ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Design and development of a disease-specific quality of life tool for patients with aplastic anaemia and/or paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria (QLQ-AA/PNH)—a report on phase III

Cathrin Niedeggen ¹ • Susanne Singer ² • Martha Groth ¹ • Andrea Petermann-Meyer ¹ • Alexander Röth ³ • Hubert Schrezenmeier ⁴ • Britta Höchsmann ⁴ • Tim H. Brümmendorf ¹ • Jens Panse ¹

Received: 23 May 2018 / Accepted: 28 March 2019 / Published online: 21 May 2019 © The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

To date, instruments to measure quality of life (QoL) specifically for patients with acquired aplastic anaemia (AA) and paroxvsmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria (PNH) are lacking altogether. As a consequence, this issue is either underevaluated or alternatively, instruments originally designed for cancer patients are being used. We therefore started to systematically develop a AA/ PNH-specific OoL (OLO-AA/PNH) instrument in these ultra-rare diseases according to European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines. While phases I and II of the process have previously been published, we now report on the resulting instrument (phase III of this process). As part of the phase III of the evaluation process, we approached patients through physicians, patient support groups, and patient conferences. After participants completed the preliminary questionnaire and reported socio-demographic data, they were interviewed in person or via phone with a debriefing interview to find out whether the items were relevant, easy to understand, and acceptable to patients and whether there was anything missing in the questionnaire. We hypothesised what items could be combined into a scale and calculated Cronbach's alpha to define its preliminary internal consistency. After definition of a priori criteria to keep or delete items, a group of six experts met in person, discussed the results, and decided on in- or exclusion. A total of 48 patients were enrolled, 21 of those suffered from AA (44%), 13 from PNH (27%), and 14 from AA/PNH syndrome (29%). The median time to complete the 69 items was 10 min (range 5– 20), mean time 11 min. The compliance criterion (>95% completion) was fulfilled by 57 items. Twenty-three items were mentioned as especially relevant by $\ge 2\%$ of the patients. Cronbach's alpha of the hypothesised scales ranged from 0.63 (social support) to 0.92 (fear of progression and illness intrusiveness). Finally, 47 items were kept; 16 were deleted, and 5 were changed, while 1 item expanded. This resulted in 54 items in total. As no issues were mentioned to lacking by a minimum of five patients, no items were added to the questionnaire. After completion, the AA/PNH-QoL tool (QLQ-AA/PNH) was translated according to EORTC guidelines into English, French, and Italian. For patients with PNH and AA until now, the standard assessment for QoL was to use the EORTC Quality of Life Questionnaire (QLQ-C30) or the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy Fatigue Instrument (FACIT-Fatigue). We herewith present a new instrument aimed to be better tailored to the needs of PNH and AA patients. The anticipated fourth development phase will be performed for psychometric validation; however, we already explored the internal consistency of the hypothesised scales and found the results to be very good. Hence, the new QLQ-AA/PNH with 54 items can be used in trials and clinical studies from now on, according to EORTC strategy even if the scoring algorithm at this point is preliminary and the QLQ-AA/PNH might change slightly after phase IV. This is important, as there are no other disease-specific instruments available for AA/PNH patients right now.



Department of Oncology, Hematology, Hemostaseology and Stem Cell Transplantation, Medical Faculty, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Pauwelsstr. 30, 52074 Aachen, Germany

Division of Epidemiology and Health Services Research, Institute of Medical Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, University Medical Centre Mainz, Mainz, Germany

Department of Hematology, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

Institute of Clinical Transfusion Medicine and Immunogenetics, German Red Cross Blood Transfusion Service and University Hospital Ulm and Institute of Transfusion Medicine, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany

1548 Ann Hematol (2019) 98:1547–1559

Keywords Aplastic anaemia · Paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria · Quality of life · Bone marrow failure syndromes

Introduction

Quality of life (QoL) assessment is an essential patientreported outcome to evaluate the effects and value of treatment [1]. For the evaluation of QoL in cancer patients, the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Core Questionnaire (EORTC OLO-C30) [2] is a widely accepted tool. It has additional modules for several malignancies such as head and neck cancer, multiple myeloma, breast cancer, and others in order to better target and reflect disease-specific problems [2-8]. Modification of the EORTC QLQ-C30 also became necessary with the advent of new treatment modalities such as antibodies and tyrosine kinase inhibitors, as these highly effective treatments lead to new side effects and hitherto unrecognised psychosocial QoL effects e.g. in patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia [9]. Hence, as QoL instruments become more and more disease- and treatment-specific, this trend, so far, pertains only malignant and well-known diseases.

However, about 8000 known rare and ultra-rare diseases affect a substantial proportion of patients worldwide [10]. For these patients, it can often be difficult to find an experienced specialist or institution, let alone an approved treatment. It is even more difficult to find a reliable QoL tool, correctly assessing QoL or treatment effects and value [11] thus allowing to properly adjust treatment and care to the needs of these patient and foster patient-centred care.

Within the broad area of non-malignant haematology, both acquired aplastic anaemia (AA) and paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria (PNH) represent interrelated ultrarare diseases with a yearly incidence within the western hemisphere of 1.3 to 2 per million [12, 13]. The exact number of patients newly developing AA, PNH, or AA/ PNH overlap syndromes e.g. within Germany is unknown. The incidence in Germany is estimated to be 250 per year, but given the fact that not all of the affected patients are diagnosed properly and timely, the actual number of newly diagnosed patients is assumed to be somewhat lower. AA and PNH often affect young patients. While age distribution for AA shows a bimodal curve with a peak in young adults, the mean age at diagnosis of PNH is somewhat higher and peaks between 30 and 45 years. PNH and AA belong to the group of bone marrow failure syndromes (BMFS) and must be regarded as two distinct but interrelated manifestations of a partly common pathophysiology [14, 15].

For patients with AA, treatment options include allogeneic bone marrow transplantation (BMT) from sibling donors, immunosuppressive therapy (IST) including anti-

thymocyte-globulin (ATG) preferentially from horse, further courses of IST, BMT from alternative donor sources, thrombopoietin (TPO) receptor agonists [16], and experimental treatment approaches [13, 17, 18].

Treatment with the complement inhibitor eculizumab is regarded as the treatment of choice for symptomatic and/or predominantly haemolytic PNH patients, which greatly increased survival rates for these patients [19–23].

In contrast to the vast amount of published research addressing pathophysiology and treatment of AA and PNH, QoL, and psychosocial issues have not been intensively studied. Almost all QoL reports in PNH used the EORTC QLQ-C30 [2] or the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy Fatigue Instrument (FACIT-Fatigue) [24, 25], and both questionnaires are now routinely used within the international PNH-registry [26]. QoL reports about AA patients mostly focus on sequelae from bone marrow transplantation [27–29] and rarely concentrate on non-transplanted patients [30, 31].

In addition, evaluations mainly used surrogate parameters e.g. treatment toxicity, transfusion and drug treatment requirements, and haematologic counts in order to indirectly describe quality of life in patients with AA/PNH.

The lack of specific QoL tools in patients with AA and/or PNH and the strong encouragement by patient advocacy groups led to the development of a AA/PNH-specific QoL (QLQ-AA/PNH) instrument according to EORTC-guidelines [32]. The study's objective was not to measure QoL and compare it between different groups of patients but to develop a questionnaire that is able to serve such a purpose in patients with AA and/or PNH. For this, it is necessary to include patients with various disease stages and treatment regimens, different levels of education, different age, gender etc. In fact, the sample should be as heterogeneous as possible, according to international guidelines [32].

Phases I and II of the developmental process have been published already [33]; herein, we report on phase III. Apart from validation in routine clinical practice, a particular aim of the phase III in this ultra-rare disease condition was to identify and solve potential problems in the administration of the questionnaire e.g. the phrasing of questions, and to identify missing or redundant items. We also wanted to obtain mean response scores for each item to determine their relevance.

Patients and methods

The data protection official of the University Hospital RWTH Aachen and the Institutional Review Board of the



Medical Faculty RWTH Aachen University approved the study protocol.

Sampling and data collection

We approached patients via specialised physicians known to work within German reference centres for patients with AA/PNH, patient support groups, their AA/PNH website, and during two patient conferences (in Ulm and Essen, Germany). In addition, patients themselves spread the word through social media such as Facebook and encouraged each other to participate in the study so that patients approached the authors via email or telephone. Patients were eligible if they had been diagnosed with AA, PNH or both, and if they had not participated in previous phases I–II of this module development. Each participant provided written informed consent.

Patients were asked to report about issues related to their disease not their treatment-specific problems e.g. after allogeneic stem cell transplantation or drug-associated problems (e.g. virilisation due to cyclosporine). Further information can be found here [33].

After the first 18 patients, an unexpected rush of patients approached us after presentation of the project at one of the patient conferences. We therefore decided to change the process as the subsequent 30 patients lived all across Germany.

That is, we send out the questionnaire to the patients. Patients were then interviewed through telephone twice. They first were given instructions and information about the aim of the study and the questionnaire and were allowed to ask questions. They then filled out the questionnaire taking the time they needed to do so. They were then called again in order to give their feedback and to discuss remaining issues. The same author (C.N.) conducted all the telephone interviews. We did not formally evaluate differences between answers given from patients interviewed via telephone vs. patients interviewed in person; however, we did not perceive any differences in answers, time of interviews or mood during the interviews.

The instruments

The preliminary questionnaire which was developed in phases I and II included 77 questions. Before starting with phase III, these were reduced to 69 questions by merging highly related issues such as activities of daily living and employment. This was done through consensus by the research team.

With the resulting 69 questions, the participants were asked first to complete the preliminary questionnaire and to report socio-demographic and clinical characteristics, such as education, employment, disease, and treatment history.

After that, they were interviewed in person by one of the authors (C.N.) with debriefing questions regarding the time

needed to complete the questionnaire. They could also indicate whether they found any item upsetting, confusing, or particularly (ir)relevant. If they mentioned any problems, they were asked to suggest an alternative wording for that item. After the first nine patients, minimal modifications in wording were incorporated; questions were arranged in a slightly different order, and another nine patients went through the same procedure.

All Information regarding disease and treatment were reported by the patients.

Statistical analyses

First, the items s25, s27, s45, s46, s49, s66, s67, and s68 were recoded so that higher values indicate more problems, to ensure comparability with the other items.

We then calculated the range and mean of each item together with the percentage of responses in each response category. In a next step, we defined for each item the percentage of patients who completed it, who found it irritating, or difficult to understand.

We hypothesised what items could be combined into a scale and calculated Cronbach's alpha to define its preliminary internal consistency.

All analyses were performed using STATA statistical software, version 12 (StataCorp, TX, USA).

Decision on items

We defined a priori criteria when to keep an item in the questionnaire. An item should be kept if at least five of the following criteria 1 to 7 or criterion 8 is fulfilled:

- 1. Relevance: mean > 1.5 (of a Likert response scales 1 to 4)
- 2. Relevance: >50% of the participants score 3 ("quite a bit") or 4 ("very much")
- 3. No floor nor ceiling effects: > 10% of the patients score 1 or 2; > 10% score 3 or 4
- 4. Range: the responses range from 1 to 4
- 5. Acceptability: < 5% find the item upsetting
- 6. Easiness: < 5% find the item difficult to understand
- 7. Compliance: > 95% complete the item
- 8. Priority: $\geq 2\%$ mention the item as especially relevant

The results per item were tabulated accordingly. A group of six experts met in person, discussed the results, and decided on in- or exclusion of items. They based their decision on these criteria but they could also deviate from it if they had strong arguments, for example, based on clinical experience, and if they found a consensus. The group was composed of physicians (C.N., B.H., A.R, T.H.B., and J.P.) and a psychologist (S.S.).



If at least five patients mentioned the same issue as lacking from the questionnaire, it was to be added.

Results

Sample characteristics

A total of 48 patients were enrolled, 17 via their physicians, 20 from patient conferences, 10 via the AA-PNH website, and 1 via another patient (word of mouth). The disease was AA in 21 cases (44%), PNH in 13 (27%), and mixed AA+PNH in 14 (29%). The participants were 23 to 83 years old at the time of the study; 49 years on average (mean) (see Table 1 for more details). The time from first symptom(s) to first doctor visit ranged from few days to 4 years (median 0 weeks, mean 13 weeks). The time from first doctor visit to diagnosis ranged from 1 week to 7 years (median 6 weeks, mean 25 weeks). In five cases, the diagnosis was made accidentally after a routine check-up or other pre-operative routine differential blood counts taken. At the time of diagnosis, the patients were 14 to 77 years old (mean 40 years). As this was a "mixed bag" of patients with some having received IST, some stem cell transplantation, some complement inhibition, and some a sequence of more than one, steroids had been given to most of the patients at some point in time.

Table 1 Sample/patient characteristics (n = 48)

N % Disease AA 21 44% PNH 13 27% AA+PNH 14 29% Treatment Cyclosporin A 34 71% 20 Eculizumab 42% Transfusions 33 68% Steroids 28 57% Stem cell transplantation 8 17% ATG No ATG 25 52% Horse 11 23% Rabbit 6 13% Horse or rabbit 3 6% ATG not further specified 3 6% 19 Sex Male 40% Female 29 60% Age at the time of interview In years (median; mean; 47; 49; 23-83 range) 37: 40: Age at diagnosis In years (median; mean; range) 14-77 Time from first symptoms until first physician contact In months (median; mean; 0; 13; 0 - 208(in months) range) Time from first physician contact to final diagnosis In months (median; mean; 6: 25: range) 1 - 364

ATG anti-thymocyte globulin

Completion of the questionnaire

The time to complete the 69 items ranged from 5 to 20 min (median 10 min, mean 11 min). The compliance criterion (>95% completion) was fulfilled by 57 items (see Table 2 for details).

Relevance and importance

All items had a mean score of > 1.5 and covered the full range, from 1 to 4. In 17 items, more than 50% of the participants indicated that they experienced this problem "quite a bit" or "very much." None of the items had floor or ceiling effects. Twenty-three items were mentioned as especially relevant by $\geq 2\%$ of the patients (Table 2).

Acceptability and easiness

None of the items was rated as upsetting by more than 5% of the patients. However, the following six items were rated as being difficult to understand by at least 5% of the patients:

- Have you been physically restless?
- Did your body feel heavy?
- Did you find it difficult to find a balance between overand underchallenge?



 Table 2
 Item characteristics and decision of expert group

| | | _ | Crit 1 | | Crit 2 | | Crit 3 | | |
|--------|---|------|----------------|------|------------|--------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Item | Wording | Mean | Mean > 1.5 ? | | Scores | Scores | Neither floor nor Range | | Range % |
| number | | | | 3/4 | 3/4 > 50%? | 1/2 | ceiling | | upsetting |
| s01 | Have you felt dizzy? | 1.8 | | 0.23 | 0 | 0.77 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s02 | Have you been physically restless? | 2.0 | | 0.30 | 0 | 0.70 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| 803 | Have you been exhausted? | 2.9 | | 99.0 | 1 | 0.34 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s04 | Did you need to rest? | 2.7 | | 0.57 | 1 | 0.43 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s05 | Were you exhausted for several days after exertion? | 2.1 | | 0.34 | 0 | 99.0 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| 90s | Were you tired? | 2.9 | | 0.64 | 1 | 0.36 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s07 | Did you have difficulties getting out of bed in the morning? | 2.4 | | 0.38 | 0 | 0.62 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| 80s | Did your body feel heavy? | 2.1 | | 0.34 | 0 | 0.62 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| 60s | Were you short of breath? | 2.1 | | 0.36 | 0 | 0.64 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| 810 | Did it bother you that you had to pay attention to small symptoms, in case they could | 2.1 | | 0.30 | 0 | 0.70 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| | indicate something serious? | | | | | | | | |
| s11 | Have you experienced problems with an increased tendency to bleed? | 1.7 | | 0.21 | 0 | 0.79 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s12 | Have you been more susceptible to infection/s? | 1.9 | | 0.23 | 0 | 0.77 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s13 | Have you experienced swelling or inflammation in your mouth? | 1.8 | | 0.26 | 0 | 0.74 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s14 | Did you find it difficult to take a long stroll/walk? | 2.1 | | 0.34 | 0 | 99.0 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s15 | Have you had difficulties climbing stairs? | 2.4 | | 0.43 | 0 | 0.57 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s16 | | 2.5 | | 0.51 | 1 | 0.43 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s17 | Have you had difficulties standing for a longer period of time? | 1.9 | | 0.30 | 0 | 0.70 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s18 | Have you suffered from sleep disturbance? | 2.3 | | 0.36 | 0 | 0.64 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s19 | Have you felt impaired by pain in your daily life? | 1.6 | | 0.17 | 0 | 0.83 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s20 | Have you had problems managing your household tasks? | 1.9 | | 0.23 | 0 | 0.74 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s21 | Did you find it difficult to find a balance between over- and underchallenge? | 2.2 | | 0.36 | 0 | 09.0 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s22 | Was it a burden to you that you had to ration your energy? | 2.3 | | 0.40 | 0 | 09.0 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s23 | Have you lacked the strength for your private life and hobbies? | 2.1 | | 0.32 | 0 | 0.64 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s24 | Were you limited at work or during any other daily activity? | 2.3 | | 0.40 | 0 | 0.57 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s25 | Have you been able to achieve what you wanted to? | 3.1 | | 0.79 | - | 0.19 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s26 | Was it a burden to you to have to abstain from sports? | 1.9 | | 0.21 | 0 | 89.0 | | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s27 | Were you able to go on vacation the way that you wanted to? | 3.1 | | 0.72 | 1 | 0.21 | 1 | | 0.00 |
| s28 | Did it bother you not being able to make plans ahead of the time? | 2.6 | | 0.47 | 0 | 0.45 | 1 | 1 to 4 | 0.00 |
| s29 | Have you been unable to get up the energy to do anything, or have you felt sluggish? | 2.2 | | 0.36 | 0 | 0.62 | 1 | 1 to 4 | 0.00 |
| s30 | Did it bother you that you had to be cautious? | 2.2 | | 0.36 | 0 | 0.62 | | 1 to 4 | 0.00 |
| 531 | Did it bother you that you had to be reasonable? | 2.2 | | 0.36 | 0 | 09.0 | | | 0.00 |
| s32 | Was your normal rhythm of life disturbed? | 2.3 | | 0.38 | 0 | 09.0 | _ | 1 to 4 | 0.00 |
| s33 | Did it bother you not being able to be spontaneous? | 2.3 | | 0.40 | 0 | 0.51 | 1 | 1 to 4 | 0.00 |
| 534 | Did it bother you that you could not act flexibly? | 2.6 | | 0.47 | 0 | 0.38 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s35 | Have you had difficulties concentrating? | 2.3 | | 0.36 | 0 | 0.62 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s36 | Did you feel irritable? | 2.2 | | 0.32 | 0 | 99.0 | _ | 1 to 4 1 | 0.02 |
| 837 | Did you always have to take care not to catch any infections? | 2.4 | | 0.40 | 0 | 0.55 | | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| s38 | Did you try to avoid things that could cause any infections? | 2.3 | | 0.38 | 0 | 0.57 | 1 | 1 to 4 | 0.00 |
| s39 | Did you feel distressed because of limited life perspective? | 2.5 | | 0.47 | 0 | 0.51 | 1 | 1 to 4 | 0.05 |
| s40 | Have you felt burdened by thoughts about an uncertain future? | 2.6 | | 0.47 | 0 | 0.47 | _ | 1 to 4 | 0.05 |
| S4I | Have you been "out of order" due to the illness? | 2.4 | | 0.45 | 0 | 0.53 | 1 | 1 to 4 | 0.00 |
| s42 | Has everything revolved around your illness? | 2.2 | | 0.34 | 0 | 0.62 | _ | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | |



| | 1 to 4 1 |
|-------------|--|
| | 0.47 |
| | 0.51 1 |
| | 2.4 1 |
| (continued) | Did you have the feeling you were missing out on life? |
| Table 2 | s43 |
| <u>)</u> s | prii |

| | () | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-------------------------|---|--|---|----------------|------------|--------|-------|--|-----------|-------------|----------|-------|-----|
| s43 | Did von have | the feeling v | on were miss | Did you have the feeling you were missing out on life? | ٥ | | 2.4 | _ | 0.51 | _ | 0.47 | _ | 1 to 4 | 000 | 9 |
| 844 | Have you felt you were not moving forward? | ou axere no | of moving for | ward? | | | . c | | 0.45 | ٠ | 0.53 | ٠ ـ | | 000 | 2 9 |
| 545 | Has emnathy | of friends an | Has empathy of friends and family helped you? | nara: ed von? | | | 2,5 | | 0.60 | > - | 0.50 | · - | 1 40 4 | 00.0 | 2 9 |
| 545 846 | Has support of friends and family helped you? | of friends and | ' family helne | d von? | | | ; c | · - | 0.00 | · · | 0.51 | · - | 1 10 4 1 | 00.0 | 2 9 |
| 77/2 | Hove you end | Fored because | a maining incipe | a you: pmont has had | tres support of internes and raining neighbor you: Have von enffæred because vour environment has been burdened by vour illness? | Pased II. Turo | 1 0 | | 0.51 | o - | 0.77 | - T | 1 1 1 1 | 00.0 | 2 9 |
| 1 0 7 | 11ave you sur. | icicu, occaus | e your cuviic | 7 1 1.4 | ii buideiled by y | your miness: | ì c | ٠. | 10.0 | ٦ - |) t | ٠. | 1 1 7 | | 2 9 |
| 848 10 | Did it bother | you to consta | antly be confi | Did it bother you to constantly be confronted with your illness? | ır iliness? | | 6.7 | _ , | 0.04 | _ < | 0.34 | _ , | 1 to 4 | 0.00 | 2 9 |
| 849 | Were you pro | ud of what y | on achieved o | Were you proud of what you achieved despite the illness? | SSS.; | | 2.4 | _ | 0.49 | 0 | 0.51 | _ | I to 4 | 0.00 | 2 |
| s50 | Did it bother | you not being | g treated in da | Did it bother you not being treated in daily life as everybody else? | ybody else? | | 1.9 | _ | 0.13 | 0 | 0.70 | 1 | 1 to 4 | 0.00 | 0 |
| s51 | Did it burden you to be labelled "sick"? | you to be lal | belled "sick"? | • | | | 2.3 | 1 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.62 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 | 0 |
| s52 | Has it frustrate | ed you that yo | ou have had to | o justify yourse | Has it frustrated you that you have had to justify yourself as to why, for example, you were | example, you | u were 2.3 | 1 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.51 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | unable to d | unable to do something? | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| s53 | Have you feared a deterioration in your blood result | red a deterior | ration in your | blood results? | | | 2.8 | 1 | 0.62 | 1 | 0.38 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 | 0 |
| s54 | Have you felt burdened by your blood results? | burdened by | your blood 1 | | | | 2.5 | 1 | 0.51 | 1 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 | 0 |
| s55 | Have you been afraid that treatments might fail? | 'n afraid that | treatments m | ight fail? | | | 2.5 | 1 | 0.51 | 1 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 958 | Have you been afraid there would be no treatments | in afraid there | e would be no | o treatments an | anv more for you? | ۲. | 2.4 | _ | 0.43 | 0 | 0.55 | | 1 to 4 | 0.00 | 9 |
| 557 | Have you feared a stem cell transplantation? | red a stem ce | Il transplantat | tion? | | | 2.5 | . — | 0.43 | 0 | 0.45 | . – | 1 to 4 | 0.02 | 2 |
| 858 | Have you been afraid of relanse or deterioration? | an afraid of re | lanse or deter | rioration? | | | 28 | - | 090 | - | 0.38 | - | 1 to 4 | 00.0 | 9 |
| s59 | Did vou feel vulnerable? | vulnerable? | 1 | | | | 2.4 | - | 0.45 | 0 | 0.55 | | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 09s | Have visible s | signs of vour | illness (e.g. r | paleness, bruise | Have visible signs of vour illness (e.g. paleness, bruises, dark urine, vellow skin colour) | ellow skin cc | lour) 2.2 | _ | 0.40 | 0 | 0.57 | | 1 to 4 | 00.00 | 0 |
| , | constantly 1 | reminded you | constantly reminded you of your illness? | ess? | , | | | , | : | , | | | | | , |
| 861 | Have vou felt | at the mercy | Have you felt at the mercy of your illness? | .88 <i>?</i> | | | 2.4 | _ | 0.40 | 0 | 0.55 | | 1 to 4 | 00.00 | 0 |
| <i>29</i> s | Did it hother | von having to | o deal with th | Did it bother you having to deal with the illness lifelong? | 99 | | 96 | - | 0.47 | | 0.51 | - | | 0.00 | 2 |
| 202 | Uovio vion vion | you maying u | o acar with the | | .e. | | 0,10 | | (+,0 | - c | 0.00 | | 1 5 4 | 70.0 | 1 5 |
| 202 | Have you worned a lot? | rrieu a 101. | | | | | 0.7 | | 0.02 | - 0 | 0.00 | | 1 10 4 | 0.0 | 2 9 |
| s64 | Have you telt depressed? | depressed? | , | | | | 2.4 | _ , | 0.45 | 0 (| 0.55 | | I to 4 | 0.00 | 2 9 |
| se5 | Did you feel l | less attractive | Did you feel less attractive because of your illness? | our illness? | | | 2.0 | 1 | 0.32 | 0 | 99.0 | 1 | 1 to 4 | 0.00 | 9 |
| 99s | Did you feel c | comfortable i | Did you feel comfortable in your own body? | ody? | | | 2.9 | _ | 0.70 | _ | 0.28 | | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 298 | Did you feel lighthearted? | lighthearted? | | | | | 3.4 | - | 0.83 | 1 | 0.13 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 89s | Did it help yo | u when you | Did it help you when you talked to other patients? | er patients? | | | 2.5 | _ | 0.38 | 0 | 0.30 | 1 | 1 to 4 1 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 69s | For men: did | you have prc | For men: did you have problems with virility? | rirility? | | | 2.2 | _ | 0.15 | 0 | 0.28 | | 1 to 4 1 | 0.0 | 2 |
| Total | | | | | | | | 69 | | 17 | | 69 | 69 | 0 | |
| | | | | | : :: :: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CIII | | CIII 0 | | CIII. | | CIII 8 | crit 8 | | | | | | | |
| Item | <5% | % | < 5% | Compliance | > 95% | % | > 2% | | Group | Group decision | | | | | |
| number | upsetting | difficult | difficult | | complete? | preferred | preferred | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Delet | Delete, because symptom is rare | tom is ra | re | | | |
| s02 | 1 | 0.05 | 0 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Delet | Delete, because symptom is rare and some | tom is ra | re and some | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | pai | patients did not understand it | derstand | it | | | |
| s03 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | | Delet | Delete, too similar to s06 | 90s | | | | |
| s04 | 1 | 0.00 | | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep | | | | | | |
| s05 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep | | | | | | |
| 90s | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep | | | | | | |
| 203 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | | Keep | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



 Table 2 (continued)

| Table 7 | (commuca) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|------|---|------|---|------|---|---|--|
| 80s | 1 | 0.07 | 0 | 96.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 60s | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s10 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s11 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s12 | 1 | 0.00 | _ | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s13 | 1 | 0.00 | - | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Change: have you experienced problems with swelling or inflammation in your mouth? |
| s14 | 1 | 0.00 | - | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s15 | 1 | 0.00 | _ | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 918 | 1 | 0.00 | _ | 0.94 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Delete, because applicable only to few patients, better in s26 |
| s17 | 1 | 0.00 | _ | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 818 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s19 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s20 | 1 | 0.00 | _ | 0.98 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 128 | 1 | 0.07 | 0 | 96.0 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Delete, difficult to understand and the issue is covered in other items |
| s22 | 1 | 0.00 | _ | 1.00 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| s23 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 96.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s24 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.98 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s25 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.98 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| s26 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.89 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s27 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.94 | 0 | 0.05 | 1 | 1 | Change of time frame (0.5 year) |
| s28 | 1 | 0.00 | - | 0.91 | 0 | 0.05 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| s29 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 830 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| s3I | 1 | 0.07 | 0 | 96.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Delete, too similar to s30 |
| s32 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| 833 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 0.91 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 834 | 1 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.85 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | Delete, difficult to understand and too similar to s33 |
| 835 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s36 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| s37 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 96.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 858 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 96.0 | 1 | 0.05 | 1 | 1 | Delete, better in s37 |
| 6£s | 1 | 0.00 | - | 86.0 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Delete, better in s40 |
| 840 | 1 | 0.02 | - | 0.94 | 0 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| 841 | 1 | 0.00 | - | 86.0 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Delete, not sensitive to change and better covered by other items |
| 842 | 1 | 0.00 | - | 96.0 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| 843 | 1 | 0.00 | | 86.0 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| 844 | 1 | 0.02 | - | 0.98 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Delete, because not clear enough |
| | | | | | | | | | |



| tinued) |
|----------|
| e 2 (con |
| Tabl |

| Table 7 | Table 2 (continued) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------------|------|----|------|----|------|----|----|---|
| 545 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Delete, too similar to s46 |
| s46 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Change: did you feel supported by friends and family? |
| s47 | - | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 848 | | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | - | Keep |
| 849 | | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | - | Keep |
| 058 | | 0.07 | 0 | 0.83 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | Delete, because relevance differs per patient |
| s51 | | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| s52 | | 0.00 | 1 | 0.89 | 0 | 0.02 | _ | 1 | Keep |
| s53 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | Keep |
| s54 | | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.02 | _ | 1 | Keep |
| s55 | _ | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.02 | _ | | Keep |
| s56 | - | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.02 | - | _ | Change: have you been concerned that there would be no more viable treatment for you? |
| 557 | | 0.00 | 1 | 0.87 | 0 | 0.02 | _ | | Delete, too many missing; applicable only to few patients |
| 858 | | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.05 | _ | 1 | Keep |
| 65s | | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | | Keep |
| 098 | _ | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | | Keep |
| s61 | | 0.00 | 1 | 96.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| s62 | | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Delete, too similar to other items |
| se3 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 864 | 1 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| se5 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 99s | | 0.00 | 1 | 86.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Keep |
| 298 | 1 | 0.02 | 1 | 96.0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Delete, better in s63 |
| 89s | _ | 0.00 | - | 89.0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Change: did you miss interaction with other patients? (time frame: 0.5 year) |
| 69s | - | 0.02 | | 0.43 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1 | Change: have you had less interest in sexuality? Could you enjoy sexuality less? |
| Total | 69 | | 63 | | 57 | | 23 | 29 | |

Items that were changed or deleted are italicized. Items s25, s27, s45, s46, s49, s66, s67, and s68 were recoded. As described within the "Patients and methods," a priori criteria when to keep an item in the questionnaire were defined (called "crit 1-8" within the table. An item should be kept if at least five of the following criteria 1 to 7 or criterion 8 is fulfilled:

- 1. Relevance: mean > 1.5 (of a Likert response scale 1 to 4)
- 2. Relevance: > 50% of the participants score 3 ("quite a bit") or 4 ("very much")
- 3. No floor nor ceiling effects: > 10% of the patients score 1 or 2; > 10% score 3 or 4
- 4. Range: the responses range from 1 to 4
- 5. Acceptability: < 5% find the item upsetting
- 6. Easiness: < 5% find the item difficult to understand
- 7. Compliance: > 95% complete the item
- 8. Priority: $\geq 2\%$ mention the item as especially relevant



- Did it bother you that you had to be reasonable?
- Did it bother you that you could not act flexibly?
- Did it bother you not being treated in daily life as everybody else?

Internal consistency

Cronbach's alpha of the hypothesised scales ranged from 0.63 (social support) to 0.92 (fear of progression and illness intrusiveness). Other scales were fatigue (alpha = 0.88), infections (0.79), other symptoms (0.75), physical functioning (0.89), role functioning (0.82), emotional functioning (0.81), stigmatisation (0.78), and body image (0.82).

Decision on items

Of all items, 67 fulfilled either criteria 1 to 7 or criterion 8. However, as a questionnaire with 67 items is relatively long; the group of experts went through all the items and identified similar items, where one of them could be deleted without reducing the comprehensiveness of the questionnaire. They also discussed changes of wording if needed. As a result, 47 items were kept; 16 were deleted, and 5 were changed, while 1 item expanded. This resulted in 54 items in total. The reasons for each change or deletion are documented in Table 2.

Missing issues

No issues were mentioned as lacking by at least five patients. Hence, no items were added to the questionnaire.

Discussion

The absence of a disease-specific QoL tool for patients with the ultra-rare diseases AA and/or PNH led to the development of an AA/PNH-specific QoL (QLQ-AA/PNH) instrument according to EORTC-guidelines [32]. Phases I and II of the process proved that despite the rareness of the diseases and the accompanying sparseness of patients, development of such a tool is feasible and both highly appreciated and actively supported by patients and patient advocacy groups [33]. It also showed that the EORTCdevelopment guidelines cannot be followed in detail according to EORTC standard specification as e.g. large numbers of patients cannot be found within one hospital, not even within a treatment centre and gatherings of large groups of patients within one country are non-existent except for occasional rare patient conventions. We nonetheless succeeded to include 49 patients within the first two phases and were able to recruit another 48 patients for the phase III reported herein. Hence, a total of almost 100 patients with AA and/or PNH were recruited. As the main aim of this phase was to identify and solve potential problems in the administration of the questionnaire in order to come up with a concise, complete, and comprehensible QoL tool, patients had to be interviewed after working with the preliminary questionnaire. This turned out to be feasible though time-consuming for the first 18 patients but seemed unachievable later on when 30 more patients appeared within a short period of time from different areas all over Germany. Thus, we changed our study protocol after consultation with members of the EORTC Quality of Life Group (SS) and performed the last 30 interviews via telephone. Accrual of patients through patient advocacy groups and presentation of projects such as this at patient conferences, seems to be a potential blueprint for further studies of OoL within patient groups with ultra-rare diseases. Within other ultra-rare disease forms, QoL studies also used patient advocacy groups, international specialist, and the internet as a contact network in order to recruit relevant patient numbers [34, 35].

Our provisional questionnaire consisted of 69 items. The median time to complete this questionnaire was 10 min which seems to be a reasonable time for patients to fill out a QoL tool as it takes about 11 min to fill out the EORTC QLQ-C30 [36]. However, in order to achieve a questionnaire as fast as possible without compromising its comprehensiveness, this phase III study was performed and items difficult to understand or upsetting for the patients were removed. Moreover, we removed all items that seemed redundant. This resulted in a questionnaire with 54 items. As for patients with PNH until now, the standard assessment within clinical trials was to use the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30) [2] in addition to the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy Fatigue Instrument (FACIT-Fatigue) [24, 25], which together sum up 46 items; thus, our QoL tool does not add a substantial number of items altogether. The psychometric properties of our new tool will be investigated in the final phase IV of this development process. However, we already explored the internal consistency of the hypothesised scales and found the results to be very good.

After completion of this phase III, the AA/PNH-QoL (QLQ-AA/PNH) tool was translated according to EORTC guidelines into English, French, and Italian [37]. The English version is depicted in Table 3. After completion of the contend validation within phase III, the fourth development phase will be performed for psychometric validation. However, validation usually relies on some form of intervention [2, 32, 36]. For patients with PNH and/or AA, interventional trials are rare. However, for PNH, currently, the number of clinical trials is increasing since new anticomplement strategies where developed and have just recently entered clinical trials [38, 39]. We therefore chose a multi-pronged procedure in that we included the herein presented version of the QLQ-AA/PNH within the EMAA study (efficacy and safety of eltrombopag + CSA in patients with moderate aplastic anaemia; ClinicalTrials. gov identifier: NCT02773225) and added the AA/PNH-



1556 Ann Hematol (2019) 98:1547–1559

Table 3 Provisional AA/PNH questionnaire.

Questionnaire on the quality of life of patients with aplastic anaemia and/ or paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria. We would like to find out how you have been feeling recently. Please try to answer each of the questions by placing *one mark* in one of the four fields. Please bear in mind that there are no "right" or "wrong" answers. Most of the questions refer to the last 14 days, the last two questions refer to the last 6 months of the past year

Not at A Quit a Very all little bit much

During the last 14 days...

- 1. Were you tired?
- 2. Did you need to rest?
- 3. Were you exhausted for several days after exertion?
- 4. Did you have difficulties getting out of bed in the morning?
- 5. Did your body feel heavy?
- Did it bother you that you had to pay attention to small symptoms, in case they could indicate something serious?
- 7. Were you short of breath?
- 8. Have you experienced problems with an increased tendency to bleed?
- 9. Have you been more susceptible to infection/s?
- 10. Have you experienced problems with swelling or inflammation in your mouth?
- 11. Have you suffered from sleep disturbance?
- 12. Have you felt impaired by pain in your daily life?
- 13. Have you had difficulties standing for a longer period of time?
- 14. Did you find it difficult to take a long stroll/walk?
- 15. Have you had difficulties climbing stairs?
- 16. Were you limited at work or during any other daily activity?
- 17. Have you had problems managing your household tasks?
- 18. Was it a burden to you that you had to ration your energy?
- 19. Have you lacked the strength for your private life and hobbies?
- 20. Was your normal rhythm of life disturbed?
- 21. Have you been unable to get up the energy to do anything, or have you felt sluggish?
- 22. Was it a burden to you to have to abstain from sports?
- 23. Did it bother you not being able to make plans ahead of the time?
- 24. Did it bother you not being able to be spontaneous?
- 25. Did it bother you that you had to be cautious?
- 26. Did you always have to take care not to catch any infections?
- 27. Have you had difficulties concentrating?
- 28. Did you feel irritable?
- 29. Has everything revolved around your illness?
- 30. Did it bother you to constantly be confronted with your illness?
- 31. Did you have the feeling you were missing out on life?
- 32. Did it burden you to be labelled "sick"?
- 33. Have you felt burdened by thoughts about an uncertain future?
- 34. Have you suffered, because your environment has been burdened by your illness?
- 35. Has it frustrated you that you have had to justify yourself as to why, for example, you were unable to do something?
- 36. Have you feared a deterioration in your blood results?
- 37. Have you felt burdened by your blood results?
- 38. Have you been afraid that treatments might fail?
- 39. Have you been concerned that there would be no more viable treatment for you?
- 40. Have you been afraid of relapse or deterioration?
- 41. Have visible signs of your illness (e.g. paleness, bruises, dark urine, yellow skin colour) constantly reminded you of your illness?
- 42. Did you feel vulnerable?
- 43. Have you felt at the mercy of your illness?



Ann Hematol (2019) 98:1547–1559 1557

Table 3 (continued)

Not at A Quit a Very all little bit much

- 44. Have you worried a lot?
- 45. Have you felt depressed?
- 46. Did you feel less attractive because of your illness?
- 47. Have you had less interest in sexuality?
- 48. Could you enjoy sexuality less?
- 49. Did you feel comfortable in your own body?
- 50. Have you been able to achieve what you wanted to?
- 51. Were you proud of what you achieved despite the illness?
- 52. Did you feel supported by friends and family?

During the last 6 months...

- 53. Were you able to go on vacation the way that you wanted to?
- 54. Did you miss interaction with other patients?

Do you have further comments/remarks?

QoL tool to the smorgasbord of questionnaires, which are used within the PNH registry. This is an international registry established following a post-marketing commitment of the eculizumab manufacturer Alexion Pharmaceuticals Inc., requested by both the EMA (European Medicines Agency) and the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) prospectively documenting patients with PNH and PNH/AA overlap [26].

While the scoring algorithm at this point is preliminary and the QLQ-AA/PNH might change slightly after phase IV, it can be used in trials and clinical studies from now on, according to EORTC strategy. This is important, as there are no other disease-specific instruments available for AA/ PNH patients right now. The only study, published just recently, is the one by Townsley et al. [40], in which eltrombopag in addition to standard IST was evaluated in AA patients. In there, patient-reported outcomes were measured with various tools such as the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) global physical health (GPH) and global mental health (GMH), functional assessment PROMIS sleep disturbance (Sleep) and applied cognitive abilities (Cog) in addition to other measures such as the cancer therapy-neutropenia 2 (FACT-N) [40–42]. This underscores the importance of our approach, as even in this study, various tools had to be used in order to better approach the patients' quality of life.

As pointed out above, outcomes in studies with AA patients are almost universally not patient-reported and therefore should not be called QoL measurements while tools used for studies and the PNH registry are those which have been developed for cancer patients and have been shown not to cover QoL matters and issues of patients with a non-malignant ultra-rare haematologic disease [33].

Acknowledgments We especially thank both Ulrike Scharbau and Michael Kaiser of the Aplastische Anämie e.V. as two of the main drivers of the project.

We are extremely grateful to all the patients and physicians who took part in our study for their generous time and willingness to participate.

Funding This work was supported by a grant from the registered patient-advocacy group "Aplastische Anämie e.V." (www.aplastische-anamie. de), a patient-advocacy group and part of the German Leukaemia- and Lymphoma Support Group (DLH).

Compliance with ethical standards The data protection official of the University Hospital RWTH Aachen and the Institutional Review Board of the Medical Faculty RWTH Aachen University approved the study protocol.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

 Cherny NI, Sullivan R, Dafni U, Kerst JM, Sobrero A, Zielinski C, de Vries EG, Piccart MJ (2015) A standardised, generic, validated approach to stratify the magnitude of clinical benefit that can be



1558 Ann Hematol (2019) 98:1547–1559

anticipated from anti-cancer therapies: the European Society for Medical Oncology Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale (ESMO-MCBS). Ann Oncol 26(8):1547–1573. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdv249

- Fayers P, Bottomley A, Group EQoL, Quality of Life U (2002) Quality of life research within the EORTC-the EORTC QLQ-C30.. European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. Eur J Cancer 38(Suppl 4):S125–S133
- Quinten C, Coens C, Ghislain I, Zikos E, Sprangers MA, Ringash J, Martinelli F, Ediebah DE, Maringwa J, Reeve BB, Greimel E, King MT, Bjordal K, Flechtner HH, Schmucker-Von Koch J, Taphoom MJ, Weis J, Wildiers H, Velikova G, Bottomley A, Probe, Groups EC (2015) The effects of age on health-related quality of life in cancer populations: a pooled analysis of randomized controlled trials using the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QLQ-C30 involving 6024 cancer patients. Eur J Cancer 51(18):2808–2819. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ejca.2015.08.027
- Singer S, Arraras JI, Baumann I, Boehm A, Chie WC, Galalae R, Langendijk JA, Guntinas-Lichius O, Hammerlid E, Pinto M, Nicolatou-Galitis O, Schmalz C, Sen M, Sherman AC, Spiegel K, Verdonck-de Leeuw I, Yarom N, Zotti P, Hofmeister D, Group EQoL, Head E, Neck Cancer G (2013) Quality of life in patients with head and neck cancer receiving targeted or multimodal therapy—update of the EORTC QLQ-H&N35, phase I. Head Neck 35(9):1331–1338. https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.23127
- Bjordal K, Bottomley A (2017) Making advances in quality of life studies in head and neck cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 97(4): 659–661. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.11.051
- Alegre A, Oriol-Rocafiguera A, Garcia-Larana J, Mateos MV, Sureda A, Martinez-Chamorro C, Cibeira MT, Aguado B, Knight R, Rosettani B (2012) Efficacy, safety and quality-of-life associated with lenalidomide plus dexamethasone for the treatment of relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma: the Spanish experience. Leuk Lymphoma 53(9):1714–1721. https://doi.org/10.3109/10428194. 2012.662643
- Bredart A, Beaudeau A, Young T, Moura De Alberquerque Melo H, Arraras JI, Friend L, Schmidt H, Tomaszewski KA, Bergenmar M, Anota A, Costantini A, Marchal F, Tomaszewska IM, Vassiliou V, Chie WC, Hureaux J, Conroy T, Ramage J, Bonnetain F, Kulis D, Aaronson NK, Group EQoL (2017) The European organization for research and treatment of cancer - satisfaction with cancer care questionnaire: revision and extended application development. Psycho-oncology 26(3):400–404. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon. 4127
- Ganesh V, Agarwal A, Popovic M, Cella D, McDonald R, Vuong S, Lam H, Rowbottom L, Chan S, Barakat T, DeAngelis C, Borean M, Chow E, Bottomley A (2016) Comparison of the FACT-C, EORTC QLQ-CR38, and QLQ-CR29 quality of life questionnaires for patients with colorectal cancer: a literature review. Support Care Cancer 24(8):3661–3668. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-016-3270-7
- Efficace F, Baccarani M, Breccia M, Saussele S, Abel G, Caocci G, Guilhot F, Cocks K, Naeem A, Sprangers M, Oerlemans S, Chie W, Castagnetti F, Bombaci F, Sharf G, Cardoni A, Noens L, Pallua S, Salvucci M, Nicolatou-Galitis O, Rosti G, Mandelli F (2014) International development of an EORTC questionnaire for assessing health-related quality of life in chronic myeloid leukemia patients: the EORTC QLQ-CML24. Qual Life Res 23(3):825–836. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-013-0523-5
- List of rare diseases and synonyms listed in alphabetical order (2017) Orphanet Report Series, Rare Diseases collection
- Griffiths J, Willard C, Burgess A, Amir Z, Luker K (2007) Meeting the ongoing needs of survivors of rarer cancer. Eur J Oncol Nurs 11(5):434–441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2007.09.004

- Gulbis B, Eleftheriou A, Angastiniotis M, Ball S, Surralles J, Castella M, Heimpel H, Hill A, Corrons JL (2010) Epidemiology of rare anaemias in Europe. Adv Exp Med Biol 686:375–396. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9485-8 22
- Passweg JR, Marsh JC (2010) Aplastic anemia: first-line treatment by immunosuppression and sibling marrow transplantation. Hematology 2010:36–42. https://doi.org/10.1182/asheducation-2010.1.36
- Young NS (2002) Acquired aplastic anemia. Ann Intern Med 136(7):534–546
- Parker CJ (2007) The pathophysiology of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. Exp Hematol 35(4):523–533. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.exphem.2007.01.046
- Desmond R, Townsley DM, Dunbar C, Young NS (2015) Eltrombopag in aplastic anemia. Semin Hematol 52(1):31–37. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.seminhematol.2014.10.002
- Marsh JC, Kulasekararaj AG (2013) Management of the refractory aplastic anemia patient: what are the options? Blood 122(22):3561– 3567. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-05-498279
- Scheinberg P, Young NS (2012) How I treat acquired aplastic anemia. Blood 120(6):1185–1196. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-12-274019
- Hillmen P, Lewis SM, Bessler M, Luzzatto L, Dacie JV (1995) Natural history of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. N Engl J Med 333(19):1253–1258. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJM199511093331904
- Socie G, Mary JY, de Gramont A, Rio B, Leporrier M, Rose C, Heudier P, Rochant H, Cahn JY, Gluckman E (1996) Paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria: long-term follow-up and prognostic factors. French Society of Haematology. Lancet 348(9027):573– 577
- Moyo VM, Mukhina GL, Garrett ES, Brodsky RA (2004) Natural history of paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria using modern diagnostic assays. Br J Haematol 126(1):133–138. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2004.04992.x
- Nishimura J, Kanakura Y, Ware RE, Shichishima T, Nakakuma H, Ninomiya H, Decastro CM, Hall S, Kanamaru A, Sullivan KM, Mizoguchi H, Omine M, Kinoshita T, Rosse WF (2004) Clinical course and flow cytometric analysis of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria in the United States and Japan. Medicine (Baltimore) 83(3):193–207
- Hillmen P, Muus P, Roth A, Elebute MO, Risitano AM, Schrezenmeier H, Szer J, Browne P, Maciejewski JP, Schubert J, Urbano-Ispizua A, de Castro C, Socie G, Brodsky RA (2013) Long-term safety and efficacy of sustained eculizumab treatment in patients with paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria. Br J Haematol 162(1):62–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.12347
- Hillmen P, Young NS, Schubert J, Brodsky RA, Socie G, Muus P, Roth A, Szer J, Elebute MO, Nakamura R, Browne P, Risitano AM, Hill A, Schrezenmeier H, Fu CL, Maciejewski J, Rollins SA, Mojcik CF, Rother RP, Luzzatto L (2006) The complement inhibitor eculizumab in paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. N Engl J Med 355(12):1233–1243. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa061648
- Brodsky RA, Young NS, Antonioli E, Risitano AM, Schrezenmeier H, Schubert J, Gaya A, Coyle L, de Castro C, Fu CL, Maciejewski JP, Bessler M, Kroon HA, Rother RP, Hillmen P (2008) Multicenter phase 3 study of the complement inhibitor eculizumab for the treatment of patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. Blood 111(4):1840–1847. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-06-094136
- Schrezenmeier H, Muus P, Socie G, Szer J, Urbano-Ispizua A, Maciejewski JP, Brodsky RA, Bessler M, Kanakura Y, Rosse W, Khursigara G, Bedrosian C, Hillmen P (2014) Baseline characteristics and disease burden in patients in the International Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria Registry. Haematologica 99(5):922– 929. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2013.093161



Ann Hematol (2019) 98:1547-1559

- Curbow B, Somerfield MR, Baker F, Wingard JR, Legro MW (1993) Personal changes, dispositional optimism, and psychological adjustment to bone marrow transplantation. J Behav Med 16(5): 423–443
- Somerfield MR, Curbow B, Wingard JR, Baker F, Fogarty LA (1996) Coping with the physical and psychosocial sequelae of bone marrow transplantation among long-term survivors. J Behav Med 19(2):163–184
- Eapen M, Ramsay NK, Mertens AC, Robison LL, DeFor T, Davies SM (2000) Late outcomes after bone marrow transplant for aplastic anaemia. Br J Haematol 111(3):754–760
- de Planque MM, Bacigalupo A, Wursch A, Hows JM, Devergie A, Frickhofen N, Brand A, Nissen C (1989) Long-term follow-up of severe aplastic anaemia patients treated with antithymocyte globulin. Severe Aplastic Anaemia Working Party of the European Cooperative Group for Bone Marrow Transplantation (EBMT). Br J Haematol 73(1):121–126
- Najean Y, Haguenauer O (1990) Long-term (5 to 20 years) evolution of nongrafted aplastic anemias. The Cooperative Group for the Study of Aplastic and Refractory Anemias. Blood 76(11):2222– 2228
- Johnson C, Aaronson N, Blazeby J, Bottomley A, Fayers P, Koller M, Kuliś D, Ramage J, Sprangers M, Velikova G, Young T (2011) Guidelines for developing questionnaire modules EORTC Quality of Life Department, Ave E Mounier 83, B.11, 1200 Brussels, BELGIUM, Tel: +32 (0)2 774 1678
- Groth M, Singer S, Niedeggen C, Petermann-Meyer A, Roth A, Schrezenmeier H, Hochsmann B, Brummendorf TH, Panse J (2017) Development of a disease-specific quality of life questionnaire for patients with aplastic anemia and/or paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (QLQ-AA/PNH)-report on phases I and II. Ann Hematol 96(2):171–181. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00277-016-2867-8
- Hendriksz CJ, Lavery C, Coker M, Ucar SK, Jain M, Bell L, Lampe C (2014) Burden of disease in patients with Morquio A syndrome: results from an international patient-reported outcomes survey. Orphanet J Rare Dis 9:32. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-9-32
- Van Groenendael S, Giacovazzi L, Davison F, Holtkemper O, Huang Z, Wang Q, Parkinson K, Barrett T, Geberhiwot T (2015) High quality, patient centred and coordinated care for Alstrom syndrome: a model of care for an ultra-rare disease. Orphanet J Rare Dis 10:149. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-015-0366-y

- Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, Bullinger M, Cull A, Duez NJ, Filiberti A, Flechtner H, Fleishman SB, de Haes JC et al (1993) The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst 85(5):365–376
- Koller M, Aaronson NK, Blazeby J, Bottomley A, Dewolf L, Fayers P, Johnson C, Ramage J, Scott N, West K, Group EQoL (2007) Translation procedures for standardised quality of life questionnaires: the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) approach. Eur J Cancer 43(12):1810–1820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2007.05.029
- Morgan BP, Harris CL (2015) Complement, a target for therapy in inflammatory and degenerative diseases. Nat Rev Drug Discov 14(12):857–877. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4657
- Risitano AM, Marotta S (2016) Therapeutic complement inhibition in complement-mediated hemolytic anemias: past, present and future. Semin Immunol 28(3):223–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. smim.2016.05.001
- Townsley DM, Scheinberg P, Winkler T, Desmond R, Dumitriu B, Rios O, Weinstein B, Valdez J, Lotter J, Feng X, Desierto M, Leuva H, Bevans M, Wu C, Larochelle A, Calvo KR, Dunbar CE, Young NS (2017) Eltrombopag added to standard immunosuppression for aplastic anemia. N Engl J Med 376(16):1540–1550. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa1613878
- Bevans M, Ross A, Cella D (2014) Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS): efficient, standardized tools to measure self-reported health and quality of life. Nurs Outlook 62(5):339–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2014.05. 009
- Wagner LI, Beaumont JL, Ding B, Malin J, Peterman A, Calhoun E, Cella D (2008) Measuring health-related quality of life and neutropenia-specific concerns among older adults undergoing chemotherapy: validation of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Neutropenia (FACT-N). Support Care Cancer 16(1):47–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-007-0270-7

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

