

CERN-EP-2023-122
2023/10/13

CMS-EXO-22-016

Search for a high-mass dimuon resonance produced in association with b quark jets at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

A search for high-mass dimuon resonance production in association with one or more b quark jets is presented. The study uses proton-proton collision data collected with the CMS detector at the LHC corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. Model-independent limits are derived on the number of signal events with exactly one or more than one b quark jet. Results are also interpreted in a lepton-flavor-universal model with Z' boson couplings to a bb quark pair (g_b), an sb quark pair ($g_b \delta_{bs}$), and any same-flavor charged lepton (g_ℓ) or neutrino pair (g_ν), with $|g_\nu| = |g_\ell|$. For a Z' boson with a mass $m_{Z'} = 350 \text{ GeV}$ (2 TeV) and $|\delta_{bs}| < 0.25$, the majority of the parameter space with $0.0057 < |g_\ell| < 0.35$ ($0.25 < |g_\ell| < 0.43$) and $0.0079 < |g_b| < 0.46$ ($0.34 < |g_b| < 0.57$) is excluded at 95% confidence level. Finally, constraints are set on a Z' model with parameters consistent with low-energy $b \rightarrow s \ell \ell$ measurements. In this scenario, most of the allowed parameter space is excluded for a Z' boson with $350 < m_{Z'} < 500 \text{ GeV}$, while the constraints are less stringent for higher $m_{Z'}$ hypotheses. This is the first dedicated search at the LHC for a high-mass dimuon resonance produced in association with multiple b quark jets, and the constraints obtained on models with this signature are the most stringent to date.

Published in the Journal of High Energy Physics as doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2023)043.

1 Introduction

Over the last few years, a number of experimental results [1–13] have suggested that physics beyond the standard model (SM) could manifest itself in $b \rightarrow s \ell^- \ell^+$ transitions. Experimental results for this process could be explained by the existence of a new neutral vector boson (Z') coupling to lepton (ℓ) pairs [14, 15] with a mass near the TeV scale. In such a scenario, the new Z' boson would couple to b and s quarks, as represented by the Feynman diagrams in Fig. 1. While the most recent experimental results [16, 17] on the ratio of the branching fractions for $b \rightarrow s \mu^- \mu^+$ to $b \rightarrow s e^- e^+$ are in agreement with the SM, there remains some tension in other $b \rightarrow s \ell^- \ell^+$ observables, such as the overall event rates [2, 3, 13] and angular distributions [1, 4, 5, 7–9], although theoretical predictions for these are less well established than those for the ratio of branching fractions. The current situation was recently discussed in Ref. [18].




Figure 1: Feynman diagrams of $Z' \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+$ with a Z' boson produced via $b\bar{b} \rightarrow Z'$ or $s\bar{b} \rightarrow Z'$, with at least one b quark in the final state. While a $Z'bb$ coupling may be present in any generic model, a $Z'sb$ coupling could arise through flavor mixing between the second- and third-generation quarks.

Inclusive searches for beyond-the-SM (BSM) Z' bosons have already been performed at the CERN LHC [19, 20]. However, they are limited by a large Drell–Yan (DY) background and might not be sensitive to scenarios in which the Z' boson couples preferentially to second- or third-generation quarks. Therefore, the goal of this analysis is to search for a $Z' \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+$ resonance with an explicit requirement on the presence of b quark jets, which strongly disfavors DY events.

The relevant interactions can be described through a lepton-flavor-universal (LFU) model with a Lagrangian simplified from the one in Ref. [21],

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BSM}} = Z'_\eta \left\{ g_\ell \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \bar{\ell} \gamma^\eta P_L \ell + g_\nu \sum_{\nu=\nu_e,\nu_\mu,\nu_\tau} \bar{\nu} \gamma^\eta P_L \nu + g_b \left[\bar{b} \gamma^\eta P_L b + \delta_{bs} (\bar{s} \gamma^\eta P_L b + \text{h.c.}) \right] \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where P_L denotes the left-handed projection operator. There are four coupling parameters in this model: a common g_ℓ coupling for all charged leptons, a common g_ν coupling for all neutrinos, the g_b coupling that scales both $Z'bb$ and $Z'sb$ interactions, and the separate δ_{bs} parameter that solely scales the $Z'sb$ interaction. In this analysis, we probe values of δ_{bs} between 0 and

0.25: The Z'/sb interaction is fully suppressed at $\delta_{bs} = 0$, and δ_{bs} values above 0.25 may yield scenarios incompatible with the measurement of the mass difference between the mass eigenstates of the neutral B_s mesons [21].

The B_3-L_2 model is a less generic Z' model introduced in 2017 [22, 23] to accommodate the experimental $b \rightarrow s\ell^-\ell^+$ anomalies. It is based on a new B_3-L_2 $U(1)$ gauge symmetry in which B_3 and L_2 represent the third-generation baryon and muon lepton numbers, respectively. In this model, $g_{Z'}$ denotes the coupling of the Z' boson to SM fermions, and the angle θ_{23} controls the mixing angle between the second- and third-generation quarks. This mixing angle is equivalent to $\arctan(-\delta_{bs})$ in the notation of Eq. (1). The allowed parameter space for the B_3-L_2 model has been recently reevaluated in Ref. [18].

Studies of dilepton invariant mass distributions in final states with b quark jets have previously been performed at the LHC [24–26]. However, these studies either focused on final states with exactly one b quark jet, or used inclusive samples with at least one such jet, or searched for nonresonant signatures in the high dimuon invariant mass tail. Some of the previous studies were based on data sets with only about one fourth of the integrated luminosity used in this analysis. Furthermore, they all suffered from large backgrounds arising from the pair production of t quarks ($t\bar{t}$), each decaying to one b quark and one W boson, with $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$. In this analysis, we reduce this background substantially, as noted below, and we further optimize the event categorization for the presence of one or more b quark jets. We focus on dimuon resonances because the experimental tensions that motivate this search are thought to be consistent with BSM contributions in the muon sector [18].

The analysis can be summarized as follows:

- The search is performed for a narrow $Z' \rightarrow \mu^-\mu^+$ resonance with a mass $m_{Z'} > 350$ GeV in the presence of at least one b quark jet. The width of the resonance is assumed to be narrow relative to the dimuon invariant mass ($m_{\mu\mu}$) resolution. The validity of this assumption is ensured by restricting the search to the regions of parameter space where the Z' width does not exceed one half of the $m_{\mu\mu}$ resolution. The resolution itself ranges from 6.6 GeV at $m_{Z'} = 350$ GeV to 30 (106) GeV at $m_{Z'} = 1$ (2.5) TeV.
- The data sample is collected with the CMS detector during the LHC Run 2 data-taking period of 2016–2018 at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, and corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} (2016: 36.3 fb^{-1} ; 2017: 41.5 fb^{-1} ; 2018: 59.8 fb^{-1}).
- Events in this data sample are categorized according to the multiplicity of b quark jets: $N_b = 1$ and $N_b \geq 2$. Tight and relaxed requirements are used together in identifying these jets, as discussed in Section 2, in order to maximize the sensitivity to a possible signal. Events with $N_b = 0$ are not considered because the background is about 150 times larger in this category due to the contribution from DY events.
- The dominant backgrounds across the probed $m_{\mu\mu}$ range arise from the DY process and $t\bar{t}$ production. The DY background is already reduced by requiring the detection of at least one b quark jet. The $t\bar{t}$ background is suppressed by requiring that the minimum invariant mass of any muon- b quark jet pairing ($\min(m_{\mu b})$) be > 175 GeV, i.e., above the t quark mass. Such a requirement reduces the $t\bar{t}$ background by more than two orders of magnitude while retaining most of the predicted signal with large $m_{Z'}$, thus offering an improved signal discrimination compared to other kinematic requirements used in previous similar studies. Other background sources, including $tZ+X$, $tW+X$, $t\bar{t}V$ ($V = W, Z, \gamma^*$), and $t\bar{t}H$ processes, as well as diboson ($WW, WZ,$

and ZZ) production, are less important and further reduced by vetoing events with any additional lepton or isolated high transverse momentum (p_T) charged hadron. The veto for events with isolated charged hadrons is used to enhance the rejection of hadronically decaying τ leptons and unidentified electrons or muons.

- We extract constraints on the total event yield as a function of the fraction of events in each of the $N_b = 1$ and $N_b \geq 2$ categories. The constraints are determined using unbinned maximum likelihood fits of the $m_{\mu\mu}$ distributions with analytic functions within mass ranges sliding coherently with the probed value of $m_{Z'}$, as further discussed in Section 4. The functional forms used in the fit and the general statistical procedure are also described in Section 4 and are similar to those in Ref. [27]. The constraints on the total event yield are then reinterpreted in terms of the parameters of specific models with a Z' boson coupled to b quarks.

All of the results for this analysis can also be found on HEPData [28].

2 Experimental setup

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker (up to a pseudorapidity coverage of $|\eta| < 2.5$), a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter ($|\eta| < 3$), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL, with $|\eta| < 3$), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the η coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors up to $|\eta| = 5$. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors ($|\eta| < 2.4$) embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [29].

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system. The first level, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a fixed latency of about 4 μ s [30]. The second level, known as the high-level trigger (HLT), consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage [31].

A particle-flow (PF) algorithm [32] aims to reconstruct and identify each individual particle in an event, using an optimized combination of all subdetector information. The particles reconstructed with this algorithm are hereafter referred to as PF candidates, and their isolation is measured from the flux of other charged PF candidates within a cone of $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\phi)^2 + (\Delta\eta)^2} = 0.3$ around their direction, where ϕ is the azimuthal angle.

The primary proton-proton (pp) interaction vertex (PV) is taken to be the vertex corresponding to the hardest scattering in the event, evaluated using tracking information alone (as described in Section 9.4.1 of Ref. [33]). Muons originating from the PV are identified using a set of requirements [34] that are optimized to maximize their selection efficiency at high p_T , i.e., roughly above 200 GeV. The muon p_T assignment is performed with the ‘TuneP’ algorithm [35], which mainly relies on the tracker information instead of data from the PF algorithm. This avoids the potential bias arising from electromagnetic showers in the muon detectors, caused by extra particles radiated by the high- p_T muon. The difference between the p_T value assigned using only tracker information and that obtained from the PF algorithm is taken into account when applying requirements on other PF-based quantities in the events. As the analysis targets high- p_T muons, which may radiate photons when traversing detector material, muons are required

to be isolated with respect to nearby charged-particle tracks, i.e., the presence of neutral PF candidates is not considered in assessing the level of isolation. The tracker-only isolation of muons, which is defined as the scalar p_T sum of all tracks, excluding that of the muon, within a longitudinal distance of 0.2 cm from the PV and a cone of $\Delta R = 0.3$ relative to the muon track, is required to be less than 5 GeV and less than 5% of the muon p_T as measured in the tracker.

Events are collected with triggers that require the presence of at least one muon at HLT with $p_T > 50$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$. The trigger selection efficiency is measured using the “tag-and-probe” method [36] and independent data sets. The efficiency varies from about 70% in the detector endcaps, i.e., at $|\eta| \gtrsim 2.1$, to about 95% in the detector barrel, yielding an overall efficiency of about 90% with only a slight dependence on the data-taking period [37].

Events are further required to contain two oppositely charged muons with $p_T > 53$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ satisfying the identification and isolation criteria, with an overall muon reconstruction and selection efficiency larger than 90%. The two muons are then used to form a $\mu^- \mu^+$ resonance candidate. Events with additional muons of $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ satisfying the same identification and isolation criteria are vetoed. We also veto events that contain isolated PF muon candidates originating from the PV with $p_T > 5$ GeV. These vetoes, together with those described below, reduce the SM background arising from $tZ+X$, $tW+X$, $t\bar{t}V$, $t\bar{t}H$, and diboson production.

In the analysis, electrons are identified using loose requirements [38], for the purpose of vetoing events with electrons originating from the PV. The electron isolation is measured from the flux of PF photons and hadron candidates within a cone of $\Delta R = 0.3$ around its direction, including corrections for contributions from additional pp interactions within the same or nearby bunch crossings (pileup). This PF-based isolation is required to be below a threshold that varies as a function of the electron p_T . Events with any such electron of $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ are vetoed, together with events that contain any isolated PF electron candidate originating from the PV with $p_T > 5$ GeV.

The largest fraction of all visible τ lepton decay products consists of a single charged hadron, often accompanied by multiple neutral pions. For this reason, the veto for events with extra leptons is expanded to include isolated PF charged-hadron candidates originating from the PV with $p_T > 10$ GeV.

Jets are reconstructed using the anti- k_T algorithm [39, 40] with a distance parameter of 0.4. Jet energies are corrected for instrumental effects and contributions from pileup [41]. We select jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, that must also be separated by $\Delta R > 0.4$ from each muon candidate. The identification of b quark jets uses the DEEPJET algorithm [42, 43]. At least one b quark jet must satisfy tight identification criteria ($\sim 58\%$ tagging efficiency for $\sim 0.1\%$ misidentification probability of jets from light quarks and gluons), and all other b quark jets are identified using relaxed requirements ($\sim 76\%$ tagging efficiency for $\sim 1\%$ misidentification probability). In order to reject μb pairs that originate from t quark decays, we calculate all possible values of $m_{\mu b}$ among the selected muons and b quark jets, and require $\min(m_{\mu b}) > 175$ GeV, i.e., above the value of the t quark mass. This requirement is used to suppress the $t\bar{t}$ background, which is reduced by more than two orders of magnitude, while retaining most of the possible signals with large $m_{Z'}$, as shown in Fig. 2.

The missing transverse momentum vector ($\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$) is estimated from the negative of the vector p_T sum of all PF candidates, where the pileup-per-particle-identification algorithm [41, 44] weights each PF candidate for its probability to originate from the PV in order to reduce the pileup dependence of this observable. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ vector is corrected further for residual incon-

sistencies that may be introduced from the non-PF high- p_T muon identification criteria and the muon p_T assignment through the ‘TuneP’ algorithm. While no source of significant genuine p_T^{miss} is expected except for neutrinos in the case of semileptonic decays of the heavy-flavor quarks, large p_T^{miss} may nevertheless be observed if the momentum of a muon or b quark jet is mismeasured. Thus, events are vetoed if $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 250 \text{ GeV}$ and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is aligned ($|\Delta\phi| < 0.3$) or antialigned ($|\Delta\phi| > \pi - 0.3$) with any selected muon or b quark jet. This requirement retains almost the totality of the possible signals. Other anomalous high- p_T^{miss} events, due to reconstruction issues, detector malfunctions, or noncollision backgrounds, are rejected by dedicated filters. These filters identify more than 85–90% of these spurious high- p_T^{miss} events with a mistagging rate less than 0.1% [45]. In addition, the three-dimensional angle between the two muons is required to be smaller than $\pi - 0.02$ to further suppress cosmic ray muon contributions.

In 2018, a sector of the HCAL ($-3.2 < \eta < -1.3$ and $-1.57 < \phi < -0.87$) was not operational for a data-taking period corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 39 fb^{-1} , resulting in the misreconstruction of hadronic jets in that η – ϕ range. Therefore, events collected during this period are rejected if jets or electrons are found in the affected region, with upper and lower boundaries for jets enlarged by 0.2 in both η and ϕ in order to account for the size of the jet cone. The simulation of events, discussed in Section 3, is also adjusted by applying the same requirement in a subset of events corresponding to the fraction of data affected by this issue. The efficiency loss over the full data set is about 5% regardless of the value of $m_{\mu\mu}$.

3 Event simulation

We use simulated samples of various BSM Z' models to motivate the event selection and provide model-dependent limits. On the other hand, the SM background is estimated directly from data as a continuum background in the $m_{\mu\mu}$ spectrum, parametrized by analytic functions. Thus, the background estimation does not rely on simulation. The SM simulated samples are used only to validate and optimize the event selection as well as to visually compare the observed dimuon mass distribution to the expected SM background from simulation.

The simulated samples for the dominant DY and $t\bar{t}$ backgrounds, and the $tW+X$ process, are generated using the POWHEG 2 [46–49] program at next-to-leading order (NLO) in perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Samples for the $tZ+X$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$, and $t\bar{t}\gamma^*$ processes, and for the $t\bar{t}H$ process are generated at NLO in QCD using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO [50] v2.6.5 and v2.6.1, respectively, with the FxFx [51] scheme to match jets from matrix element calculations and parton showers. Samples for diboson production are generated using either MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO v2.6.5 or POWHEG 2 at NLO in QCD.

As discussed in Section 1, we consider a signal Z' model involving $Z'bb$ and $Z'sb$ couplings and $Z' \rightarrow \mu\mu$ decays. We generate such interactions using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO v2.9.9 at LO in QCD with $m_{Z'}$ ranging from 350 to 2500 GeV. For all the generated samples, the total width $\Gamma(Z')$ of the Z' is always smaller than one half of the $m_{\mu\mu}$ resolution to ensure the validity of the narrow width approximation intrinsic to the search strategy. Predictions for any other model with a likewise narrow width value can be obtained through reweighting by the double ratio of the quantity $\Gamma(Z' \rightarrow q_i\bar{q}_j)\Gamma(Z' \rightarrow \mu^-\mu^+)/\Gamma(Z')$ between the target model and the generated sample. Here, $\Gamma(Z' \rightarrow q_i\bar{q}_j)$ denotes the partial width for $Z' \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, $Z' \rightarrow s\bar{b}$ and $Z' \rightarrow b\bar{s}$, and $\Gamma(Z' \rightarrow \mu^-\mu^+)$ is the partial width for $Z' \rightarrow \mu^-\mu^+$. The reweighting is performed by separating the simulated event sample into contributions from matrix elements with different $q_i\bar{q}_j \rightarrow Z'$ interactions, as shown in Fig. 1, and the use of partial widths ensures generality




Figure 2: Distribution of $\min(m_{\mu b})$ as obtained from simulation in events with $N_b \geq 1$ passing all the other selection requirements. In this search, we require $\min(m_{\mu b}) > 175$ GeV. The stacked histogram displays the expected distribution from the simulation of the SM backgrounds, while the overlaid open histograms illustrate the size and shape of the Z' contribution from the LFU model described in Eq. (1), for several Z' mass hypotheses. For illustrative purposes, we choose couplings $|g_\ell| = |g_\nu| = |g_b| = 0.03$ and $\delta_{bs} = 0$. The efficiency of the requirement on the Z' signal process is about 30%, 70%, and 85% for $m_{Z'} = 400, 1000$, and 2000 GeV, respectively. The contribution of background processes other than DY and $t\bar{t}$ is so small that it is only barely visible at the bottom of the stacked histogram. The hatched region indicates the statistical uncertainty arising from the limited size of the SM simulated samples (Section 3). Histograms are normalized to unit area.

across different ways to construct target models.

In all samples, the parton shower and hadronization are modeled with PYTHIA 8.230 [52] using the CP5 [53] tune. The parton distribution functions are taken from NNPDF 3.1 [54]. For the SM simulated samples, they are taken at next-to-NLO in QCD. For the signal simulation, they are taken at LO in QCD to match the signal cross section calculations. All the simulated events are generated with a distribution of additional pp interactions per bunch crossing that is adjusted to match the corresponding pileup distribution measured in data. Finally, the detector response is simulated with the GEANT4 [55] package.

4 Analysis strategy

The events selected as described in Section 2 are categorized according to the multiplicity of b quark jets: $N_b = 1$ and $N_b \geq 2$. The search is performed by simultaneously fitting the unbinned $m_{\mu\mu}$ distributions in these two categories with analytic functions, within mass ranges that vary coherently with the value of $m_{Z'}$ to be probed.

We parametrize the signal $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution as the sum of a Gaussian distribution with a double-sided Crystal Ball function [56, 57] with varying proportions, and a common resolution parameter σ_{mass} . The choice of the functional form and the dependence of the corresponding pa-

rameters on $m_{Z'}$ are determined from simulation. The fits are performed using a finite grid of simulated signal samples, and the fit parameters are subsequently interpolated across $m_{Z'}$. As mentioned in Section 1, the values of σ_{mass} range from 6.6 GeV at $m_{Z'} = 350$ GeV to 30 (106) GeV at $m_{Z'} = 1$ (2.5) TeV. Because of the narrow-width approximation intrinsic to the search strategy, the values of σ_{mass} determined from simulation as a function of $m_{Z'}$ are independent of the signal coupling values. Fits to the data are performed within an $m_{\mu\mu}$ mass window of $\pm 10 \sigma_{\text{mass}}$ around the probed $m_{Z'}$ value with the restriction $m_{\mu\mu} > 275$ GeV. The fit window is chosen to be relatively wide to ensure that the background is reliably estimated, without any significant bias that may otherwise arise in the presence of a potential signal at its center. The window slides coherently with the probed value of $m_{Z'}$, which ranges between 350 and 2500 GeV, in steps reflecting the size of σ_{mass} . This approach is used, instead of fitting the full $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution at once, so as not to rely on prior knowledge of the SM background shape across the full range of interest.

With a relatively wide mass window, the background $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution can be modeled analytically using Bernstein polynomials, exponential, or power-law functions. The choice of the functional form for the background model is treated as a discrete nuisance parameter in the fit. An envelope of functional forms is provided to the fit. Then, in the minimization process of the negative logarithm of the likelihood, the discrete profiling method [58] is used to select the best fit background model as a function of the signal strength. The statistical procedures to determine the goodness of fit for the backgrounds and extract the final fit results are similar to those described in Ref. [27]. For Bernstein polynomials, the best order in each fit mass window and event category is selected by means of a *Fisher test* [59]. Typically, first order Bernstein polynomials are selected, since higher order functions do not significantly improve the description of the data because of the small number of observed events. For the same reason, only Bernstein polynomials of the first order are used to model the backgrounds in windows with less than ten observed events. To avoid artifacts from fluctuations due to limited event samples, models that would yield an increasing background as a function of $m_{\mu\mu}$ within the fit mass window are rejected. Only background models that fit the data appropriately are considered: a goodness-of-fit test is performed based on a χ^2 test statistic. This is converted into a p -value through a set of pseudo-experiments, and models with $p < 0.01$ are rejected.

The potential presence of a bias in the measurement of a signal due to the choice of the background functional forms is assessed by means of pseudo-experiments. First, a varying amount of signal is injected on top of the background generated according to the selected functional form. Then, a background+signal fit is performed allowing the signal yield to float freely. The bias is quantified as the difference between the measured and injected signal yields relative to the statistical uncertainty in the measured signal yield. No evidence of a statistically significant bias is found.

A systematic uncertainty of 1.6% in the expected signal yields arises from the integrated luminosity measurement [60–62]. In addition, uncertainties in signal event yields from jet energy scale, trigger, muon reconstruction and b tagging efficiencies, and finite simulated sample size are included in the fits. We include a 5% uncertainty in the signal acceptance to account for possible mismodeling of the muon identification efficiency in the simulation. We also assess an uncertainty $\lesssim 5\%$ arising from the estimation of the size of the fit mass window. Finally, we account for possible effects on the signal shape from the uncertainties in the muon momentum scale ($\lesssim 0.1\%$ at $m_{Z'} = 1$ TeV) and resolution ($\lesssim 10\% \sigma_{\text{mass}}$). A summary of the sources of signal uncertainty and their sizes is given in Table 1. Uncertainties from other sources are found to be negligible.

Table 1: Summary of signal uncertainties together with their sizes or size ranges. The uncertainties are grouped based on whether they affect the normalization or the shape of the signal, and any variations for the two categories of N_b are shown. The uncertainties in the signal normalization are expressed as relative uncertainties (%) with respect to the nominal expected yields. The fit parameter $\bar{m}_{\mu\mu}$ defines the position of the maximum of the reconstructed $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution for a given $m_{Z'}$ hypothesis. The fit resolution parameter $\bar{\sigma}_{\text{mass}}$ is distinguished from the values of σ_{mass} extracted from simulation.

Source	Normalization (%)		Shape
	$N_b = 1$	$N_b \geq 2$	
Integrated luminosity		1.6	—
Trigger		1–5	—
Jet energy scale	1–1.5	2–5	—
b tagging	1	5	—
μ reconstruction		2.5	—
μ identification		5	—
Size of fit window		$\lesssim 5$	—
Size of simulated sample	< 1	< 5	—
μ momentum scale in $\bar{m}_{\mu\mu}$	—		$\lesssim 0.1\% m_{Z'}^2 / \text{TeV}$
μ momentum resolution in $\bar{\sigma}_{\text{mass}}$	—		$\lesssim 10\% \sigma_{\text{mass}}$

5 Results

The $m_{\mu\mu}$ distributions in the two event categories are shown in Fig. 3 for data, SM background simulation, and several representative signal hypotheses. As already mentioned, the SM background simulation is only used for illustrative purposes since the background is estimated directly from data across the full $m_{\mu\mu}$ range of interest.

The results of a single set of background-only fit to data in the event categories with $N_b = 1$ and $N_b \geq 2$ are shown in Fig. 4 for a sample signal mass hypothesis, $m_{Z'} = 500 \text{ GeV}$, within the corresponding $m_{\mu\mu}$ fit window. No significant excess is observed over the background-only expectation in any of the mass windows explored.

The results are used to set model-independent limits at 95% confidence level (CL) on the number of signal events with $N_b \geq 1$. The relative fraction of events in the $N_b \geq 2$ category, f_{2b} , is varied to probe a range of hypotheses of signal production in association with b quarks. These limits are shown in Fig. 5. They are extracted by performing unbinned fits to the $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution in data, using windows that slide in steps reflecting the size of σ_{mass} . As different data events are included in each of the fit windows, fluctuations are present in both the expected and observed exclusion limits. The frequency of these fluctuations follows the size of the steps used to probe different $m_{Z'}$ hypotheses, and their amplitude is typically less than the 68% expected band. The statistical procedure to set the limits follows a modified frequentist approach employing the CL_s criterion [63–66].

Figure 6 provides an interpretation for the LFU model described in Eq. (1) with the assumed values $|g_\nu| = |g_\ell|$, and either $|\delta_{bs}| = 0$ or 0.25. As $|g_\ell|$ or $|g_b|$ increases, the expected value of $\Gamma(Z')$ may approach and exceed the value of σ_{mass} . Since $\Gamma(Z')$ is assumed to be smaller than σ_{mass} in obtaining these results, we restrict our exclusion ranges to the regions where $\Gamma(Z') < \sigma_{\text{mass}}/2$. For a Z' boson with a mass of 350 GeV (2 TeV) and $|\delta_{bs}| < 0.25$, the majority of the parameter space with $0.0057 < |g_\ell| < 0.35$ ($0.25 < |g_\ell| < 0.43$) and $0.0079 < |g_b| < 0.46$ ($0.34 < |g_b| < 0.57$) is excluded at 95% CL.




Figure 3: Distributions of $m_{\mu\mu}$ in the $N_b = 1$ (left) and $N_b \geq 2$ (right) event categories. The stacked histogram displays the expected distribution from the SM background simulation. The overlaid open distributions illustrate the Z' contribution from the LFU model at $|g_\ell| = |g_\nu| = |g_b| = 0.03$ and $\delta_{bs} = 0$ for a variety of Z' mass hypotheses. The observed data are shown as black points with statistical error bars. The hatched region indicates the statistical uncertainty arising from the limited size of the SM simulated samples. The size of the bins increases as a function of $m_{\mu\mu}$. In extracting the results of the search, the background is estimated directly from data, so the SM background simulation is only illustrative in these distributions.




Figure 4: Invariant mass $m_{\mu\mu}$ distributions in the $N_b = 1$ (left) and $N_b \geq 2$ (right) categories, shown together with the corresponding selected background functional forms used as input to the *discrete profiling* method [58] when probing the $m_{Z'} = 500$ GeV hypothesis. The expected signal distribution for the LFU model described in Eq. (1), with couplings $|g_\ell| = |g_\nu| = |g_b| = 0.03$ and $\delta_{bs} = 0$, is overlaid. The displayed mass range corresponds to the fit window used for this $m_{Z'}$ hypothesis, which is $\pm 10\sigma_{\text{mass}}$ around the probed $m_{Z'}$ value. While the likelihood fits are performed on unbinned data, here we present the data in binned histograms with binning chosen to reflect the size of σ_{mass} .

The results are also used to set constraints on the $B_3 - L_2$ model from Ref. [18]. As in the case of the LFU model, we restrict our exclusion ranges to regions with $\Gamma(Z') < \sigma_{\text{mass}}/2$. As shown




Figure 5: Exclusion limits at 95% CL on the number of selected BSM events with $N_b \geq 1$ as functions of $m_{Z'}$ for the different representative values of $f_{2b} = 0$ (upper left), 0.25 (upper right), 0.75 (lower left), and 1 (lower right). The quantity f_{2b} is the fraction of BSM events passing the analysis selection that have at least two b quark jets. The solid black (dashed red) curve represents the observed (median expected) exclusion. The inner green (outer yellow) band indicates the region containing 68 (95)% of the distribution of limits expected under the background-only hypothesis.

in Fig. 7, most of the allowed parameter space is excluded for a Z' boson with $350 < m_{Z'} < 500$ GeV, while the constraints are less stringent for higher $m_{Z'}$. Since exclusion limits are nearly independent of the θ_{23} parameter in the $B_3 - L_2$ model or, equivalently, δ_{bs} in the LFU model, we also show in Fig. 8 exclusion regions in the $|g_{Z'}| - m_{Z'}$ plane for a fixed value of $\theta_{23} = 0$.




Figure 6: Observed (solid) and median expected (dashed) exclusion limits at 95% CL in the $|g_b|$ - $|g_l|$ plane for the LFU model. The scenarios considered have $|\delta_{bs}|$ values of either 0 (left) or 0.25 (right). In all cases, we assume $|g_\nu| = |g_\ell|$. The exclusion limits are given up to coupling values at which the Z' width is equal to half of the $\mu\mu$ invariant mass resolution, marked by the dotted curves. Beyond these coupling values, the narrow width approximation intrinsic to the search strategy is not considered valid. The enclosed regions are excluded. For $|\delta_{bs}| = 0.25$ and $m_{Z'} = 2 \text{ TeV}$, no region of the parameter space is expected to be excluded.




Figure 7: Exclusion limits at 95% CL in the $|\theta_{23}|$ – $|g_{Z'}|$ plane for the B_3-L_2 model [18], for representative values of $m_{Z'} = 500$ GeV (upper left), $m_{Z'} = 1$ TeV (upper right), $m_{Z'} = 1.5$ TeV (lower left), and $m_{Z'} = 2$ TeV (lower right). The solid black (dashed red) curves represent the observed (median expected) exclusions. The dotted curves denote the coupling values at which the Z' width equals one half of the $\mu\mu$ invariant mass resolution. For larger values of the couplings, the narrow width approximation intrinsic to the search strategy is not considered valid. For a given mass, the region enclosed between the solid black (dashed red) and dotted curves is (expected to be) excluded. The shaded blue area represents the region preferred from the global fit in Ref. [18] at 95% CL. The region above the green dash-dotted curve is incompatible at 95% CL with the measurement of the mass difference between the mass eigenstates of the neutral B_s mesons [21].




Figure 8: Exclusion limits at 95% CL in the $|g_{Z'}| - m_{Z'}$ plane for the $B_3 - L_2$ model [18] for a fixed value of $\theta_{23} = 0$. The solid black (dashed red) curve represents the observed (median expected) exclusion. The dotted curve denotes the coupling values at which the Z' width equals one half of the $\mu\mu$ invariant mass resolution. For larger values of the couplings, the narrow width approximation intrinsic to the search strategy is not considered valid. The region enclosed between the solid black (dashed red) and the dotted curves is (expected to be) excluded. The shaded blue area represents the $|g_{Z'}|$ range preferred from the global fit in Ref. [18] at 95% CL.

6 Summary

A search for high-mass dimuon resonance production in association with one or more b quark jets has been presented, using data collected with the CMS experiment at the LHC that correspond to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV.

The limits are derived on the total number of signal events with $N_b = 1$ and ≥ 2 , where N_b denotes the multiplicity of b quark jets, and these limits are model independent to the extent that the signal arises from the direct production and subsequent decay of a narrow dimuon resonance. The relative fraction of events with $N_b \geq 2$ is varied to probe a range of hypotheses of signal production in association with b quarks. The limits are presented as a function of the analyzed dimuon resonance mass values.

Results are also interpreted in terms of a lepton-flavor-universal model that involves Z' boson couplings to b quarks (g_b) and muons, where the Z' boson couplings to all neutrinos (g_ν) and to all charged leptons (g_ℓ) are assumed to be equal, the g_b coupling scales both Z' bb and Z' sb interactions, and the separate δ_{bs} coupling solely scales the Z' sb interaction. The exclusions in this model are presented in terms of the coupling strengths g_ℓ and g_b , and the mass of the Z' boson ($m_{Z'}$). For a Z' boson with $m_{Z'} = 350 \text{ GeV}$ (2 TeV) and $|\delta_{bs}| < 0.25$, the majority of the parameter space with $0.0057 < |g_\ell| < 0.35$ ($0.25 < |g_\ell| < 0.43$) and $0.0079 < |g_b| < 0.46$ ($0.34 < |g_b| < 0.57$) is excluded at 95% confidence level.

Constraints are also set on a specific Z' model ($B_3 - L_2$), constructed to accommodate possible contributions to $b \rightarrow s\ell^-\ell^+$ transitions beyond the standard model. In this scenario, most of the allowed parameter space is excluded for a Z' boson with $350 < m_{Z'} < 500 \text{ GeV}$, while the constraints are less stringent for higher $m_{Z'}$ hypotheses.

This is the first dedicated search at the LHC for a high-mass, narrow dimuon resonance produced in association with multiple b quark jets, and the constraints obtained on models with this signature are the most stringent to date.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK

(Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, 884104, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science – EOS" – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program - ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 124845, K 124850, K 128713, K 128786, K 129058, K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF "a way of making Europe", and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B05F650021 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] LHCb Collaboration, "Measurement of form-factor-independent observables in the decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ ", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 191801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.191801, arXiv:1308.1707.
- [2] LHCb Collaboration, "Differential branching fractions and isospin asymmetries of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays", *JHEP* **06** (2014) 133, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2014)133, arXiv:1403.8044.
- [3] LHCb Collaboration, "Angular analysis and differential branching fraction of the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ ", *JHEP* **09** (2015) 179, doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2015)179, arXiv:1506.08777.
- [4] LHCb Collaboration, "Angular analysis of the $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay using 3 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity", *JHEP* **02** (2016) 104, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2016)104, arXiv:1512.04442.

-
- [5] CMS Collaboration, “Angular analysis of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\text{ TeV}$ ”, *Phys. Lett. B* **753** (2016) 424, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.12.020, arXiv:1507.08126.
 - [6] LHCb Collaboration, “Test of lepton universality with $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\ell^+\ell^-$ decays”, *JHEP* **08** (2017) 055, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2017)055, arXiv:1705.05802.
 - [7] C. Bobeth, M. Chrzaszcz, D. van Dyk, and J. Virto, “Long-distance effects in $B \rightarrow K^*\ell\ell$ from analyticity”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 451, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5918-6, arXiv:1707.07305.
 - [8] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of angular parameters from the decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\text{ TeV}$ ”, *Phys. Lett. B* **781** (2018) 517, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.04.030, arXiv:1710.02846.
 - [9] ATLAS Collaboration, “Angular analysis of $B_d^0 \rightarrow K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector”, *JHEP* **10** (2018) 047, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2018)047, arXiv:1805.04000.
 - [10] LHCb Collaboration, “Search for lepton-universality violation in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ decays”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 191801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.191801, arXiv:1903.09252.
 - [11] LHCb Collaboration, “Test of lepton universality in beauty-quark decays”, *Nature Phys.* **18** (2022) 277, doi:10.1038/s41567-021-01478-8, arXiv:2103.11769.
 - [12] LHCb Collaboration, “Tests of lepton universality using $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0\ell^+\ell^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}\ell^+\ell^-$ decays”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 191802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.191802, arXiv:2110.09501.
 - [13] HPQCD Collaboration, “ $B \rightarrow K$ and $D \rightarrow K$ form factors from fully relativistic lattice QCD”, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 014510, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.014510, arXiv:2207.12468.
 - [14] A. Leike, “The phenomenology of extra neutral gauge bosons”, *Phys. Rept.* **317** (1999) 143, doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(98)00133-1, arXiv:hep-ph/9805494.
 - [15] P. Langacker, “The physics of heavy Z' gauge bosons”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81** (2009) 1199, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.81.1199, arXiv:0801.1345.
 - [16] LHCb Collaboration, “Test of lepton universality in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ decays”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131** (2023) 051803, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.051803, arXiv:2212.09152.
 - [17] LHCb Collaboration, “Measurement of lepton universality parameters in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\ell^+\ell^-$ decays”, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) 032002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.032002, arXiv:2212.09153.
 - [18] B. Allanach and J. Davighi, “The Rumble in the Meson: a leptoquark versus a Z' to fit $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies including 2022 LHCb $R_{K^{(*)}}$ measurements”, *JHEP* **04** (2023) 033, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2023)033, arXiv:2211.11766.
 - [19] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for high-mass dilepton resonances using 139 fb^{-1} of pp collision data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Lett. B* **796** (2019) 68, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.07.016, arXiv:1903.06248.

- [20] CMS Collaboration, “Search for resonant and nonresonant new phenomena in high-mass dilepton final states at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 208, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2021)208, arXiv:2103.02708.
- [21] Particle Data Group, R. L. Workman et al., “Review of Particle Physics”, *PTEP* **2022** (2022) 083C01, doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac097.
- [22] R. Alonso, P. Cox, C. Han, and T. T. Yanagida, “Flavoured $B-L$ local symmetry and anomalous rare B decays”, *Phys. Lett. B* **774** (2017) 643, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.10.027, arXiv:1705.03858.
- [23] C. Bonilla, T. Modak, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, “ $U(1)_{B_3-3L_\mu}$ gauge symmetry as a simple description of $b \rightarrow s$ anomalies”, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 095002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.095002, arXiv:1705.00915.
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for scalar resonances decaying into $\mu^+\mu^-$ in events with and without b-tagged jets produced in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *JHEP* **07** (2019) 117, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2019)117, arXiv:1901.08144.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, “Search for MSSM Higgs bosons decaying to $\mu^+\mu^-$ in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **798** (2019) 134992, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134992, arXiv:1907.03152.
- [26] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for new phenomena in final states with two leptons and one or no b-tagged jets at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 141801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.141801, arXiv:2105.13847.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, “Search for long-lived particles decaying into muon pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected with a dedicated high-rate data stream”, *JHEP* **04** (2022) 062, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2022)062, arXiv:2112.13769.
- [28] HEPData record for this analysis, 2023. doi:10.17182/hepdata.141455.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [30] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P10017, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/10/P10017, arXiv:2006.10165.
- [31] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS trigger system”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P01020, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [32] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P10003, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [33] CMS Collaboration, “Technical proposal for the Phase-II upgrade of the Compact Muon Solenoid”, CMS Technical Proposal CERN-LHCC-2015-010, CMS-TDR-15-02, 2015.
- [34] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **13** (2018) P06015, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/06/P06015, arXiv:1804.04528.

-
- [35] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the reconstruction and identification of high-momentum muons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P02027, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/02/P02027, arXiv:1912.03516.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, “Measurements of inclusive W and Z cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 080, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2011)080, arXiv:1012.2466.
- [37] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS muon trigger system in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **16** (2021) P07001, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/16/07/P07001, arXiv:2102.04790.
- [38] CMS Collaboration, “Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **16** (2021) P05014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/16/05/P05014, arXiv:2012.06888.
- [39] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm”, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [40] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “FastJet user manual”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [41] CMS Collaboration, “Pileup mitigation at CMS in 13 TeV data”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P09018, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/09/p09018, arXiv:2003.00503.
- [42] E. Bols et al., “Jet flavour classification using DeepJet”, *JINST* **15** (2020) 12012, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/12/P12012, arXiv:2008.10519.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, “Performance summary of AK4 jet b tagging with data from proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV with the CMS detector”, CMS Detector Performance Note CMS-DP-2023-005, 2023.
- [44] D. Bertolini, P. Harris, M. Low, and N. Tran, “Pileup per particle identification”, *JHEP* **10** (2014) 059, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2014)059, arXiv:1407.6013.
- [45] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector”, *JINST* **14** (2019) P07004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004, arXiv:1903.06078.
- [46] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method”, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [47] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s- and t-channel contributions”, *JHEP* **09** (2009) 111, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/09/111, arXiv:0907.4076. [Erratum: doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2010)011].
- [48] T. Melia, P. Nason, R. Röntsch, and G. Zanderighi, “ W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG BOX”, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 078, doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)078, arXiv:1107.5051.
- [49] P. Nason and G. Zanderighi, “ W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG-BOX-V2”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2702, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2702-5, arXiv:1311.1365.

- [50] J. Alwall et al., “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations”, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079, arXiv:1405.0301.
- [51] R. Frederix and S. Frixione, “Merging meets matching in MC@NLO”, *JHEP* **12** (2012) 061, doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2012)061, arXiv:1209.6215.
- [52] T. Sjöstrand et al., “An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [53] CMS Collaboration, “Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 4, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7499-4, arXiv:1903.12179.
- [54] NNPDF Collaboration, “Parton distributions from high-precision collider data”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 663, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5199-5, arXiv:1706.00428.
- [55] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4—a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [56] M. J. Oreglia, “A study of the reactions $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\psi$ ”. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 1980. SLAC Report SLAC-R-236, see Appendix D.
- [57] J. E. Gaiser, “Charmonium spectroscopy from radiative decays of the J/ψ and ψ' ”. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 1982. SLAC Report SLAC-R-255.
- [58] P. D. Dauncey, M. Kenzie, N. Wardle, and G. J. Davies, “Handling uncertainties in background shapes: the discrete profiling method”, *JINST* **10** (2015) P04015, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/04/P04015, arXiv:1408.6865.
- [59] R. A. Fisher, “On the interpretation of χ^2 from contingency tables, and the calculation of P ”, *J. R. Stat. Soc.* **85** (1922) 87, doi:10.1098/rsta.1922.0009.
- [60] CMS Collaboration, “Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 800, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09538-2, arXiv:2104.01927.
- [61] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004, 2018.
- [62] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002, 2019.
- [63] T. Junk, “Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **434** (1999) 435, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2, arXiv:hep-ex/9902006.
- [64] A. L. Read, “Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique”, *J. Phys. G* **28** (2002) 2693, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313.
- [65] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, “Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1554, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0, arXiv:1007.1727. [Erratum: doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z].

- [66] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, “Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in summer 2011”, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-011, CMS NOTE-2011/005, 2011.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A. Hayrapetyan, A. Tumasyan¹ 

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

W. Adam , J.W. Andrejkovic, T. Bergauer , S. Chatterjee , K. Damanakis , M. Dragicevic , A. Escalante Del Valle , P.S. Hussain , M. Jeitler² , N. Krammer , D. Liko , I. Mikulec , J. Schieck² , R. Schöffbeck , D. Schwarz , M. Sonawane , S. Templ , W. Waltenberger , C.-E. Wulz² 

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

M.R. Darwish³ , T. Janssen , P. Van Mechelen 

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

E.S. Bols , J. D'Hondt , S. Dansana , A. De Moor , M. Delcourt , H. El Faham , S. Lowette , I. Makarenko , D. Müller , A.R. Sahasransu , S. Tavernier , M. Tytgat⁴ , S. Van Putte , D. Vannerom 

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

B. Clerbaux , G. De Lentdecker , L. Favart , D. Hohov , J. Jaramillo , A. Khalilzadeh, K. Lee , M. Mahdavihorrami , A. Malara , S. Paredes , L. Pétré , N. Postiau, L. Thomas , M. Vanden Bemden , C. Vander Velde , P. Vanlaer 

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

M. De Coen , D. Dobur , Y. Hong , J. Knolle , L. Lambrecht , G. Mestdach, C. Rendón, A. Samalan, K. Skovpen , N. Van Den Bossche , L. Wezenbeek 

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

A. Benecke , G. Bruno , C. Caputo , C. Delaere , I.S. Donertas , A. Giammanco , K. Jaffel , Sa. Jain , V. Lemaitre, J. Lidrych , P. Mastrapasqua , K. Mondal , T.T. Tran , S. Wertz 

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves , E. Coelho , C. Hensel , T. Menezes De Oliveira, A. Moraes , P. Rebello Teles , M. Soeiro

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior , M. Alves Gallo Pereira , M. Barroso Ferreira Filho , H. Brandao Malbouisson , W. Carvalho , J. Chinellato⁵, E.M. Da Costa , G.G. Da Silveira⁶ , D. De Jesus Damiao , S. Fonseca De Souza , J. Martins⁷ , C. Mora Herrera , K. Mota Amarilo , L. Mundim , H. Nogima , A. Santoro , S.M. Silva Do Amaral , A. Sznajder , M. Thiel , A. Vilela Pereira 

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes⁶ , L. Calligaris , T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei , E.M. Gregores , P.G. Mercadante , S.F. Novaes , B. Orzari , Sandra S. Padula 

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov , G. Antchev , R. Hadjiiska , P. Iaydjiev , M. Misheva , M. Shopova , G. Sultanov 

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov , T. Ivanov , L. Litov , B. Pavlov , P. Petkov , A. Petrov , E. Shumka 

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

S. Keshri , S. Thakur 

Beihang University, Beijing, China

T. Cheng , Q. Guo, T. Javaid , M. Mittal , L. Yuan 

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

G. Bauer^{8,9}, Z. Hu , K. Yi^{8,10} 

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen¹¹ , H.S. Chen¹¹ , M. Chen¹¹ , F. Iemmi , C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor¹² ,
H. Liao , Z.-A. Liu¹³ , F. Monti , M.A. Shahzad¹¹, R. Sharma¹⁴ , J.N. Song¹³, J. Tao ,
C. Wang¹¹, J. Wang , Z. Wang¹¹, H. Zhang 

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos , Y. Ban , A. Levin , C. Li , Q. Li , Y. Mao, S.J. Qian , X. Sun ,
D. Wang , H. Yang, C. Zhou 

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

Z. You 

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

N. Lu 

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

X. Gao¹⁵ , D. Leggat, H. Okawa , Y. Zhang 

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Z. Lin , C. Lu , M. Xiao 

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila , D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera , C. Florez , J. Fraga , J.A. Reyes Vega

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

J. Mejia Guisao , F. Ramirez , M. Rodriguez , J.D. Ruiz Alvarez 

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

D. Giljanovic , N. Godinovic , D. Lelas , A. Sculac 

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

M. Kovac , T. Sculac 

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

P. Bargassa , V. Brigljevic , B.K. Chitroda , D. Ferencek , S. Mishra ,
A. Starodumov¹⁶ , T. Susa 

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis , K. Christoforou , S. Konstantinou , J. Mousa , C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos ,
P.A. Razis , H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka , A. Stepennov 

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger , M. Finger Jr. , A. Kveton 

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Ayala 

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, EcuadorE. Carrera Jarrin **Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt**Y. Assran^{17,18}, S. Elgammal¹⁸**Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt**A. Lotfy , M.A. Mahmoud **National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia**R.K. Dewanjee¹⁹ , K. Ehataht , M. Kadastik, T. Lange , S. Nandan , C. Nielsen , J. Pata , M. Raidal , L. Tani , C. Veelken **Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland**H. Kirschenmann , K. Osterberg , M. Voutilainen **Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland**S. Bharthuar , E. Brücken , F. Garcia , J. Havukainen , K.T.S. Kallonen , M.S. Kim , R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén , K. Lassila-Perini , S. Lehti , T. Lindén , M. Lotti, L. Martikainen , M. Myllymäki , M.m. Rantanen , H. Siikonen , E. Tuominen , J. Tuominiemi **Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland**P. Luukka , H. Petrow , T. Tuuva[†]**IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France**M. Besancon , F. Couderc , M. Dejardin , D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri , S. Ganjour , P. Gras , G. Hamel de Monchenault , V. Lohezic , J. Malcles , J. Rander, A. Rosowsky , M.Ö. Sahin , A. Savoy-Navarro²⁰ , P. Simkina , M. Titov **Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France**C. Baldenegro Barrera , F. Beaudette , A. Buchot Perraguin , P. Busson , A. Cappati , C. Charlot , F. Damas , O. Davignon , A. De Wit , G. Falmagne , B.A. Fontana Santos Alves , S. Ghosh , A. Gilbert , R. Granier de Cassagnac , A. Hakimi , B. Harikrishnan , L. Kalipoliti , G. Liu , J. Motta , M. Nguyen , C. Ochando , L. Portales , R. Salerno , U. Sarkar , J.B. Sauvan , Y. Sirois , A. Tarabini , E. Vernazza , A. Zabi , A. Zghiche **Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France**J.-L. Agram²¹ , J. Andrea , D. Apparu , D. Bloch , J.-M. Brom , E.C. Chabert , C. Collard , S. Falke , U. Goerlach , C. Grimault, R. Haeberle, A.-C. Le Bihan , M.A. Sessini , P. Van Hove **Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France**S. Beauceron , B. Blancon , G. Boudoul , N. Chanon , J. Choi , D. Contardo , P. Depasse , C. Dozen²² , H. El Mamouni, J. Fay , S. Gascon , M. Gouzevitch , C. Greenberg, G. Grenier , B. Ille , I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier , L. Mirabito, S. Perries, A. Purohit , M. Vander Donckt , P. Verdier , J. Xiao **Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia**I. Lomidze , T. Toriashvili²³ , Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁶ **RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany**

V. Botta , L. Feld , K. Klein , M. Lipinski , D. Meuser , A. Pauls , N. R wert , M. Teroerde 

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

S. Diekmann , A. Dodonova , N. Eich , D. Eliseev , F. Engelke , M. Erdmann , P. Fackeldey , B. Fischer , T. Hebbeker , K. Hoepfner , F. Ivone , A. Jung , M.y. Lee , L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer , A. Meyer , S. Mukherjee , D. Noll , A. Novak , F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov , Y. Rath, W. Redjeb , F. Rehm, H. Reithler , V. Sarkisovi , A. Schmidt , S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma , A. Stein , F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo²⁴ , L. Vigilante, S. Wiedenbeck , S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok , G. Fl gge , W. Haj Ahmad²⁵ , T. Kress , A. Nowack , O. Pooth , A. Stahl , T. Ziemons , A. Zotz 

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen , M. Aldaya Martin , J. Alimena , S. Amoroso, Y. An , S. Baxter , M. Bayatmakou , H. Becerril Gonzalez , O. Behnke , A. Belvedere , S. Bhattacharya , F. Blekman²⁶ , K. Borras²⁷ , D. Brunner , A. Campbell , A. Cardini , C. Cheng, F. Colombina , S. Consuegra Rodr guez , G. Correia Silva , M. De Silva , G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein , L.I. Estevez Banos , O. Filatov , E. Gallo²⁶ , A. Geiser , A. Giraldi , G. Greau, V. Guglielmi , M. Guthoff , A. Hinzmann , A. Jafari²⁸ , L. Jeppe , N.Z. Jomhari , B. Kaech , M. Kasemann , H. Kaveh , C. Kleinwort , R. Kogler , M. Komm , D. Kr cker , W. Lange, D. Leyva Pernia , K. Lipka²⁹ , W. Lohmann³⁰ , R. Mankel , I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann , M. Mendizabal Morentin , J. Metwally, A.B. Meyer , G. Milella , A. Mussgiller , A. N rnberg , Y. Otari, D. P rez Ad n , E. Ranken , A. Raspereza , B. Ribeiro Lopes , J. R benach, A. Saggio , M. Scham^{31,27} , S. Schnake²⁷ , P. Sch tze , C. Schwanenberger²⁶ , D. Selivanova , M. Shchedrolosiev , R.E. Sosa Ricardo , L.P. Sreelatha Pramod , D. Stafford, F. Vazzoler , A. Ventura Barroso , R. Walsh , Q. Wang , Y. Wen , K. Wichmann, L. Wiens²⁷ , C. Wissing , S. Wuchterl , Y. Yang , A. Zimmermann Castro Santos

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

A. Albrecht , S. Albrecht , M. Antonello , S. Bein , L. Benato , M. Bonanomi , P. Connor , M. Eich, K. El Morabit , Y. Fischer , A. Fr hlich, C. Garbers , E. Garutti , A. Grohsjean , M. Hajheidari, J. Haller , H.R. Jabusch , G. Kasieczka , P. Keicher, R. Klanner , W. Korcari , T. Kramer , V. Kutzner , F. Labe , J. Lange , A. Lobanov , C. Matthies , A. Mehta , L. Moureaux , M. Mrowietz, A. Nigamova , Y. Nissan, A. Paasch , K.J. Pena Rodriguez , T. Quadfasel , B. Raciti , M. Rieger , D. Savoia , J. Schindler , P. Schleper , M. Schr der , J. Schwandt , M. Sommerhalder , H. Stadie , G. Steinbr ck , A. Tews, M. Wolf

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

S. Brommer , M. Burkart, E. Butz , T. Chwalek , A. Dierlamm , A. Droll, N. Faltermann , M. Giffels , A. Gottmann , F. Hartmann³² , R. Hofsaess , M. Horzela , U. Husemann , M. Klute , R. Koppenh fer , M. Link, A. Lintuluoto , S. Maier , S. Mitra , M. Mormile , Th. M ller , M. Neukum, M. Oh , G. Quast , K. Rabbertz , B. Regnery , N. Shadskiy , I. Shvetsov , H.J. Simonis , N. Trevisani , R. Ulrich , J. van der Linden , R.F. Von Cube , M. Wassmer , S. Wieland , F. Wittig, R. Wolf , S. Wunsch, X. Zuo

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi,

Greece

G. Anagnostou, P. Assiouras , G. Daskalakis , A. Kyriakis, A. Papadopoulos³², A. Stakia 

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

P. Kontaxakis , G. Melachroinos, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou , I. Paraskevas , N. Saoulidou , K. Theofilatos , E. Tziaferi , K. Vellidis , I. Zisopoulos 

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas , T. Chatzistavrou, G. Karapostoli , K. Kousouris , I. Papakrivopoulos , E. Siamarkou, G. Tsiopolitis, A. Zacharopoulou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

K. Adamidis, I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou , C. Foudas, P. Gianneios , C. Kamtsikis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas , P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou , N. Manthos , I. Papadopoulos , J. Strologas 

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Csanád , K. Farkas , M.M.A. Gadallah³³ , Á. Kadlecik , P. Major , K. Mandal , G. Pásztor , A.J. Rádl³⁴ , G.I. Veres 

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

M. Bartók³⁵ , C. Hajdu , D. Horvath^{36,37} , F. Sikler , V. Veszpremi 

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, B. Ujvari³⁸ , G. Zilizi 

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

G. Bencze, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi³⁵ , J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

T. Csorgo³⁴ , F. Nemes³⁴ , T. Novak 

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

J. Babbar , S. Bansal , S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar , G. Chaudhary , S. Chauhan , N. Dhingra³⁹ , R. Gupta, A. Kaur , A. Kaur , H. Kaur , M. Kaur , S. Kumar , M. Meena , K. Sandeep , T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh , A. Singla 

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Ahmed , A. Bhardwaj , A. Chhetri , B.C. Choudhary , A. Kumar , M. Naimuddin , K. Ranjan , S. Saumya 

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

S. Acharya⁴⁰, S. Baradia , S. Barman⁴¹ , S. Bhattacharya , D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta , S. Dutta, B. Gomber⁴⁰ , P. Palit , G. Saha , B. Sahu⁴⁰ , S. Sarkar

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

M.M. Ameen , P.K. Behera , S.C. Behera , S. Chatterjee , P. Jana , P. Kalbhor , J.R. Komaragiri⁴² , D. Kumar⁴² , L. Panwar⁴² , R. Pradhan , P.R. Pujahari , N.R. Saha , A. Sharma , A.K. Sikdar , S. Verma 

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, I. Das , S. Dugad, M. Kumar , G.B. Mohanty , P. Suryadevara

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

A. Bala , S. Banerjee , R.M. Chatterjee, M. Guchait , Sh. Jain , S. Karmakar 

S. Kumar , G. Majumder , K. Mazumdar , S. Mukherjee , S. Parolia , A. Thachayath 

National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

S. Bahinipati⁴³ , A.K. Das , C. Kar , D. Maity⁴⁴ , P. Mal , T. Mishra , V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu⁴⁴ , K. Naskar⁴⁴ , A. Nayak⁴⁴ , P. Sadangi , P. Saha , S.K. Swain , S. Varghese⁴⁴ , D. Vats⁴⁴ 

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

A. Alpana , S. Dube , B. Kansal , A. Laha , A. Rastogi , S. Sharma 

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

H. Bakhshiansohi⁴⁵ , E. Khazaie⁴⁵ , M. Zeinali⁴⁶ 

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Chenarani⁴⁷ , S.M. Etesami , M. Khakzad , M. Mohammadi Najafabadi 

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Grunewald 

INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b} , R. Aly^{a,c,48} , A. Colaleo^{a,b} , D. Creanza^{a,c} , B. D' Anzi^{a,b} , N. De Filippis^{a,c} , M. De Palma^{a,b} , A. Di Florio^{a,c} , W. Elmetenawee^{a,b,48} , L. Fiore^a , G. Iaselli^{a,c} , G. Maggi^{a,c} , M. Maggi^a , I. Margjeka^{a,b} , V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b} , S. My^{a,b} , S. Nuzzo^{a,b} , A. Pellecchia^{a,b} , A. Pompili^{a,b} , G. Pugliese^{a,c} , R. Radogna^a , G. Ramirez-Sanchez^{a,c} , D. Ramos^a , A. Ranieri^a , L. Silvestris^a , F.M. Simone^{a,b} , Ü. Sözbilir^a , A. Stamerra^a , R. Venditti^a , P. Verwilligen^a , A. Zaza^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a , C. Battilana^{a,b} , D. Bonacorsi^{a,b} , L. Borgonovi^a , R. Campanini^{a,b} , P. Capiluppi^{a,b} , A. Castro^{a,b} , F.R. Cavallo^a , G.M. Dallavalle^a , T. Diotallevi^{a,b} , F. Fabbri^a , A. Fanfani^{a,b} , D. Fasanella^{a,b} , P. Giacomelli^a , L. Giommi^{a,b} , C. Grandi^a , L. Guiducci^{a,b} , S. Lo Meo^{a,49} , L. Lunerti^{a,b} , S. Marcellini^a , G. Masetti^a , F.L. Navarria^{a,b} , A. Perrotta^a , F. Primavera^{a,b} , A.M. Rossi^{a,b} , T. Rovelli^{a,b} , G.P. Siroli^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy

S. Costa^{a,b,50} , A. Di Mattia^a , R. Potenza^{a,b} , A. Tricomi^{a,b,50} , C. Tuve^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a , G. Bardelli^{a,b} , B. Camaiani^{a,b} , A. Cassese^a , R. Ceccarelli^a , V. Ciulli^{a,b} , C. Civinini^a , R. D'Alessandro^{a,b} , E. Focardi^{a,b} , T. Kello^a , G. Latino^{a,b} , P. Lenzi^{a,b} , M. Lizzo^a , M. Meschini^a , S. Paoletti^a , A. Papanastassiou^{a,b} , G. Sguazzoni^a , L. Viliani^a 

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi , S. Bianco , S. Meola⁵¹ , D. Piccolo 

INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy

P. Chatagnon^a , F. Ferro^a , E. Robutti^a , S. Tosi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a , G. Boldrini^{a,b} , F. Brivio^a , F. Cetorelli^a , F. De Guio^{a,b} , M.E. Dinardo^{a,b} , P. Dini^a , S. Gennai^a , A. Ghezzi^{a,b} , P. Govoni^{a,b} , L. Guzzi^a 

M.T. Lucchini^{a,b} , M. Malberti^a , S. Malvezzi^a , A. Massironi^a , D. Menasce^a , L. Moroni^a , M. Paganoni^{a,b} , D. Pedrini^a , B.S. Pinolini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b} , N. Redaelli^a , T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b} , D. Zuolo^a 

INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'^b, Napoli, Italy; Università della Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy; Università G. Marconi^d, Roma, Italy

S. Buontempo^a , A. Cagnotta^{a,b} , F. Carnevali^{a,b}, N. Cavallo^{a,c} , A. De Iorio^{a,b} , F. Fabozzi^{a,c} , A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b} , L. Lista^{a,b,52} , P. Paolucci^{a,32} , B. Rossi^a , C. Sciacca^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy; Università di Trento^c, Trento, Italy

R. Ardino^a , P. Azzi^a , N. Bacchetta^{a,53} , D. Bisello^{a,b} , P. Bortignon^a , A. Bragagnolo^{a,b} , P. Checchia^a , T. Dorigo^a , F. Gasparini^{a,b} , U. Gasparini^{a,b} , G. Grosso^a, L. Layer^{a,54}, E. Lusiani^a , M. Margoni^{a,b} , A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b} , M. Migliorini^{a,b} , J. Pazzini^{a,b} , P. Ronchese^{a,b} , R. Rossin^{a,b} , M. Sgaravatto^a , F. Simonetto^{a,b} , G. Strong^a , M. Tosi^{a,b} , A. Triossi^{a,b} , S. Ventura^a , H. Yarar^{a,b}, M. Zanetti^{a,b} , P. Zotto^{a,b} , A. Zucchetta^{a,b} , G. Zumerle^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy

S. Abu Zeid^{a,55} , C. Aimè^{a,b} , A. Braghieri^a , S. Calzaferri^{a,b} , D. Fiorina^{a,b} , P. Montagna^{a,b} , V. Re^a , C. Riccardi^{a,b} , P. Salvini^a , I. Vai^{a,b} , P. Vitulo^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy

S. Ajmal^{a,b} , P. Asenov^{a,56} , G.M. Bilei^a , D. Ciangottini^{a,b} , L. Fanò^{a,b} , M. Magherini^{a,b} , G. Mantovani^{a,b}, V. Mariani^{a,b} , M. Menichelli^a, F. Moscatelli^{a,56} , A. Piccinelli^{a,b} , M. Presilla^{a,b} , A. Rossi^{a,b} , A. Santocchia^{a,b} , D. Spiga^a , T. Tedeschi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy; Università di Siena^d, Siena, Italy

P. Azzurri^a , G. Bagliesi^a , R. Bhattacharya^a , L. Bianchini^{a,b} , T. Boccali^a , E. Bossini^a , D. Bruschini^{a,c} , R. Castaldi^a , M.A. Ciocci^{a,b} , M. Cipriani^{a,b} , V. D'Amante^{a,d} , R. Dell'Orso^a , S. Donato^a , A. Giassi^a , F. Ligabue^{a,c} , D. Matos Figueiredo^a , A. Messineo^{a,b} , M. Musich^{a,b} , F. Palla^a , A. Rizzi^{a,b} , G. Rolandi^{a,c} , S. Roy Chowdhury^a , T. Sarkar^a , A. Scribano^a , P. Spagnolo^a , R. Tenchini^{a,b} , G. Tonelli^{a,b} , N. Turini^{a,d} , A. Venturi^a , P.G. Verdini^a 

INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Roma, Italy

P. Barria^a , M. Campana^{a,b} , F. Cavallari^a , L. Cunqueiro Mendez^{a,b} , D. Del Re^{a,b} , E. Di Marco^a , M. Diemoz^a , F. Errico^{a,b} , E. Longo^{a,b} , P. Meridiani^a , J. Mijuskovic^{a,b} , G. Organtini^{a,b} , F. Pandolfi^a , R. Paramatti^{a,b} , C. Quaranta^{a,b} , S. Rahatlou^{a,b} , C. Rovelli^a , F. Santanastasio^{a,b} , L. Soffi^a , R. Tramontano^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy; Università del Piemonte Orientale^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b} , R. Arcidiacono^{a,c} , S. Argiro^{a,b} , M. Arneodo^{a,c} , N. Bartosik^a , R. Bellan^{a,b} , A. Bellora^{a,b} , C. Biino^a , N. Cartiglia^a , M. Costa^{a,b} , R. Covarelli^{a,b} , N. Demaria^a , L. Finco^a , M. Grippio^{a,b} , B. Kiani^{a,b} , F. Legger^a , F. Luongo^{a,b} , C. Mariotti^a , S. Maselli^a , A. Mecca^{a,b} , E. Migliore^{a,b} , M. Monteno^a , R. Mulargia^a , M.M. Obertino^{a,b} , G. Ortona^a , L. Pacher^{a,b} , N. Pastrone^a , M. Pelliccioni^a , M. Ruspa^{a,c} , F. Siviero^{a,b} , V. Sola^{a,b} , A. Solano^{a,b} , D. Soldi^{a,b} , A. Staiano^a , C. Tarricone^{a,b} , M. Tornago^{a,b} , D. Trocino^a , G. Umoret^{a,b} 

E. Vlasov^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a , V. Candelise^{a,b} , M. Casarsa^a , F. Cossutti^a , K. De Leo^{a,b} ,
G. Della Ricca^{a,b} 

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Dogra , J. Hong , C. Huh , B. Kim , D.H. Kim , J. Kim, H. Lee, S.W. Lee ,
C.S. Moon , Y.D. Oh , M.S. Ryu , S. Sekmen , Y.C. Yang 

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

G. Bak , P. Gwak , H. Kim , D.H. Moon 

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

E. Asilar , D. Kim , T.J. Kim , J.A. Merlin, J. Park 

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi , S. Han, B. Hong , K. Lee, K.S. Lee , S. Lee , J. Park, S.K. Park, J. Yoo 

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh 

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

H. S. Kim , Y. Kim, S. Lee

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi , W. Jun , J. Kim , J.S. Kim, S. Ko , H. Kwon , H. Lee ,
J. Lee , J. Lee , B.H. Oh , S.B. Oh , H. Seo , U.K. Yang, I. Yoon 

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang , D.Y. Kang, Y. Kang , S. Kim , B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee , Y. Lee , I.C. Park , Y. Roh,
I.J. Watson , S. Yang 

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha , H.D. Yoo 

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

M. Choi , M.R. Kim , H. Lee, Y. Lee , I. Yu 

**College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM),
Dasman, Kuwait**

T. Beyrouthy, Y. Maghrbi 

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

K. Dreimanis , A. Gaile , G. Pikurs, A. Potrebko , M. Seidel , V. Veckalns⁵⁷ 

University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia

N.R. Strautnieks 

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

M. Ambrozas , A. Juodagalvis , A. Rinkevicius , G. Tamulaitis 

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

N. Bin Norjoharuddeen , I. Yusuff⁵⁸ , Z. Zolkapli

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

J.F. Benitez , A. Castaneda Hernandez , H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez,

M. León Coello , J.A. Murillo Quijada , A. Sehrawat , L. Valencia Palomo 

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

G. Ayala , H. Castilla-Valdez , E. De La Cruz-Burelo , I. Heredia-De La Cruz⁵⁹ ,
R. Lopez-Fernandez , C.A. Mondragon Herrera, A. Sánchez Hernández 

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

C. Oropeza Barrera , M. Ramírez García 

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Bautista , I. Pedraza , H.A. Salazar Ibarguen , C. Uribe Estrada 

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

I. Bubanja, N. Raicevic 

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler 

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad , M.I. Asghar, A. Awais , M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani , W.A. Khan 

AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

V. Avati, L. Grzanka , M. Malawski 

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska , M. Bluj , B. Boimska , M. Górski , M. Kazana , M. Szleper ,
P. Zalewski 

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Bunkowski , K. Doroba , A. Kalinowski , M. Konecki , J. Krolikowski ,
A. Muhammad 

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

M. Araujo , D. Bastos , C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva , A. Boletti , M. Bozzo , P. Faccioli ,
M. Gallinaro , J. Hollar , N. Leonardo , T. Niknejad , A. Petrilli , M. Pisano ,
J. Seixas , J. Varela , J.W. Wulff

Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic , P. Milenovic 

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Dordevic , J. Milosevic , V. Rekovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre , Cristina F. Bedoya , M. Cepeda , M. Cer-
rada , N. Colino , B. De La Cruz , A. Delgado Peris , D. Fernández Del Val ,
J.P. Fernández Ramos , J. Flix , M.C. Fouz , O. Gonzalez Lopez , S. Goy Lopez ,
J.M. Hernandez , M.I. Josa , J. León Holgado , D. Moran , C. M. Morcillo Perez ,
Á. Navarro Tobar , C. Perez Dengra , A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo , J. Puerta Pelayo ,
I. Redondo , D.D. Redondo Ferrero , L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas , L. Urda Gómez ,
J. Vazquez Escobar , C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz 

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez , J. Cuevas , J. Fernandez Menendez , S. Folgueras , I. Gonzalez Caballero , J.R. González Fernández , E. Palencia Cortezon , C. Ramón Álvarez , V. Rodríguez Bouza , A. Soto Rodríguez , A. Trapote , C. Vico Villalba , P. Vischia 

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

S. Bhowmik , S. Blanco Fernández , J.A. Brochero Cifuentes , I.J. Cabrillo , A. Calderon , J. Duarte Campderros , M. Fernandez , C. Fernandez Madrazo , G. Gomez , C. Lasaosa García , C. Martinez Rivero , P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol , F. Matorras , P. Matorras Cuevas , E. Navarrete Ramos, J. Piedra Gomez , L. Scodellaro , I. Vila , J.M. Vizan Garcia 

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

M.K. Jayananda , B. Kailasapathy⁶⁰ , D.U.J. Sonnadara , D.D.C. Wickramarathna 

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna , K. Liyanage , N. Perera , N. Wickramage 

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo , C. Amendola , E. Auffray , G. Auzinger , J. Baechler, D. Barney , A. Bermúdez Martínez , M. Bianco , B. Bilin , A.A. Bin Anuar , A. Bocci , E. Brondolin , C. Caillol , T. Camporesi , G. Cerminara , N. Chernyavskaya , D. d'Enterria , A. Dabrowski , A. David , A. De Roeck , M.M. Defranchis , M. Deile , M. Dobson , F. Fallavollita⁶¹, L. Forthomme , G. Franzoni , W. Funk , S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill , F. Glege , L. Gouskos , M. Haranko , J. Hegeman , B. Huber, V. Innocente , T. James , P. Janot , J. Kieseler , S. Laurila , P. Lecoq , E. Leutgeb , C. Lourenço , B. Maier , L. Malgeri , M. Mannelli , A.C. Marini , F. Meijers , S. Mersi , E. Meschi , V. Milosevic , F. Moortgat , M. Mulders , S. Orfanelli, F. Pantaleo , M. Peruzzi , G. Petrucciani , A. Pfeiffer , M. Pierini , D. Piparo , H. Qu , D. Rabady , G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Rovere , H. Sakulin , S. Scarfi , C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi , A. Sharma , K. Shchelina , P. Silva , P. Sphicas⁶² , A.G. Stahl Leiton , A. Steen , S. Summers , D. Treille , P. Tropea , A. Tsiros, D. Walter , J. Wanczyk⁶³ , K.A. Wozniak⁶⁴ , P. Zehetner , P. Zetjdl , W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

T. Bevilacqua⁶⁵ , L. Caminada⁶⁵ , A. Ebrahimi , W. Erdmann , R. Horisberger , Q. Ingram , H.C. Kaestli , D. Kotlinski , C. Lange , M. Missiroli⁶⁵ , L. Noehte⁶⁵ , T. Rohe 

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad , K. Androsoy⁶³ , M. Backhaus , A. Calandri , C. Cazzaniga , K. Datta , A. De Cosa , G. Dissertori , M. Dittmar, M. Donegà , F. Eble , M. Galli , K. Gedia , F. Glessgen , C. Grab , D. Hits , W. Lustermann , A.-M. Lyon , R.A. Manzoni , M. Marchegiani , L. Marchese , C. Martin Perez , A. Mascellani⁶³ , F. Nessi-Tedaldi , F. Pauss , V. Perovic , S. Pigazzini , M.G. Ratti , M. Reichmann , C. Reissel , T. Reitenspiess , B. Ristic , F. Riti , D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra , R. Seidita , J. Steggemann⁶³ , D. Valsecchi , R. Wallny 

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁶⁶ , P. Bäertschi , C. Botta , D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli , K. Cormier , R. Del Burgo, J.K. Heikkilä , M. Huwiler , W. Jin , A. Jofrehei , B. Kilminster , S. Leontsinis , S.P. Liechti , A. Macchiolo , P. Meiring , V.M. Mikuni , U. Molinatti 

I. Neutelings , A. Reimers , P. Robmann, S. Sanchez Cruz , K. Schweiger , M. Senger , Y. Takahashi 

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

C. Adloff⁶⁷, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, P.K. Rout , P.C. Tiwari⁴² , S.S. Yu 

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

L. Ceard, Y. Chao , K.F. Chen , P.s. Chen, Z.g. Chen, W.-S. Hou , T.h. Hsu, Y.w. Kao, R. Khurana, G. Kole , Y.y. Li , R.-S. Lu , E. Paganis , A. Psallidas, X.f. Su, J. Thomas-Wilsker , H.y. Wu, E. Yazgan 

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

C. Asawatangtrakuldee , N. Srimanobhas , V. Wachirapusanand 

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

D. Agyel , F. Boran , Z.S. Demiroglu , F. Dolek , I. Dumanoglu⁶⁸ , E. Eskut , Y. Guler⁶⁹ , E. Gurpinar Guler⁶⁹ , C. Isik , O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu , U. Kiminsu , G. Onengut , K. Ozdemir⁷⁰ , A. Polatoz , B. Tali⁷¹ , U.G. Tok , S. Turkcapar , E. Uslan , I.S. Zorbakir 

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

M. Yalvac⁷² 

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Akgun , I.O. Atakisi , E. Gülmez , M. Kaya⁷³ , O. Kaya⁷⁴ , S. Tekten⁷⁵ 

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir , K. Cankocak^{68,76} , Y. Komurcu , S. Sen⁷⁷ 

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

O. Aydilek , S. Cerci⁷¹ , V. Epshteyn , B. Haciosahinoglu , I. Hos⁷⁸ , B. Isildak⁷⁹ , B. Kaynak , S. Ozkorucuklu , O. Potok , H. Sert , C. Simsek , D. Sunar Cerci⁷¹ , C. Zorbilmez 

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

A. Boyaryntsev , B. Grynyov 

National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk 

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

D. Anthony , J.J. Brooke , A. Bundock , F. Bury , E. Clement , D. Cussans , H. Flacher , M. Glowacki, J. Goldstein , H.F. Heath , L. Kreczko , B. Krikler , S. Paramesvaran , S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith , N. Stylianou⁸⁰ , K. Walkingshaw Pass, R. White 

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell , A. Belyaev⁸¹ , C. Brew , R.M. Brown , D.J.A. Cockerill , C. Cooke , K.V. Ellis, K. Harder , S. Harper , M.-L. Holmberg⁸² , J. Linacre , K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold , E. Olaiya, D. Petyt , T. Reis , G. Salvi , T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous , I.R. Tomalin , T. Williams 

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge , P. Bloch , C.E. Brown , O. Buchmuller, V. Cacchio, C.A. Carrillo Montoya , G.S. Chahal⁸³ , D. Colling , J.S. Dancu, P. Dauncey , G. Davies , J. Davies,

M. Della Negra , S. Fayer, G. Fedi , G. Hall , M.H. Hassanshahi , A. Howard, G. Iles , M. Knight , J. Langford , L. Lyons , A.-M. Magnan , S. Malik, A. Martelli , M. Mieskolainen , J. Nash⁸⁴ , M. Pesaresi, B.C. Radburn-Smith , A. Richards, A. Rose , C. Seez , R. Shukla , A. Tapper , K. Uchida , G.P. Uttley , L.H. Vage, T. Virdee³² , M. Vojinovic , N. Wardle , D. Winterbottom 

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

K. Coldham, J.E. Cole , A. Khan, P. Kyberd , I.D. Reid 

Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA

S. Abdullin , A. Brinkerhoff , B. Caraway , J. Dittmann , K. Hatakeyama , J. Hiltbrand , A.R. Kanuganti , B. McMaster , M. Saunders , S. Sawant , C. Sutantawibul , M. Toms⁸⁵ , J. Wilson 

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek , A. Dominguez , C. Huerta Escamilla, A.E. Simsek , R. Uniyal , A.M. Vargas Hernandez 

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA

R. Chudasama , S.I. Cooper , S.V. Gleyzer , C.U. Perez , P. Rumerio⁸⁶ , E. Usai , C. West , R. Yi 

Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

A. Akpinar , A. Albert , D. Arcaro , C. Cosby , Z. Demiragli , C. Erice , E. Fontanesi , D. Gastler , S. Jeon , J. Rohlf , K. Salyer , D. Sperka , D. Spitzbart , I. Suarez , A. Tsatsos , S. Yuan 

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

G. Benelli , X. Coubez²⁷, D. Cutts , M. Hadley , U. Heintz , J.M. Hogan⁸⁷ , T. Kwon , G. Landsberg , K.T. Lau , D. Li , J. Luo , S. Mondal , M. Narain[†] , N. Pervan , S. Sagir⁸⁸ , F. Simpson , M. Stamenkovic , W.Y. Wong, X. Yan , W. Zhang

University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA

S. Abbott , J. Bonilla , C. Brainerd , R. Breedon , M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez , M. Chertok , M. Citron , J. Conway , P.T. Cox , R. Erbacher , F. Jensen , O. Kukral , G. Mocellin , M. Mulhearn , D. Pellett , W. Wei, Y. Yao , F. Zhang 

University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

M. Bachtis , R. Cousins , A. Datta , J. Hauser , M. Ignatenko , M.A. Iqbal , T. Lam , E. Manca , W.A. Nash , D. Saltzberg , B. Stone , V. Valuev 

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

R. Clare , M. Gordon, G. Hanson , W. Si , S. Wimpenny[†] 

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

J.G. Branson , S. Cittolin , S. Cooperstein , D. Diaz , J. Duarte , R. Gerosa , L. Giannini , Y. Gu, J. Guiang , R. Kansal , V. Krutelyov , R. Lee , J. Letts , M. Masciovecchio , F. Mokhtar , M. Pieri , M. Quinnan , B.V. Sathia Narayanan , V. Sharma , M. Tadel , E. Vourliotis , F. Würthwein , Y. Xiang , A. Yagil 

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA

A. Barzdukas , L. Brennan, C. Campagnari , G. Collura , A. Dorsett , K. Downham , J. Incandela , M. Kilpatrick , J. Kim , A.J. Li , P. Masterson , H. Mei , M. Oshiro , J. Richman , U. Sarica , R. Schmitz , F. Setti , J. Sheplock , D. Stuart , S. Wang 

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

A. Bornheim , O. Cerri, A. Latorre, J.M. Lawhorn , J. Mao , H.B. Newman , T. Q. Nguyen , M. Spiropulu , J.R. Vlimant , C. Wang , S. Xie , R.Y. Zhu 

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

J. Alison , S. An , M.B. Andrews , P. Bryant , V. Dutta , T. Ferguson , A. Harilal , C. Liu , T. Mudholkar , S. Murthy , M. Paulini , A. Roberts , A. Sanchez , W. Terrill 

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

J.P. Cumalat , W.T. Ford , A. Hassani , G. Karathanasis , E. MacDonald, N. Manganello , F. Marini , A. Perloff , C. Savard , N. Schonbeck , K. Stenson , K.A. Ulmer , S.R. Wagner , N. Zipper 

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

J. Alexander , S. Bright-Thonney , X. Chen , D.J. Cranshaw , J. Fan , X. Fan , D. Gadkari , S. Hogan , J. Monroy , J.R. Patterson , J. Reichert , M. Reid , A. Ryd , J. Thom , P. Wittich , R. Zou 

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA

M. Albrow , M. Alyari , O. Amram , G. Apollinari , A. Apresyan , L.A.T. Bauerdick , D. Berry , J. Berryhill , P.C. Bhat , K. Burkett , J.N. Butler , A. Canepa , G.B. Cerati , H.W.K. Cheung , F. Chlebana , G. Cummings , J. Dickinson , I. Dutta , V.D. Elvira , Y. Feng , J. Freeman , A. Gandrakota , Z. Gecse , L. Gray , D. Green, A. Grummer , S. Grünendahl , D. Guerrero , O. Gutsche , R.M. Harris , R. Heller , T.C. Herwig , J. Hirschauer , L. Horyn , B. Jayatilaka , S. Jindariani , M. Johnson , U. Joshi , T. Klijnsma , B. Klima , K.H.M. Kwok , S. Lammel , D. Lincoln , R. Lipton , T. Liu , C. Madrid , K. Maeshima , C. Mantilla , D. Mason , P. McBride , P. Merkel , S. Mrenna , S. Nahn , J. Ngadiuba , D. Noonan , V. Papadimitriou , N. Pastika , K. Pedro , C. Pena⁸⁹ , F. Ravera , A. Reinsvold Hall⁹⁰ , L. Ristori , E. Sexton-Kennedy , N. Smith , A. Soha , L. Spiegel , S. Stoynev , L. Taylor , S. Tkaczyk , N.V. Tran , L. Uplegger , E.W. Vaandering , I. Zoi 

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

C. Aruta , P. Avery , D. Bourilkov , L. Cadamuro , P. Chang , V. Cherepanov , R.D. Field, E. Koenig , M. Kolosova , J. Konigsberg , A. Korytov , K.H. Lo, K. Matchev , N. Menendez , G. Mitselmakher , A. Muthirakalayil Madhu , N. Rawal , D. Rosenzweig , S. Rosenzweig , K. Shi , J. Wang 

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

T. Adams , A. Al Kadhimi , A. Askew , N. Bower , R. Habibullah , V. Hagopian , R. Hashmi , R.S. Kim , S. Kim , T. Kolberg , G. Martinez, H. Prosper , P.R. Prova, O. Viazlo , M. Wulansatiti , R. Yohay , J. Zhang

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA

B. Alsufyani, M.M. Baarmand , S. Butalla , T. Elkafrawy⁵⁵ , M. Hohlmann , R. Kumar Verma , M. Rahmani

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA

M.R. Adams , C. Bennett, R. Cavanaugh , S. Dittmer , R. Escobar Franco , O. Evdokimov , C.E. Gerber , D.J. Hofman , J.h. Lee , D. S. Lemos , A.H. Merit , C. Mills , S. Nanda , G. Oh , B. Ozek , D. Pilipovic , T. Roy , S. Rudrabhatla , M.B. Tonjes , N. Varelas , X. Wang , Z. Ye , J. Yoo 

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

M. Alhusseini , D. Blend, K. Dilsiz⁹¹ , L. Emediato , G. Karaman , O.K. Köseyan , J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili⁹² , J. Nachtman , O. Neogi, H. Ogul⁹³ , Y. Onel , A. Penzo , C. Snyder, E. Tiras⁹⁴ 

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

B. Blumenfeld , L. Corcodilos , J. Davis , A.V. Gritsan , L. Kang , S. Kyriacou , P. Maksimovic , M. Roguljic , J. Roskes , S. Sekhar , M. Swartz , T.Á. Vámi 

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA

A. Abreu , L.F. Alcerro Alcerro , J. Anguiano , P. Baringer , A. Bean , Z. Flowers , D. Grove, J. King , G. Krintiras , M. Lazarovits , C. Le Mahieu , C. Lindsey, J. Marquez , N. Minafra , M. Murray , M. Nickel , M. Pitt , S. Popescu⁹⁵ , C. Rogan , C. Royon , R. Salvatico , S. Sanders , C. Smith , Q. Wang , G. Wilson 

Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

B. Allmond , A. Ivanov , K. Kaadze , A. Kalogeropoulos , D. Kim, Y. Maravin , K. Nam, J. Natoli , D. Roy , G. Sorrentino 

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA

F. Rebassoo , D. Wright 

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

E. Adams , A. Baden , O. Baron, A. Belloni , A. Bethani , Y.M. Chen , S.C. Eno , N.J. Hadley , S. Jabeen , R.G. Kellogg , T. Koeth , Y. Lai , S. Lascio , A.C. Mignerey , S. Nabili , C. Palmer , C. Papageorgakis , M.M. Paranjpe, L. Wang , K. Wong 

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

J. Bendavid , W. Busza , I.A. Cali , Y. Chen , M. D'Alfonso , J. Eysermans , C. Freer , G. Gomez-Ceballos , M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Hoang, D. Kovalskyi , J. Krupa , L. Lavezzo , Y.-J. Lee , K. Long , C. Mironov , C. Paus , D. Rankin , C. Roland , G. Roland , S. Rothman , Z. Shi , G.S.F. Stephans , J. Wang, Z. Wang , B. Wyslouch , T. J. Yang 

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

B. Crossman , B.M. Joshi , C. Kapsiak , M. Krohn , D. Mahon , J. Mans , B. Marzocchi , S. Pandey , M. Revering , R. Rusack , R. Saradhy , N. Schroeder , N. Strobbe , M.A. Wadud 

University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA

L.M. Cremaldi 

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA

K. Bloom , M. Bryson, D.R. Claes , C. Fangmeier , F. Golf , G. Haza , J. Hossain , C. Joo , I. Kravchenko , I. Reed , J.E. Siado , W. Tabb , A. Vagnerini , A. Wightman , F. Yan , D. Yu , A.G. Zecchinelli 

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA

G. Agarwal , H. Bandyopadhyay , L. Hay , I. Iashvili , A. Kharchilava , C. McLean , M. Morris , D. Nguyen , S. Rappoccio , H. Rejeb Sfar, A. Williams 

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

G. Alverson , E. Barberis , Y. Haddad , Y. Han , A. Krishna , J. Li , M. Lu , G. Madigan , D.M. Morse , V. Nguyen , T. Orimoto , A. Parker , L. Skinnari , A. Tishelman-Charny , B. Wang , D. Wood 

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA

S. Bhattacharya , J. Bueghly, Z. Chen , K.A. Hahn , Y. Liu , Y. Miao , D.G. Monk , M.H. Schmitt , A. Taliercio , M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

R. Band , R. Bucci, S. Castells , M. Cremonesi, A. Das , R. Goldouzian , M. Hildreth , K.W. Ho , K. Hurtado Anampa , C. Jessop , K. Lannon , J. Lawrence , N. Loukas , L. Lutton , J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley , C. Mcgrady , K. Mohrman , C. Moore , Y. Musienko¹⁶ , H. Nelson , M. Osherson , R. Ruchti , A. Townsend , M. Wayne , H. Yockey, M. Zarucki , L. Zygala 

The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

A. Basnet , B. Bylsma, M. Carrigan , L.S. Durkin , C. Hill , M. Joyce , A. Lesauvage , M. Nunez Ornelas , K. Wei, B.L. Winer , B. R. Yates 

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

F.M. Addesa , H. Bouchamaoui , P. Das , G. Dezoort , P. Elmer , A. Frankenthal , B. Greenberg , N. Haubrich , S. Higginbotham , G. Kopp , S. Kwan , D. Lange , A. Loeliger , D. Marlow , I. Ojalvo , J. Olsen , D. Stickland , C. Tully 

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA

S. Malik 

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

A.S. Bakshi , V.E. Barnes , S. Chandra , R. Chawla , S. Das , A. Gu , L. Gutay, M. Jones , A.W. Jung , D. Kondratyev , A.M. Koshy, M. Liu , G. Negro , N. Neumeister , G. Paspalaki , S. Piperov , V. Scheurer, J.F. Schulte , M. Stojanovic , J. Thieman , A. K. Virdi , F. Wang , W. Xie 

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA

J. Dolen , N. Parashar , A. Pathak 

Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

D. Acosta , A. Baty , T. Carnahan , S. Dildick , K.M. Ecklund , P.J. Fernández Manteca , S. Freed, P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts , A. Kumar , W. Li , O. Miguel Colin , B.P. Padley , R. Redjimi, J. Rotter , E. Yigitbasi , Y. Zhang 

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

A. Bodek , P. de Barbaro , R. Demina , J.L. Dulemba , C. Fallon, A. Garcia-Bellido , O. Hindrichs , A. Khukhunaishvili , P. Parygin⁸⁵ , E. Popova⁸⁵ , R. Taus , G.P. Van Onsem 

The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA

K. Goulianos 

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou , Y. Gershtein , E. Halkiadakis , A. Hart , M. Heindl , D. Jaroslawski , O. Karacheban³⁰ , I. Laflotte , A. Lath , R. Montalvo, K. Nash, H. Routray , S. Salur , S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar , R. Stone , S.A. Thayil , S. Thomas, J. Vora , H. Wang 

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

H. Acharya, D. Ally , A.G. Delannoy , S. Fiorendi , T. Holmes , N. Karunarathna , L. Lee , E. Nibigira , S. Spanier 

Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

D. Aebi , M. Ahmad , O. Bouhali⁹⁶ , M. Dalchenko , R. Eusebi , J. Gilmore , T. Huang , T. Kamon⁹⁷ , H. Kim , S. Luo , S. Malhotra, R. Mueller , D. Overton , D. Rathjens , A. Safonov 

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA

N. Akchurin , J. Damgov , V. Hegde , A. Hussain , Y. Kazhykarim, K. Lamichhane , S.W. Lee , A. Mankel , T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni , T. Peltola , I. Volobouev , A. Whitbeck 

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

E. Appelt , S. Greene, A. Gurrola , W. Johns , R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli , A. Melo , F. Romeo , P. Sheldon , S. Tuo , J. Velkovska , J. Viinikainen 

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

B. Cardwell , B. Cox , J. Hakala , R. Hirosky , A. Ledovskoy , A. Li , C. Neu , C.E. Perez Lara 

Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

P.E. Karchin 

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

A. Aravind, S. Banerjee , K. Black , T. Bose , S. Dasu , I. De Bruyn , P. Everaerts , C. Galloni, H. He , M. Herndon , A. Herve , C.K. Koraka , A. Lanaro, R. Loveless , J. Madhusudanan Sreekala , A. Mallampalli , A. Mohammadi , S. Mondal, G. Parida , D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang , V. Sharma , W.H. Smith , D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi , W. Vetens , A. Warden 

Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

S. Afanasiev , V. Andreev , Yu. Andreev , T. Aushev , M. Azarkin , A. Babaev , A. Belyaev , V. Blinov⁹⁸, E. Boos , V. Borshch , D. Budkouski , V. Bunichev , V. Chekhovsky, R. Chistov⁹⁸ , M. Danilov⁹⁸ , A. Dermenev , T. Dimova⁹⁸ , D. Druzhkin⁹⁹ , M. Dubinin⁸⁹ , L. Dudko , A. Ershov , G. Gavrilov , V. Gavrilov , S. Gninenko , V. Golovtcov , N. Golubev , I. Golutvin , I. Gorbunov , Y. Ivanov , V. Kachanov , L. Kardapoltsev⁹⁸ , V. Karjavine , A. Karneyeu , V. Kim⁹⁸ , M. Kirakosyan, D. Kirpichnikov , M. Kirsanov , V. Klyukhin , O. Kodolova¹⁰⁰ , D. Konstantinov , V. Korenkov , A. Kozyrev⁹⁸ , N. Krasnikov , A. Lanev , P. Levchenko¹⁰¹ , N. Lychkovskaya , V. Makarenko , A. Malakhov , V. Matveev⁹⁸ , V. Murzin , A. Nikitenko^{102,100} , S. Obraztsov , V. Oreshkin , V. Palichik , V. Perelygin , M. Perfilov, S. Petrushanko , S. Polikarpov⁹⁸ , V. Popov, O. Radchenko⁹⁸ , M. Savina , V. Savrin , V. Shalaev , S. Shmatov , S. Shulha , Y. Skovpen⁹⁸ , S. Slabospitskii , V. Smirnov , A. Snigirev , D. Sosnov , V. Sulimov , E. Tcherniaev , A. Terkulov , O. Teryaev , I. Tlisova , A. Toropin , L. Uvarov , A. Uzunian , A. Vorobyev[†], N. Voytishin , B.S. Yuldashev¹⁰³, A. Zarubin , I. Zhizhin , A. Zhokin

†: Deceased

¹Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia

²Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

³Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt

⁴Also at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁵Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

-
- ⁶Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- ⁷Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil
- ⁸Also at Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China
- ⁹Now at Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China
- ¹⁰Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA
- ¹¹Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- ¹²Also at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China
- ¹³Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- ¹⁴Also at China Spallation Neutron Source, Guangdong, China
- ¹⁵Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
- ¹⁶Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
- ¹⁷Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt
- ¹⁸Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- ¹⁹Also at Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Mesra, India
- ²⁰Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA
- ²¹Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- ²²Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
- ²³Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- ²⁴Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil
- ²⁵Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- ²⁶Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- ²⁷Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
- ²⁸Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- ²⁹Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany
- ³⁰Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- ³¹Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany
- ³²Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- ³³Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt
- ³⁴Also at Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ³⁵Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- ³⁶Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- ³⁷Now at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- ³⁸Also at Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- ³⁹Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India
- ⁴⁰Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- ⁴¹Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- ⁴²Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India
- ⁴³Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁴⁴Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁴⁵Also at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- ⁴⁶Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- ⁴⁷Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran
- ⁴⁸Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
- ⁴⁹Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- ⁵⁰Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- ⁵¹Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy

- ⁵²Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy
- ⁵³Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA
- ⁵⁴Also at Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy
- ⁵⁵Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- ⁵⁶Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy
- ⁵⁷Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia
- ⁵⁸Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
- ⁵⁹Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁶⁰Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka
- ⁶¹Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- ⁶²Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁶³Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁶⁴Also at University of Vienna Faculty of Computer Science, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶⁵Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁶⁶Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶⁷Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
- ⁶⁸Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey
- ⁶⁹Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey
- ⁷⁰Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey
- ⁷¹Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- ⁷²Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
- ⁷³Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁴Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁵Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- ⁷⁶Now at Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁷Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁷⁸Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁹Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁸⁰Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
- ⁸¹Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- ⁸²Also at University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
- ⁸³Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁴Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- ⁸⁵Now at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
- ⁸⁶Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
- ⁸⁷Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA
- ⁸⁸Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- ⁸⁹Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
- ⁹⁰Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA
- ⁹¹Also at Bingöl University, Bingöl, Turkey
- ⁹²Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- ⁹³Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
- ⁹⁴Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey
- ⁹⁵Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH),

Bucharest, Romania

⁹⁶Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar

⁹⁷Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

⁹⁸Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁹⁹Also at Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

¹⁰⁰Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

¹⁰¹Also at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

¹⁰²Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

¹⁰³Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan