008 mg g⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively. The CDI performances of the HPCs surpassed those of conventional AC materials. Moreover, the study in high-concentration salt solutions is significant for the development of the CDI technique.⁴¹ As shown in Fig. S8,† the HPC-0.5 electrode successfully completed desalination processes within 120 min in 500, 1000 and 5850 mg L⁻¹ NaCl solutions and the corresponding desalination capacities were 61.51, 73.39 and 87.48 mg g⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 5f). The maximum ion removal rates also increased with increasing concentration, for instance, 0.035 mg g⁻¹ s⁻¹ in 500 mg L⁻¹, and 0.064 mg g⁻¹ s⁻¹ in 5850 mg L⁻¹ NaCl. The results indicated that the HPCs materials were efficient in

Table 2 Electrosorption data in our work and some references

Sample	Applied voltage (V)	Initial salt concentration	Desalination capacity (mg g ⁻¹)	Ref.
PPy/PANI	1.4	500 mg L ⁻¹	197.8	65
GA/TiO ₂	1.2	500 mg L ⁻¹	24.2	33
GO	2.0	250 mg L ⁻¹	8.6	31
OMC/CNT	1.2	40 mg L ⁻¹	0.686	66
Gr/SnO ₂	1.4	20–30 mg L ⁻¹ (61 μS cm ⁻¹)	1.49	67
GNFs	2.0	25 mg L ⁻¹	1.21	68
HPCs	1.4	40 mg L ⁻¹	34.27	Our work
	1.4	500 mg L ⁻¹	61.51	Our work

Fig. 6 Ion concentration evolution in multi-ionic solutions: (a) Al^{3+} , Mg^{2+} , K^{+} , and (b) Li^{+} , K^{+} , Rb^{+} .

treating high-concentration salt solutions. In summary, the HPCs would hopefully become a kind of potential CDI electrode material because of their superior performances, including reduced physical adsorption, high desalination capacity, and facile regeneration.

To investigate the ion selectivity of the HPCs, some typical cations were selected including Li^{+} , K^{+} , Rb^{+} , Mg^{2+} and Al^{3+} . Firstly, K^{+} , Mg^{2+} and Al^{3+} with different ionic charges were studied in a mixed solution, in which every ionic concentration was equal to 0.45 mmol L^{-1} and $r(\text{Al}^{3+}, \text{hydrated}, 0.475 \text{ nm}) > r(\text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{hydrated}, 0.428 \text{ nm}) > r(\text{K}^{+}, \text{hydrated}, 0.331 \text{ nm})$. Fig. 6a shows the electrosorption kinetic curves of these coexisting cations using the HPC-0.5 electrode at an applied voltage of 1.4 V . By comparison with multivalent ions, K^{+} presented the smallest capacity and lowest rate, suggesting that ionic charge could dramatically influence an electrosorption process. Moreover, Al^{3+} displayed a higher removal rate than Mg^{2+} though their difference in electrosorption capacity was not significant. The fast removal of Al^{3+} was probably related to the higher ratio of its surface charge to hydrated radius (as shown in Table S2[†]), which could increase the charge density and thus enhance the electrostatic interaction between the Al^{3+} ion and the oppositely charged electrode. However, after some time, small-sized Mg^{2+} ions could finally occupy some extra small pores not being utilized by large-sized Al^{3+} ions, leading to the different electrosorption capacities of Al^{3+} and Mg^{2+} . The effects of ionic charge and hydrated radius were further confirmed in Fig. 6b, in which the electrosorption performances of coexisting Li^{+} , K^{+} and Rb^{+} belonging to the same congener were displayed. Three monovalent ions have different hydrated radii: $r(\text{Li}^{+}, \text{hydrated}, 0.382 \text{ nm}) > r(\text{K}^{+}, \text{hydrated}, 0.331 \text{ nm}) > r(\text{Rb}^{+}, \text{hydrated}, 0.329 \text{ nm})$. The electrosorption rate and capacity gradually increased with decreasing hydrated radius of three monovalent ions, which agreed perfectly with the above result. The study on ion selectivity indicated that the HPCs could successfully achieve the separation of ions by kinetic behavior difference, or static capacitance difference, which would be meaningful for water desalination and softening, and metal source reuse.

4. Conclusions

The hierarchical porous carbon materials (HPCs) were synthesized in the one-step thermal treatment of EDTA with the help of KOH and firstly applied as CDI electrodes. Due to the highly porous structure formed by gas emission and KOH activation,

the HPCs displayed large specific surface areas and high specific capacitances. The optimized HPC-0.5 synthesized at 600°C with a KOH/EDTA weight ratio of 0.5 exhibited superior specific capacities in both 6 mol L^{-1} KOH (260 F g^{-1}) and 1 mol L^{-1} NaCl (182 F g^{-1}). Furthermore, it demonstrated an impressive desalination capacity of 34.27 mg g^{-1} in 40 mg L^{-1} NaCl solution. The physical adsorption of ions could almost be ignored and the cycling stability was comparatively high. Perfect desalination performance coupled with cost-effective preparation procedure and reasonable regeneration suggested a great potential application of the HPCs as practical CDI electrode materials. The research also displayed the strong correlation between desalination capacity and physicochemical property of the HPCs. Finally, the HPCs presented ion selectivity by electrosorption performance comparison of coexisting Li^{+} , K^{+} , Rb^{+} , Mg^{2+} or Al^{3+} ions depending on the combined effect of ionic charge and hydrated radius.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), the 973 Program (2011 CBA00503, 2011 CB932403), Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT1205), the China Scholarship Council (CSC), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (YS1406).

Notes and references

- 1 M. A. Shannon, P. W. Bohn, M. Elimelech, J. G. Georgiadis, B. J. Marinas and A. M. Mayes, *Nature*, 2008, **452**, 301–310.
- 2 N. Savage and M. S. Diallo, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 2005, **7**, 331–342.
- 3 Y. Oren, *Desalination*, 2008, **228**, 10–29.
- 4 X. Lei, B. Wang, J. Liu, Z. Ye, Z. Chang, M. Jiang and X. Sun, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 41642–41648.
- 5 H. L. Yang, C. Huang and J. C.-T. Lin, *Desalination*, 2010, **250**, 548–552.
- 6 M. Turek, *Desalination*, 2002, **153**, 377–381.
- 7 M. H. Eikani, F. Golmohammad, S. Rowshanzamir and M. Mirza, *Flavour Fragrance J.*, 2005, **20**, 555–558.
- 8 R. Zhao, P. M. Biesheuvel, H. Miedema, H. Bruning and A. van der Wal, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **1**, 205–210.
- 9 H. H. Jung, S. W. Hwang, S. H. Hyun, K.-H. Lee and G.-T. Kim, *Desalination*, 2007, **216**, 377–385.
- 10 I. Villar, S. Roldan, V. Ruiz, M. Granda, C. Blanco, R. Menéndez and R. Santamaría, *Energy Fuels*, 2010, **24**, 3329–3333.
- 11 J. C. Farmer, D. V. Fix, G. V. Mack, R. W. Pekala and J. F. Poco, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1996, **143**, 159–169.
- 12 M. Zafra, P. Lavela, G. Rasines, C. Macías, J. Tirado and C. Ania, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **135**, 208–216.
- 13 L. Zou, L. Li, H. Song and G. Morris, *Water Res.*, 2008, **42**, 2340–2348.
- 14 Z. Peng, D. Zhang, L. Shi, T. Yan, S. Yuan, H. Li, R. Gao and J. Fang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 17068–17076.

- 15 Y. Liu, W. Ma, Z. Cheng, J. Xu, R. Wang and X. Gang, *Desalination*, 2013, **326**, 109–114.
- 16 L. Fan, C. Feng, W. Zhao, L. Qian, Y. Wang and Y. Li, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 3668–3673.
- 17 K. Shi, M. Ren and I. Zhitomirsky, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2014, **2**, 1289–1298.
- 18 Z. Wang, B. Dou, L. Zheng, G. Zhang, Z. Liu and Z. Hao, *Desalination*, 2012, **299**, 96–102.
- 19 H. Wang, D. Zhang, T. Yan, X. Wen, J. Zhang, L. Shi and Q. Zhong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 11778–11789.
- 20 B. Jia and L. Zou, *Sci. Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **5**, 1111–1116.
- 21 X. Wen, D. Zhang, T. Yan, J. Zhang and L. Shi, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 12334–12344.
- 22 C. Yan, L. Zou and R. Short, *Desalination*, 2012, **290**, 125–129.
- 23 H. Wang, L. Shi, T. Yan, J. Zhang, Q. Zhong and D. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 4739–4750.
- 24 D. Zhang, T. Yan, L. Shi, Z. Peng, X. Wen and J. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 14696–14704.
- 25 S. J. Yang, T. Kim, K. Lee, Y. S. Kim, J. Yoon and C. R. Park, *Carbon*, 2014, **71**, 294–302.
- 26 R. A. Rica, D. Brogioli, R. Ziano, D. Salerno and F. Mantegazza, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 16934–16938.
- 27 Z. Wang, D. Xu, H. Wang, Z. Wu and X. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 2422–2430.
- 28 Y. Li, Z. Li and P. K. Shen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 2474–2480.
- 29 D. W. Wang, F. Li, M. Liu, G. Q. Lu and H. M. Cheng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2008, **47**, 373–376.
- 30 D. Zhang, X. Wen, L. Shi, T. Yan and J. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 5440–5446.
- 31 B. Jia and L. Zou, *Carbon*, 2012, **50**, 2315–2321.
- 32 C. Tsouris, R. Mayes, J. Kiggans, K. Sharma, S. Yiaccoumi, D. DePaoli and S. Dai, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **45**, 10243–10249.
- 33 H. Yin, S. Zhao, J. Wan, H. Tang, L. Chang, L. He, H. Zhao, Y. Gao and Z. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 6270–6276.
- 34 Z. Liu, G. Zhang, Z. Lu, X. Jin, Z. Chang and X. Sun, *Nano Res.*, 2013, **6**, 293–301.
- 35 B. Xu, D. Zheng, M. Jia, G. Cao and Y. Yang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **98**, 176–182.
- 36 J. Deng, P. Ren, D. Deng and X. Bao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2015, **54**, 2100–2104.
- 37 Y. Zhu, S. Murali, M. D. Stoller, K. J. Ganesh, W. Cai, P. J. Ferreira, A. Pirkle, R. M. Wallace, K. A. Cychoz, M. Thommes, D. Su, E. A. Stach and R. S. Ruoff, *Science*, 2011, **332**, 1537–1541.
- 38 P. Xu, J. E. Drewes, D. Heil and G. Wang, *Water Res.*, 2008, **42**, 2605–2617.
- 39 C. J. Gabelich, T. D. Tran and A. I. H. M. Suffet, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2002, **36**, 3010–3019.
- 40 C.-H. Hou and C.-Y. Huang, *Desalination*, 2013, **314**, 124–129.
- 41 J. Lee, S. Kim, C. Kim and J. Yoon, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 3683–3689.
- 42 H. Jiang, J. Ma and C. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 4197–4202.
- 43 Z.-Y. Yang, L.-J. Jin, G.-Q. Lu, Q.-Q. Xiao, Y.-X. Zhang, L. Jing, X.-X. Zhang, Y.-M. Yan and K.-N. Sun, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 3917–3925.
- 44 Y. Li, Z. Li and P. K. Shen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 2474–2480.
- 45 S. Biniak, G. Szymanski, J. Siedlewski and A. Swiatkowski, *Carbon*, 1997, **35**, 1799–1810.
- 46 D. Hulicova-Jurcakova, M. Seredych, G. Q. Lu and T. J. Bandoz, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 438–447.
- 47 H. Luo, Z. Liu, L. Chao, X. Wu, X. Lei, Z. Chang and X. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 3667–3675.
- 48 Z. Wen, X. Wang, S. Mao, Z. Bo, H. Kim, S. Cui, G. Lu, X. Feng and J. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 5610–5616.
- 49 Z. Liu, C. Zhang, L. Luo, Z. Chang and X. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 12149–12154.
- 50 J. Wang and S. Kaskel, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 23710–23725.
- 51 X. Li, X. Zang, Z. Li, X. Li, P. Li, P. Sun, X. Lee, R. Zhang, Z. Huang, K. Wang, D. Wu, F. Kang and H. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 4862–4869.
- 52 X. Fan, C. Yu, J. Yang, Z. Ling and J. Qiu, *Carbon*, 2014, **70**, 130–141.
- 53 L. Zhao, L. Z. Fan, M. Q. Zhou, H. Guan, S. Y. Qiao, M. Antonietti and M. M. Titirici, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 5202–5206.
- 54 D. Hulicova-Jurcakova, M. Kodama, S. Shiraishi, H. Hatori, Z. H. Zhu and G. Q. Lu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 1800–1809.
- 55 Z. Wen, X. Wang, S. Mao, Z. Bo, H. Kim, S. Cui, G. Lu, X. Feng and J. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 5610–5616.
- 56 M. Li, C. Liu, H. Cao, H. Zhao, Y. Zhang and Z. Fan, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 14844–14851.
- 57 N. P. Wickramaratne, J. Xu, M. Wang, L. Zhu, L. Dai and M. Jaroniec, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 2820–2828.
- 58 A. Sumboja, C. Y. Foo, X. Wang and P. S. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 2809–2815.
- 59 L. Dai, D. W. Chang, J. B. Baek and W. Lu, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 1130–1166.
- 60 Y. Zhao, J. Liu, Y. Hu, H. Cheng, C. Hu, C. Jiang, L. Jiang, A. Cao and L. Qu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 591–595.
- 61 H. D. J. Jiayan Luo and J. Huang, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 1464–1471.
- 62 Q. Dong, G. Wang, B. Qian, C. Hu, Y. Wang and J. Qiu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **137**, 388–394.
- 63 L. Zou, G. Morris and D. Qi, *Desalination*, 2008, **225**, 329–340.
- 64 H. Kato, K. Asakura and A. A. Kudo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 3082–3089.
- 65 Y. Wang, R. Wang, S. Xu, Q. Liu and J. Wang, *Desalin. Water Treat.*, 2014, **52**, 1–9.
- 66 Z. Peng, D. Zhang, T. Yan, J. Zhang and L. Shi, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2013, **282**, 965–973.
- 67 A. G. El-Deen, N. A. M. Barakat, K. A. Khalil, M. Motlak and H. Yong Kim, *Ceram. Int.*, 2014, **40**, 14627–14634.
- 68 H. Li, L. Zou, L. Pan and Z. Sun, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, **44**, 8692–8697.